

Differential expression of interleukin-6 in leprosy reactions

Sir,

We read with interest the publication of Moreno *et al.*¹, where interleukin-6 levels in serum of leprosy patients with erythema nodosum leprosum were compared with the patients having untreated multibacillary leprosy without erythema nodosum leprosum and healthy individuals. The study concluded that high serum levels of interleukin-6 were observed in erythema nodosum leprosum, predominantly in patients having severe reactions.

Over the course of the disease, leprosy patients may get complications, such as an acute hypersensitivity response against *Mycobacterium leprae* antigens, resulting from immune response. The leading complications of leprosy are type 1 and type 2 reactions, the latter also known as erythema nodosum leprosum. These distinct disorders appear independently but can emerge at varying occasions in the same patient. It is necessary to acknowledge that any of these conditions can lead to irreversible nerve damage. Erythema nodosum leprosum is common in leprosy patients who have a high bacterial load and a low- to- no immunity, specifically in lepromatous and borderline lepromatous cases of leprosy.²

Interleukin-6 was one of the first identified cytokines which has been widely recognized as a biomarker in several mycobacterial diseases including leprosy.^{3,4} However, as clearly stated in the article by Monero *et al.*¹: ‘Studies evaluating serum levels of interleukin-6 in erythema nodosum leprosum have shown discordant results.’ Many studies reported that differential expression levels of interleukin-6 are associated with both type 1 and type 2 reactions when compared with individuals affected with leprosy without any reaction. This is an intriguing finding and should be considered for future studies.

The authors checked the levels of interleukin-6 at M0 (beginning of leprosy reactions) and M1 (one month later), and then compared with untreated leprosy patients without type 2 reaction or erythema nodosum leprosum (designated as controls) to conclude that interleukin-6 was elevated only in ENL (M0). However, analysis of interleukin-6 levels in the patients having type 1 reaction should also have been taken into consideration. In our opinion, in such kind of studies, it

would be interesting to know the trend of the expression of interleukin-6 or any other predictive biomarker in both type 1 and type 2 reactions in a cohort study. An example of this approach is the study of Sousa *et al.*, where the objective was to assess the role of interleukin-6 and its variants in type 1 and type 2 reactions. The study included the samples from both type 1 and type 2 reactions which gave an unbiased result of an interleukin-6 variant associated with type 2 reaction which suggested that interleukin-6 may become a potential biomarker for type 2 reaction leprosy phenotype.⁵ Similarly, to get a proper idea of the identification of individual biomarker in a certain condition, any subsequent work should use both the reactions during the experiments to get a clear idea as to which reaction type has the highest expression in the serum level of interleukin-6 along with the other possible prospective biomarkers, as done by Saini *et al.* and others in their study.⁶ Using interleukin-6 expression as a ‘negative control’ for type 1 reaction may be useful in proving such a hypothesis, where any change of titre would not be expected due to any variable used in the experiments.

To conclude, we would like to congratulate Moreno *et al.* for their valuable work. The work is a step closer to recognising the role of interleukin-6 in leprosy type 2 reactions as a prognostic biomarker. We further hope that through this paper, relevant information will be disseminated regarding the usage of baseline for sample type in the experimental design to explore further the debatable role of interleukin-6 during lepra reactions.

Declaration of patient consent

Patient’s consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Mukul Sharma, Pushendra Singh

Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Research in Tribal Health, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

How to cite this article: Sharma M, Singh P. Differential expression of interleukin-6 in leprosy reactions. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol, doi: 10.25259/IJDVL_687_2021

Received: July, 2021 **Accepted:** July, 2021 **EPub Ahead of Print:** August, 2021 **Published:**

DOI: 10.25259/IJDVL_687_2021 **PMID:** ***

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Corresponding author:
Dr. Pushendra Singh,
Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Research in
Tribal Health, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.
pushendra.s@icmr.gov.in

References

1. Vilani-Moreno FR, Brito-de-Souza VN, Silva SUR, Barbosa AS, Sartori BG, Campanelli AP, *et al.* Increased serum levels of interleukin-6 in erythema nodosum leprosum suggest its use as a biomarker. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2021;87:190-8.
2. Scollard DM, Adams LB, Gillis TP, Krahenbuhl JL, Truman RW, Williams DL. The continuing challenges of leprosy. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006;19:338-81.
3. Gautam S, Sharma D, Goel A, Patil SA, Bisht D. Insights into *Mycobacterium leprae* proteomics and biomarkers an overview. *Proteomes* 2021;9:7.
4. Singh PP, Goyal A. Interleukin-6: A potent biomarker of mycobacterial infection. *Springerplus* 2013;2:686.
5. Sousa AL, Fava VM, Sampaio LH, Martelli CM, Costa MB, Mira MT, *et al.* Genetic and immunological evidence implicates interleukin 6 as a susceptibility gene for leprosy Type 2 reaction. *J Infect Dis* 2012;205:1417-24.
6. Saini C, Siddiqui A, Ramesh V, Nath I, *et al.* Leprosy reactions show increased Th17 cell activity and reduced FOXP3⁺ tregs with concomitant decrease in TGF- β and increase in IL-6. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2016;10:e0004592.