Letters to the Editor

Clinical spectrum and antimicrobial resistance pattern of skin and soft tissue infections caused by community acquired-methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Sir,

Community-acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) has emerged as a significant human pathogen during the last two decades. ^[1] It usually causes skin and soft tissue infections in young and previously healthy individuals. ^[1,2] Baseline MRSA carriage has been identified as a significant risk factor for infections caused by it. ^[3] Poor living conditions, damaged skin surfaces and sharing of contaminated materials can increase the risk of community-acquired MRSA infections. ^[3] Our report profiles the clinical spectrum and antimicrobial resistance pattern of skin and soft tissue infections caused by community-acquired MRSA.

This cross-sectional study was conducted during November 2012 - December 2013. Subjects were recruited from a tertiary care hospital in coastal Karnataka, from the dermatology outpatient department as well as from the inpatient wards. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained from each patient. Pyoderma was diagnosed on the basis of clinical examination and investigations. The infection was considered to be community-acquired as per the CDC case definition, which includes any MRSA infection diagnosed in the out-patient setting or within 48 h of admission to the hospital, in the absence of the following: hemodialysis, surgery, hospitalization or admission to a skilled nursing facility during the previous year, the presence of indwelling medical devices, or previous isolation of MRSA from the patient.

In every patient, pus/exudate and swabs from anterior nares were sent for microscopic examination and culture. Standard bacteriological methods were used for the isolation and identification of MRSA. Methicillin resistance was confirmed by cefoxitin (30 μ g) disk diffusion method, inducible clindamycin resistance was detected by the D-test and antibiotic susceptibility testing was done using Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. Interpretation of the results was done as per CLSI 2013 guidelines.

Out of 224 patients with community acquired skin and soft tissue infections, 59 (26.3%) were diagnosed with pyoderma caused by community-acquired MRSA which included 37 (62.7%) male and 22 (37.3%) female patients. These infections were most common in patients of age groups 11-20 years (11/59, 18.6%) and 31-40 years (11/59, 18.6%). The clinical types of primary and secondary pyoderma in these 59 patients are shown in Table 1. Nasal carriage of community-acquired MRSA was seen among 18 (8%) of 224 patients. Out of these 18 nasal carriers, 8 had pyoderma caused by CA-MRSA. The antibiotic susceptibility profile of community-acquired MRSA isolated from pus and nasal swabs is shown in Table 2. Inducible clindamycin resistance was detected in 10 (16.9%) of 59 clinical isolates and 6 (33.3%) of 18 nasal isolates by D-test.

The present study shows that community-acquired MRSA is an important causative agent of pyoderma.

Table 1: Types of pyoderma caused by CA-MRSA		
Infections	Number (%)	
Primary pyoderma		
Abscess	15 (35.7)	
Furunculosis	13 (30.9)	
Carbuncle	3 (7.1)	
Impetigo	8 (19.1)	
Folliculitis	3 (7.1)	
Total	42 (100)	
Secondary pyoderma		
Infected wound	5 (29.4)	
Scabies	3 (17.6)	
Ulcer	2 (11.8)	
Acute contact dermatitis	2 (11.8)	
Eczema	2 (11.8)	
Cellulitis	3 (17.7)	
Total	17 (100)	

CA-MRSA: Community-acquired methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Table 2: Comparison of antibiotic susceptibility pattern of community-acquired MRSA isolated from pus and nasal swabs

Antibiotics	CA-MRSA from pus (n=59) (%)	CA-MRSA from nasa swab (n=18) (%)
Amoxycillin/clavulanic acid	5 (8.5)	5 (27.8)
Ciprofloxacin	10 (16.9)	10 (55.6)
Clindamycin	53 (89.8)	13 (72.2)
Co-trimoxazole	34 (57.6)	7 (38.9)
Erythromycin	31 (52.5)	3 (16.7)
Gentamicin	33 (55.9)	13 (72.2)
Linezolid	59 (100)	18 (100)
Penicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)
Rifampicin	56 (94.9)	16 (88.9)
Teicoplanin	51 (86.4)	14 (77.8)
Tetracycline	48 (81.4)	13 (72.2)
Vancomycin	59 (100)	18 (100)

CA-MRSA: Community-acquired methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

The significance of this pathogen in community acquired skin and soft tissue infections has been highlighted in previous studies as well.[2,4,5] The present study showed that primary pyoderma is more common (42/59) compared to secondary pyoderma (17/59). A recent prospective multi-centric study has shown that skin and skin structure infections among adult patients without any health-care contact are commonly caused by community-acquired MRSA.[5] Basal nasal carriage was observed in 8% of patients and this could be one of the risk factors for pyoderma. All the isolates were susceptible to vancomycin and linezolid. Inducible clindamycin resistance was detected in 16.9% of the clinical isolates and 33.3% of the nasal isolates by the D-test. Community-acquired MRSA strains exhibiting inducible clindamycin resistance appear susceptible to clindamycin on routine antibiotic susceptibility testing by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. If clindamycin is used for treatment in these cases, it will result in treatment failure. Therefore, it is important to perform the D-test to detect inducible clindamycin resistance in all isolates of MRSA that appear susceptible to clindamycin in the routine test. The virulence factors of community-acquired MRSA influence the pathogenesis of infections caused by this organism and further studies on their molecular properties are warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Manipal University for providing scholarship to VEJK. The authors express sincere gratitude to Dr. Kashinath Nayak, Assistant Professor and Dr. Sudarshan, Postgraduate at the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore for their immense support in collecting clinical specimens from patients.

J. K. Veni Emilda, Shalini M. Shenoy, M. Chakrapani¹, Pramod Kumar², K. Gopalkrishna Bhat

Departments of Microbiology, ¹Internal Medicine, and ²Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

> Address for correspondence: Dr. K. Gopalkrishna Bhat, Department of Microbiology, Kasturba Medical College, PB No - 53, Light House Hill Road, Hampanakatta, Mangalore - 575 001, Karnataka, India. E-mail: gopalkrishna.bhat@manipal.edu

REFERENCES

- Song JH, Hsueh PR, Chung DR, Ko KS, Kang CI, Peck KR, et al.; ANSORP study group. Spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus between the community and the hospitals in Asian countries: An ANSORP study. J AntimicrobChemother 2011;66:1061-9.
- Shenoy MS, Bhat GK, Kishore A, Hassan MK. Significance of MRSA strains in community associatedskin and soft tissue infections. Indian J Med Microbiol 2010;28:152-4.
- Fritz SA, Epplin EK, Garbutt J, Storch GA. Skin infection in children colonized with community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect 2009;59:394-401.
- Nagaraju U, Bhat G, Kuruvila M, Pai GS, Jayalakshmi, Babu RP. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in community-acquired pyoderma. Int J Dermatol 2004:43:412-4.
- 5. López Furst MJ, de Vedia L, Fernández S, Gardella N, Ganaha MC, Prieto S, et al.; Grupo de Estudio de Infecciones de Piel y Estructuras Relacionadaspor Staphy lococcus aureus meticilino-resistente de la Comunidad, Sociedad Argentina de Infectología. Prospective multicentre study ofcommunity-associated skin and skin structure infections due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Buenos Aires, Argentina. PLoS One 2013;8:e78303.

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijdvl.com	
	DOI: 10.4103/0378-6323.144178	