

Acceptability of artificial intelligence among Indian dermatologists

Sir,

The Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence defines "artificial intelligence" as "the scientific understanding of the mechanisms underlying thought and intelligent behaviour and their embodiment in machines."¹ Artificial intelligence is gaining importance in medicine, more so in specialties that depend on an image-based diagnosis such as radiology, histopathology, and dermatology. In the field of dermatology, several machine learning algorithms based on mobile phone applications for diagnosis have been developed and are freely available.² We undertook this study to know the acceptability of artificial intelligence among Indian dermatologists, their attitudes, and specific apprehensions associated with it.

We prepared a questionnaire (not validated, prepared by RP and SG) using Google Forms and circulated it among qualified Indian dermatologists and dermatology trainees. There were 166 respondents (99 males and 67 females). The mean age of respondents was 36.45±13 years (range: 23–69 years). The mean duration of experience was 7.80±10.92 years. The percentage of dermatologists working in a government hospital was 28.3%, those working in a private hospital or clinics were 29.5%, 16.9% had their own clinic and the remaining 25.3% were trainees.

The responses to the statements in the survey are given in Table 1 and Figure 1.

A significantly greater percentage of older dermatologists, >35 years of age, as compared to those aged ≤35 years perceived that artificial intelligence will benefit dermatology more than other specialties in medicine (agree/strongly agree: 50.9% versus 45.9%, disagree/strongly disagree: 20% versus 34.2%; $P=0.03$) and that any new development in artificial intelligence in dermatology is welcome (agree/strongly agree: 89% versus 61.2%, disagree/strongly disagree: 3.6% versus 24.3%; $P=0.012$). Dermatologists aged ≤35 years perceived more often that artificial intelligence may replace dermatologists in the future (agree/strongly agree: 16.2%

vs.5.4%; $P=0.007$) [Table 2]. This may reflect that the younger dermatologists are more apprehensive regarding settling in their career after finishing their degree.

Dermatologists with more than five years experience agreed that artificial intelligence should be included as a part of the training during dermatology residency ($P=0.001$) and were more interested in artificial intelligence ($P=0.004$) than the trainees and the dermatologists with less than five years of experience.

A greater percentage of the older dermatologists as compared to the younger dermatologists had acceptability for the use of artificial intelligence.

In our study, there was no difference in the perception of artificial intelligence based on government or private sector employment.

Polesie *et al.* observed that the men showed more excitement and less fear of the use of artificial intelligence in dermatology.³ We saw no difference in the perception towards artificial intelligence between the two genders. In a study from China, 95.3% of participating dermatologists believed the role of artificial intelligence was to assist them in the diagnosis and treatment and only 3.4% thought artificial intelligence would replace dermatologists.⁴ In our study, we had 12.6% agreeing/strongly agreeing that artificial intelligence will replace dermatologists in the future while the majority (64.4%) disagreed/strongly disagreed with it.

In a survey on medical students from Korea, 95% agreed artificial intelligence will be used in dermatology and only 6% believed artificial intelligence will replace dermatologists in the future. Among the medical students 84% responded that they would use artificial intelligence in future after obtaining their medical degree.⁵ In a study on pathologists working in dermatopathology, 72.3% agreed/strongly agreed that artificial intelligence will improve dermatopathology and only 6.0% agreed/strongly agreed that dermatopathologists will be replaced by artificial intelligence in the future.⁶

How to cite this article: Pangti R, Gupta S, Gupta P, Dixit A, Sati HC, Gupta S. Acceptability of artificial intelligence among Indian dermatologists. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2022;88:232-4.

Received: February, 2021 **Accepted:** October, 2021 **EPub Ahead of Print:** December, 2021 **Published:** February, 2022

DOI: 10.25259/IJDVL_210_2021 **PMID:** 35170270

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Table 1: Responses to the statements in the survey showing the varied perception of artificial intelligence among Indian dermatologists

S.No.	Statement	Strongly agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neither agree/disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)
1.	Artificial intelligence will benefit medicine in general	35 (21.0)	83 (50)	19 (11.4)	22 (13.2)	7 (4.2)
2.	Artificial intelligence will benefit dermatology	29 (17.4)	84 (50.6)	18 (10.8)	25 (15.0)	10 (6.0)
3.	Artificial intelligence will benefit dermatology more than other medicine branches	21 (12.6)	58 (34.9)	38 (22.8)	37 (22.2)	12 (7.2)
4.	Artificial intelligence will replace dermatologists in the future	4 (2.4)	17 (10.2)	38 (22.8)	70 (42.1)	37 (22.2)
5.	Any new development in artificial intelligence in dermatology is welcome by me	24 (14.4)	88 (53.0)	25 (15.0)	19 (11.4)	10 (6.0)
6.	Artificial intelligence should be made part of training during dermatology residency	21 (12.6)	69 (41.5)	36 (21.6)	26 (15.6)	14 (8.4)
7.	I am open to using artificial intelligence in my dermatology practice in the near future	27 (16.2)	75 (45.1)	33 (19.8)	18 (10.8)	13 (7.8)
8.	Artificial intelligence will affect my dermatology practice adversely	12 (7.2)	37 (22.2)	51 (30.7)	55 (33.1)	11 (6.6)
9.	I am afraid artificial intelligence will be used more by qualified general practitioners for making diagnosis in dermatology	47 (28.3)	78 (46.9)	28 (16.8)	7 (4.2)	6 (3.6)
10.	I am afraid artificial intelligence will be used more by qualified general practitioners for making diagnosis in dermatology thereby affecting my practice	30 (18.0)	45 (27.1)	44 (26.5)	37 (22.2)	10 (6.0)
11.	I am afraid artificial intelligence will be used more by people practicing alternate medicine and traditional healers	59 (35.5)	73 (43.9)	25 (15.0)	7 (4.2)	2 (1.2)
12.	I am afraid artificial intelligence will be misused by patients leading to self-diagnosis and self-treatment, which may harm them	71 (42.7)	69 (41.5)	15 (9.0)	9 (5.4)	2 (1.2)

Table 2: Sub-group analysis based on age where responses to the statements in the survey were statistically significant

Years	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neither agree/disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)	P-value
Artificial intelligence will benefit dermatology more than other medicine branches						
≤35	11 (9.9)	40 (36.0)	22 (19.8)	26 (23.4)	12 (10.8)	0.030
>35	10 (18.1)	18 (32.7)	16 (29.0)	11 (20.0)	0 (0)	
Any new development in artificial intelligence in dermatology is welcome by me						
≤35	13 (11.7)	55 (49.5)	16 (14.4)	18 (16.2)	9 (8.1)	0.012
>35	11 (29.0)	33 (60.0)	9 (16.3)	1 (1.8)	1 (1.8)	
Artificial intelligence will replace dermatologists in the future						
≤35	4 (3.6)	14 (12.6)	17 (15.3)	47 (42.3)	29 (26.1)	0.007
>35	0 (0)	3 (5.4)	21 (38.1)	23 (41.8)	8 (14.5)	

Overall, there is a positive attitude towards artificial intelligence among most Indian dermatologists seen in our study which was similar to the previous studies.^{3,4} The majority also perceived that artificial intelligence will be used more by the general practitioners, alternative medicine practitioners, traditional healers, and by patients for self-diagnosis and self-treatment. However, as to the question in the survey whether artificial intelligence is a boon or bane to dermatology, it was observed that most of the Indian dermatologists were not sure.

In an article on the National Strategy for artificial intelligence issued by the Government of India, it was recognized that there is a great scope of artificial intelligence to tackle the current disparities in quality healthcare across the country. The issues of ethics, privacy, and security in artificial intelligence were also discussed in the article.⁷ However, there was no comment on stringent regulation on the use of artificial intelligence for the healthcare sector as enforced in other countries.⁷⁻⁹




Figure 1: Responses by Indian dermatologists to the questions in the survey

Our study highlights that there are specific apprehensions among dermatologists regarding artificial intelligence. This can be addressed by the regulatory bodies involved in artificial intelligence in healthcare in our country.

Since the development of artificial intelligence in dermatology is inevitable, the authors opine that dermatologists should focus more on the cognitive tasks in dermatology, sharpen their procedural and counseling skills, and be more empathetic to the patient, as current artificial intelligence technology cannot fulfill these aspects of patient care.

Our study was limited by the number of respondents to the survey.

Declaration of patient consent

Patient’s consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Rashi Pangti, Sanjeev Gupta¹, Praanjali Gupta², Ambika Dixit³, Hem Chandra Sati⁴, Somesh Gupta

Department of Dermatology and Venereology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, ¹Department of Dermatology, MM Institute, Ambala,

Haryana, ²Department of Urology and Renal Transplant, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, ³Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya College, ⁴Department of Biostatistics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Corresponding author:

Dr. Somesh Gupta,
Department of Dermatology and Venereology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.
someshgupta@hotmail.com

References

1. Available from: <https://aitopics.org/search>. [Last accessed on 2021 Nov 24].
2. Pangti R, Mathur J, Chouhan V, Kumar S, Rajput L, Shah S, *et al.* A machine learning-based, decision support, mobile phone application for diagnosis of common dermatological diseases. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021;35:536-45.
3. Polesie S, Gillstedt M, Kittler H, Lallas A, Tschandl P, Zalaudek I, *et al.* Attitudes towards artificial intelligence within dermatology: An international online survey. *Br J Dermatol* 2020;183:159-61.
4. Shen C, Li C, Xu F, Wang Z, Shen X, Gao J, *et al.* Web-based study on Chinese dermatologists’ attitudes towards artificial intelligence. *Ann Transl Med* 2020;8:698.
5. Cho SI, Han B, Hur K, Mun JH. Perceptions and attitudes of medical students regarding artificial intelligence in dermatology. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021;35:e72-3.
6. Polesie S, McKee PH, Gardner JM, Gillstedt M, Siarov J, Neittaanmäki N, *et al.* Attitudes toward artificial intelligence within dermatopathology: An international online survey. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2020;7:591952.
7. Available from: <https://www.niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/documentpublication/nationalstrategy-for-ai-discussion-paper.pdf>
8. Lewinson RT, Vallerand IA. The need for a national strategy on artificial intelligence in Canadian dermatology. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2020;24:428-9.
9. Available from: <https://www.server.aad.org/forms/policies/uploads/ps/ps-augmented%20intelligence.pdf>