

Insect bite reactions

Sanjay Singh, Baldeep Kaur Mann

Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Sanjay Singh,
C-23, Swastik Towers,
Lanka, Varanasi-221005,
Uttar Pradesh, India.
E-mail: sanjaye2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Insects are a class of living creatures within the arthropods. Insect bite reactions are commonly seen in clinical practice. The present review touches upon the medically important insects and their places in the classification, the sparse literature on the epidemiology of insect bites in India, and different variables influencing the susceptibility of an individual to insect bites. Clinical features of mosquito bites, hypersensitivity to mosquito bites Epstein-Barr virus NK (HMB-EBV-NK) disease, eruptive pseudoangiomatosis, Skeeter syndrome, papular pruritic eruption of HIV/AIDS, and clinical features produced by bed bugs, Mexican chicken bugs, assassin bugs, kissing bugs, fleas, black flies, Blandford flies, louse flies, tsetse flies, midges, and thrips are discussed. Brief account is presented of the immunogenic components of mosquito and bed bug saliva. Papular urticaria is discussed including its epidemiology, the 5 stages of skin reaction, the SCRATCH principle as an aid in diagnosis, and the recent evidence supporting participation of types I, III, and IV hypersensitivity reactions in its causation is summarized. Recent developments in the treatment of pediculosis capitis including spinosad 0.9% suspension, benzyl alcohol 5% lotion, dimethicone 4% lotion, isopropyl myristate 50% rinse, and other suffocants are discussed within the context of evidence derived from randomized controlled trials and key findings of a recent systematic review. We also touch upon a non-chemical treatment of head lice and the ineffectiveness of egg-loosening products. Knockdown resistance (kdr) as the genetic mechanism making the lice nerves insensitive to permethrin is discussed along with the surprising contrary clinical evidence from Europe about efficacy of permethrin in children with head lice carrying kdr-like gene. The review also presents a brief account of insects as vectors of diseases and ends with discussion of prevention of insect bites and some serious adverse effects of mosquito coil smoke.

Key words: Arthropod, bite, insect, mosquito, papular urticaria, pediculosis, permethrin, spinosad

INTRODUCTION

Insects are a class of living creatures within the arthropods with a chitinous exoskeleton, three-part body, three pairs of jointed legs, compound eyes, and two antennae [Table 1].^[1] Insects (Latin *insectum*, meaning “cut into sections”) may be considered to “cut into” three sections, head, thorax, and abdomen. Bite

is a wound produced by the mouth parts of an animal. Some animals have a special structure called sting through which they inflict wound and inject venom. All insects do not bite. Some non-biting insects are beetles, locusts, moths, and butterflies, although these may produce skin reactions by other means such as allergic reactions to their body parts, faeces, or body fluids. Bees, wasps, and ants also do not bite, but produce dermatological reactions by their stings.

EPIDEMIOLOGY IN INDIA

Insect bite reactions are common, but information about their prevalence is limited. Children <14 years of age in dermatology outpatient clinic in Pondicherry had a prevalence of 5.3%.^[2] Children <5 years of

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijdvl.com
	DOI: 10.4103/0378-6323.107629

How to cite this article: Singh S, Mann BK. Insect bite reactions. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2013;79:151-64.
Received: February, 2012 **Accepted:** August, 2012. **Source of Support:** None. **Conflict of Interest:** None.

Table 1: Insects of dermatological significance⁽¹⁾

Order Diptera
Suborder Nematocera (long-horned flies)
Family Culicidae (<u>mosquitoes</u>)
Family Psychodidae (<u>sandflies</u>)
Genus <i>Phlebotomus</i>
Genus <i>Lutzomyia</i>
Family Simuliidae
<i>Simulium damnosum complex</i> (<u>black flies</u>)
<i>Simulium postcatum</i> (<u>Blandford fly</u>)
Family Ceratopogonidae (<u>biting midges</u> , ' <u>punkies</u> ', ' <u>no-see-ums</u> ')
Genus <i>Culicoides</i>
Genus <i>Leptoconops</i>
Suborder Brachycera (circular-seamed flies, muscoid flies, short-horned flies)
Family Tabanidae
Genus <i>Tabanus</i> (<u>horse flies</u>)
Genus <i>Chrysops</i> (<u>deer flies</u>)
Genus <i>Haematopota</i> (<u>clegs</u>)
Family Rhagionidae (<u>snipe flies</u>)
Family Chloropigae (<u>eye flies</u> , <u>frit flies</u>)
Family Muscidae (house flies, lesser house flies, <u>stable flies</u> , <u>tsetse flies</u>)
Family Hippoboscidae (<u>flat flies</u> , <u>louse flies</u>)
Family Calliphoridae (blow flies)
Family Sarcophagidae (flesh flies)
Family Oestridae
Order Siphonaptera (<u>Fleas</u>)
Family Tungidae
Family Pulicidae
<i>Pulex irritans</i> (<u>human flea</u>)
<i>Ctenocephalides canis</i> (<u>dog flea</u>)
<i>Ctenocephalides felis</i> (<u>cat flea</u>)
<i>Xenopsylla cheopis</i> (<u>tropical rat flea</u>)
Family Ceratophyllidae (<u>bird fleas</u>)
Order Hymenoptera (bees, wasps and ants)
Order Phthiraptera (<u>lice</u>)
Suborder Anoplura
Family Pediculidae
<i>Pediculus humanus humanus</i> (<u>body louse</u>)
<i>Pediculus humanus capitis</i> (<u>head louse</u>)
Family Pthiridae
<i>Pthirus pubis</i> (<u>crab louse</u>)
Order Hemiptera (bugs)
Family Cimicidae
Genus <i>Cimex</i>
<i>Cimex lectularius</i> (<u>common bed bugs</u>)
<i>Cimex pipistrelli</i> (<u>batbug</u>)
<i>Cimex hemipterus</i> (<u>tropical batbug</u>)
Genus <i>Leptocimex</i>
Genus <i>Oeciacus</i> (<u>martin bug</u> , <u>swallow bug</u>)
Genus <i>Haematosiphon</i> (<u>Mexican chicken bedbug</u>)
Family Anthrocoridae

Table 1: Continued

Family Pantatomidae
<i>Palomena prasina</i> (<u>green shield bug</u>)
Family Reduviidae (<u>kissing bugs</u> , <u>assassin bugs</u> , <u>cone-nosed bugs</u>)
Order Thysanoptera (<u>thrips</u>)
Order Coleoptera (beetles)
Order Dictyoptera (cockroaches)
Order Orthoptera (locusts)
Order Lepidoptera (butterflies, moths)
Biting insects are underlined. Information taken from Burns, 2010. ⁽¹⁾

age attending skin outpatient clinic in Calcutta had 10.6% prevalence of papular urticaria,^[3] with seasonal variation (rainy season 16.7%, summer 6.7%, winter 5.8%). Variables affecting susceptibility to insect bites have been described [Table 2].^[4-11]

MOSQUITO BITES

Mosquitoes belong to order Diptera, suborder Nematocera, and family Culicidae [Figure 1]. Bite reactions due to insects of order Diptera are mostly due to allergens in saliva of insect and not due to toxin. Saliva of mosquitoes contains pharmacologically active compounds inhibiting body's innate immune responses, causing anticoagulation, impaired platelet formation, vasodilation and anti-inflammatory activities.^[12,13] Allergens in mosquito whole body extract and saliva have been studied for developing diagnostic tests and immunotherapy for mosquito bite allergy. These approaches are used infrequently and mosquito whole body extracts are ineffective in down regulating specific immune responses to salivary allergens and may enhance sensitization.^[14] Salivary gland surface proteins are major immunogenic components.^[15] Species-specific and species-shared allergens of mosquitoes have been identified, which should facilitate production of specific recombinant allergens and improvement in diagnosis and specific immunotherapy.^[16]

Human sweat contains odorous organic compounds, produced by skin microflora, determining its attractiveness to mosquitoes. Variation in sweat composition causes differential attractiveness to mosquitoes within and between individuals.^[6] Higher probability of mosquito bites is associated with increasing age in children,^[17] male gender,^[18] large body size,^[19] pregnancy,^[9] and alcohol ingestion.^[10]

Common reaction pattern

Mellanby^[20] has described 5 stages of reaction to repeated mosquito bites [Table 3].

Table 2: Variables influencing susceptibility of individuals to insect bites^[4-11]

	Who are susceptible?	Insects attracted	Mechanism(s) involved
Environmental factors	Persons living in tropical areas, summer in non-tropical areas	Any	Fewer clothes and expose large areas
	Spending time in garden	Any	Increased exposure to insects
	Overcrowding and poor hygiene	Lice, fleas, bed bugs	Increased re-infestation
	Shifting to a house in which previous owner kept pets	Cat and dog fleas	Cocoons hatch and attack humans in scarcity of natural host
	Dilapidated housing	Bedbugs	Source of crevices in which bedbugs multiply
	Tourism destinations, trains, cinemas, hospital wards and clinic waiting rooms, staff and student accommodation, hotels ^[4]	Bedbugs	Increased transfer of insects
Host factors	Body heat and carbon dioxide in exhaled air	Mosquitoes, fleas, bedbugs	Increased attractiveness to insects
	Vibrations caused by host	Fleas ^[5]	Displacement of air attracts insects
	Human sweat	Mosquitoes ^[6]	Increased attractiveness to insects
	Human skin flora	Mosquitoes ^[7]	Microflora produces compounds attracting insect
	Human body odour	Mosquitoes, ^[7] sandflies ^[8]	Increased attractiveness to insects
	Pregnancy ^[9]	<i>Anopheles gambiae</i> complex	Increased heat and increased release of volatile substances from skin surface
	Alcohol and beer ^[10]	Mosquitoes	Unknown
	Lipoatrophy in patients on antiretroviral therapy ^[11]	Mosquitoes	Lipoatrophic subcutaneous tissue may present more accessible capillary network and increased release of volatile substances from skin surface.



Figure 1: Aedes aegypti biting a human (source: http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Aedes_aegypti_biting_human.jpg)

Anaphylactic reaction

Severe IgE-mediated anaphylactic reactions rarely occurs, characterized by rapid onset of skin lesions which may be associated with respiratory compromise and may result in death. It is highly recommended that individuals at risk of anaphylaxis carry an epinephrine auto-injector whenever they are likely to encounter mosquitoes.^[21, 22]

HYPERSENSITIVITY TO MOSQUITO BITE EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS NATURAL KILLER (HMB-EBV-NK) DISEASE

This disease is mainly reported from Japan, Korea, and China. Persons infected with EBV may develop

Table 3: Different stages of reaction to mosquito bites^[20]

Stage of reaction	Clinical features
Stage 1	No observable reaction, period of induction of hypersensitivity
Stage 2	Pruritic papules appear after about 24 hours of bite and lasting for several days
Stage 3	Patient in addition developing an immediate wheal lasting for a few hours
Stage 4	Development of only immediate wheal without delayed papule
Stage 5	Complete tolerance with no reaction

a severe reaction to mosquito bites. In 1990, Tokura et al described a patient with HMB with 50% to 60% of peripheral blood mononuclear cells being NK cells.^[23] Mean age of onset is 6.7 years without any gender predominance.^[24] EBV infection immortalizes NK cells, which are activated by injection of some mosquito constituents, thereby exhibiting HMB as primary clinical manifestation.^[24]

NK cell predominant mononuclear cells are infiltrated into bite sites. Typical clinical course includes three stages, initial exaggerated reaction to mosquito bites, deterioration of general systemic symptoms particularly in elderly, and the hemophagocytic syndrome (or malignant histiocytosis) with major life-threatening complications at the terminal stages.^[24] A recurrent and prolonged activated state of NK cells may induce

additional genetic damage leading to leukemias or lymphomas.^[25] Some patients may already have leukemia or lymphoma at first episode of HMB.^[24]

HMB-EBV-NK disease is included under “T/NK cell chronic active EBV disease” (CAEBV), which also includes hydroa vacciniforme (HV), HV-like lymphoma, and systemic EBV+T-cell lymphoproliferative disease of childhood.^[26]

ERUPTIVE PSEUDOANGIOMATOSIS

Millet-sized erythema or small shiny red papules of angioma-like appearance with an anaemic halo occur on exposed parts resolving within a few days.^[27,28] The disease is possibly due to mosquito bites, as lesions have been elicited by *Culex pipiens* bites.^[27] It overlaps with a disease known in Japan as erythema punctatum Higuchi, possibly caused by *Culex pipiens pallens*.^[29] Histopathological findings are unremarkable with noticeable capillary dilation with plump endothelial cells protruding into lumen and perivascular predominantly lymphohistiocytic infiltrate without vasculitis.^[29]

SKEETER SYNDROME

Skeeter syndrome is a mosquito saliva-induced large local inflammatory reaction clinically resembling cellulites.^[30] Lesions are red, itchy, warm swellings appearing within minutes of bite and itchy papules, ecchymotic, vesiculated, and bullous reactions appearing 2 to 6 hours afterwards and persisting for days or weeks with or without fever.^[31] Severe reactions are treated with systemic prednisone.^[30]

PAPULAR PRURITIC ERUPTION OF HIV/AIDS

It is characterized by symmetrically distributed pruritic 2 to 5 mm papules on extremities and trunk sparing palms, soles, and digital web-spaces,^[32] usually in advanced HIV disease, and is more prevalent in less developed regions of the world.^[33] The condition, which tends to wax and wane and is resistant to oral antihistamine and topical corticosteroid therapy,^[33] responds to HAART.^[34] Increasing rash severity has been significantly associated with lower CD4 cell counts and higher absolute peripheral eosinophil counts.^[33] Most of the patients had moderately dense to dense, superficial and deep, perivascular and interstitial infiltrates of lymphocytes and many eosinophils beneath an epidermis that was slightly

hyperplastic.^[33] History and histopathology suggest it to be an abnormal response to arthropod, mainly mosquito bites.^[33]

BLACK FLIES

Small blood crust with surrounding ecchymosis appears at bite site. Within a few hours, small, pruritic papules develop lasting several days. Severe reactions with marked oedema of limbs and constitutional upset occasionally occur and sometimes nodules and eczematous areas persist for several months.^[35]

Two patients with *Simulium* dermatitis from North-Eastern region of India had intense itching, excoriations, scarring, and hyperpigmentation. Histopathology showed vesicles, dermal oedema, and perivascular infiltrates rich in eosinophils and lymphocytes.^[36]

BLANDFORD FLIES

Bites produce skin swellings and sometimes fever or joint pain.^[37]

HORSE FLIES

Bites produce painful lesions and rarely anaphylactic reaction with generalized itching, urticaria, paresthesia, and unconsciousness.^[38]

LOUSE FLIES

Deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi* L.), a haematophagous louse fly of deer, causes pruritic papules, usually in forests.^[39] Lesions appear mostly on head and back, are resistant to treatment and persist for weeks to months. Direct immunofluorescence may show deposits of C3 in dermal vessel walls.^[39] IgE, complement and cell-mediated mechanisms are involved.^[39]

TSETSE FLY

Tsetse includes all species in genus *Glossina*, generally placed in their own family, Glossinidae.^[40] Confined to Africa, tsetse flies are vectors of trypanosomiasis. Almost no information is available about lesions produced by bite. An allergen found in stinging insects (TAg5) was detected in tsetse fly saliva.^[41] Anaphylactic reaction occurred following *Glossina morsitans* bites in a laboratory worker.^[42]

MIDGES

Biting midges prefer certain human hosts determined by body odour, with non-attractive people producing natural “repellents”.^[43] In areas where midges are found, they are abundant at heights of 1 to 4 meters above ground^[44] and hence bite taller people first.^[45] Strong association between probability of bite and increasing height in men and body mass index in women has been shown.^[45] This study found no association between bites and eating strongly flavoured foods (garlic, chilli, and onion), contrary to popular belief that garlic makes one less attractive to biting insects.

Bites may manifest as IgE-mediated urticaria or as presumably delayed-type reactions with papules, ulcers, or bullae persisting for weeks^[46] IFN- γ , IL-6 and TNF- α are involved in delayed reactions.^[47]

BUGS

Bugs are insects of the order Hemiptera with a common arrangement of sucking mouth parts; their hindwings are smaller than forewings. All bugs of family Cimicidae are flattened, oval and have no hind wings; the front wings are vestigial, hence they do not fly. Adult bedbugs are about 5 mm long and may be confused with booklice, carpet beetles, and small cockroaches [Figure 2]. Cimicids are obligate haematophagous ectoparasites.^[48] Bedbugs may survive up to a year without feeding.^[49]

Bedbugs

Common species found in India are common bedbug and tropical bedbug.^[1] Bedbug bites are known as



Figure 2: Bedbugs and their eggs on a mattress (source: <http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Bedbugs.jpg>)

cimicosis. On first exposure most individuals do not develop lesions. With further bites, most develop an obvious skin reaction and latency for previously reacting persons decreases substantially.^[50] Few may not be sensitized even after repeated exposures as happened in a voluntarily-exposed researcher.^[49] Three salivary proteins of bedbugs, a nitric oxide-liberating heme protein (nitrophorin),^[51] a 17-kDa anticoagulant (Factor X),^[52] and a 40-kDa apyrase-like nucleotide-binding enzyme, may be important immunologically.^[53]

Bedbug infestation is facilitated by poor sanitation, overcrowding of residences and trade in second-hand furniture.^[54] Infestation in high turnover locations (hotel rooms, school hostels) may spread the disease,^[55] bedbugs being transferred with luggage to homes.

Bedbugs avoid light and feed at night.^[49] Patient develops itch or a barely visible punctum.^[49] This, if not abraded, resolves within a week.^[56] Other lesions are pruritic, usually painless, erythematous macules, papules, nodules, urticarial wheals, and blisters.^[49,57] Bullous rashes occurring days later^[58] may represent late-phase response of IgE-mediated hypersensitivity to salivary protein.^[57] Common sites are arms, shoulders, and legs. Bites may produce anxiety, insomnia^[59] or delusions in a cured patient.^[60] Heavy infestation may cause significant blood loss and anemia in children.^[57]

Rare systemic reactions include generalized urticaria, asthma, and anaphylaxis.^[49] Bedbugs are suspected to transmit ≥ 40 human pathogens,^[49] however, there is no proven case.^[61]

Exposing suspected infested household materials to sunlight has little effect as bedbugs move away to dark crevices.^[62]

Mexican chicken bugs

Bites of Mexican chicken bugs *Haemosiphon inodorus* (haemosiphoniasis) produce polymorphic lesions (wheals, papules, vesicles, pustules, and scabs).^[63]

Assassin bugs

Assassin bug bites are defensive and extremely painful.^[64]

Kissing bugs

Bites of kissing bugs (*Triatoma sanguisuga*) are painless, allowing them to feed undisturbed. Initial bites produce little reaction, with repeated exposure

reactions ranging from pruritic papules with central punctum to haemorrhagic nodules and bullae may occur.^[65] Patients have multiple clustered bites, especially on face, hence the name kissing bugs.^[66] After additional bites, reaction may “accelerate” with local to diffuse urticaria and even erythema multiforme.^[65] Rarely anaphylactic reaction may occur, usually as urticaria or angioedema.^[67]

Often insects defecate while feeding, and parasite-laden faeces from infected bugs are source of *Trypanosoma cruzi* inocula, the causative organism of Chagas’ disease.^[66] Other insects of subfamily Triatominae may also transmit *T. cruzi*.

Kissing bug bite on face may produce Romaña sign, consisting of unilateral swelling of eye at site of initial infection with *T. cruzi* with localized lymphadenopathy. Swelling persists for weeks. Acute stage of Chagas is followed by indeterminate stage lasting ≥ 10 years.^[66] Although considered pathognomonic of *T. cruzi* infection, the sign may occur after a bite in absence of *T. cruzi* transmission.^[68]

FLEAS

Fleas are wingless haematophagous ectoparasites of birds and mammals, such as bats and humans [Figure 3]. Animal fleas bite humans coming in contact with infested animals. Flea bites produce maculopapular or papular rashes and severe pruritus (pulicosis).^[69,70] Diagnosis is confirmed by examination of debris from pet’s beddings^[71] or presence of flea faeces, eczematous lesions or alopecia on pet’s body.^[1] Bites may produce



Figure 3: Scanning electron micrograph of a flea with false colours (source: http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Flea_Scanning_Electron_Micrograph_False_Color.jpg)

psychological distress.^[72] Treatment consists of eradication of flea infestation in the source animal.

THRIPS

Bites produce tiny puncta and small, pink macules or papules.^[73,74]

PAPULAR URTICARIA

Epidemiology

Usually occurring in 2 to 10 years old children, papular urticaria occurs occasionally in adolescents and adults.^[75] Sensitization takes time; hence it is not seen in newborns.^[76] Higher prevalence in children may result from immune mechanisms and/or behaviours predisposing them to contact with insects. Most children outgrow the disease probably due to desensitization by repeated exposures. There are no racial or gender predilections. The disease is common in summer and spring seasons when opportunities of bites are more.

Insects

Papular urticaria results from hypersensitivity reaction to bites of mosquitoes, fleas, bed bugs, midges, biting flies, and other arthropods (mites and ticks). Some authors also consider cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions following stings and contact with body parts of arthropods (spiders, caterpillars) under papular urticaria.^[77] Different insects may be responsible in different regions.

Pathogenesis

First exposure to the bites does not produce reaction. On subsequent exposures, host response to salivary or contactant proteins causes eruption.^[77] Exact immune mechanism is unknown; evidence supports involvement of types I, III, and IV hypersensitivity.

Evidence supporting involvement of type I hypersensitivity includes time frame of immediate reaction, formation of wheals, elevated mosquito saliva-specific IgE in papular urticaria caused by mosquito bites, correlation of immediate wheals and flares with mosquito salivary gland-specific IgE levels, and high levels of saliva-specific IgE levels in systemic reactions.^[30]

Mosquito saliva-specific IgG antibodies, mainly IgG4 and IgG1, are raised in individuals with positive mosquito bite tests and those with severe local reactions, but not systemic reactions, to mosquito bites.

Levels of mosquito saliva-specific IgG correlate with sizes of both immediate and delayed skin reactions and with saliva-specific IgE levels.^[30]

Immunoglobulin and complement deposits have been detected in skin suggesting that lesions may result from vasculitis.^[78,79]

Predominance of CD4+ T cells in all lesions (vesicles, wheals, and papules) after cutaneous injection of flea antigens in patients suggests delayed-type hypersensitivity.^[76] Furthermore, presence of abundant eosinophils throughout dermis in all lesions, as earlier reported,^[80] supported involvement of both immediate and delayed mechanisms.^[76]

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with papular urticaria after polyclonal stimulation predominantly respond with Th2 cytokine (IL-4) production, indicating that patients underwent an atopic stage predisposing them to the disease.^[81] Similar Th2 predominant response occurred on stimulation of dendritic cells.^[82]

Type I hypersensitivity reaction may cause the wheal, while delayed papule may result from type IV hypersensitivity.

Appearance of new lesions following new bites may be accompanied by lesions appearing on previously sensitized sites, indicating role of circulating antigen(s) which stimulate cutaneous T cells in previously sensitized sites.^[77]

Clinical features

Patients present with chronic or recurrent eruption of wheals and itchy papules [Figure 4]. A mnemonic



Figure 4: Lesions of papular urticaria in a 3 year old girl

SCRATCH aids in diagnosis (S, symmetric distribution; C, crops of different coloration with erythema and pigmentary changes; R, pet (rover) unnecessary for diagnosis; A, age 2 to 10 years; T, target lesions and time taking weeks to years to resolve; C, confused paediatrician or parent; and H, household with single family member affected).^[76]

Identifying culprit insect is difficult. Bullous papular urticaria, sometimes extensive, seen commonly in Iraq, may simulate serious bullous skin diseases.^[83]

An eruption called harara (urticaria multiformis endemic), reported mainly in immigrants and children from Palestine after *Phlebotomus* bites, may be a form of papular urticaria.^[84]

Histopathology

Mild acanthosis and spongiosis, exocytosis of lymphocytes, mild subepidermal edema, extravasation of erythrocytes, superficial and deep mixed inflammatory cell infiltrate of moderate density, and interstitial eosinophils are present. Depending on the predominant cellular infiltrate 4 subtypes (lymphocytic, eosinophilic, neutrophilic, and mixed) may be recognized.^[80]

Treatment

Three “Ps” of management include protective clothing, pruritus control, and patience.^[76] Treatment of insect bite reactions is symptomatic, with topical corticosteroids and antihistamines for mild reactions, short course of systemic corticosteroid for severe reactions, and management of anaphylaxis if it occurs.

PEDICULOSIS

Head and body lice were designated *Pediculus capitis* and *P. corporis* but they are now known to belong to same species, *P. humanus*.^[85] Under the body louse genome project, genome of the body louse, smallest among insects (108 Mb), has been sequenced, offering unique information and tools for understanding co-evolution among vectors, symbionts, and pathogens.^[86]

Treatment

Increasing resistance and adverse effects have raised concerns about head lice treatments,^[87] encouraging search for new treatments [Table 4].^[88-103] No available pediculicide is 100% ovicidal, and resistance to lindane, pyrethrins, permethrin, and malathion has been reported.^[100] Nits persist after the treatment and may be mistaken for active infestation [Figure 5].

Table 4: Recent research on new treatments for head lice^[88-103]

Treatment	Mechanism	Comment
Ivermectin (vs. malathion)	Interrupts γ -aminobutyric acid-induced neurotransmission.	Ivermectin (400 μ g per kilogram body-weight) vs. 0.5% malathion lotion, each given on days 1 and 8, showed ivermectin to be superior. ^[88]
Spinosad 0.9% suspension	Interferes with nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, producing neuronal excitation and subsequent neuromuscular fatigue of insects. ^[89]	A derivative of actinomycete <i>Saccharopolyspora spinosa</i> , a natural mixture of pediculicidal tetracyclic macrolides spinosyn A and spinosyn D, ^[89] ovicidal, kills both permethrin-susceptible and permethrin-resistant lice, ^[90] approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in January 2011 for treatment of head lice in patients \geq 4 years of age. Two phase III, multicenter, randomized, trials compared 0.9% spinosad to 1% permethrin in patients aged \geq 6 months under actual-use conditions. ^[91] About 85% of spinosad-treated participants were lice free versus about 43% permethrin-treated participants ($P < .001$). Most spinosad-treated participants required 1 application, whereas most permethrin-treated participants required 2 applications. ^[91]
Benzyl alcohol 5% lotion	Physical	First FDA-approved non-neurotoxic lice treatment, safe and effective in children as young as 6 months, not contraindicated in pregnancy, not ovicidal, applied for 10 minutes and repeated in 7 days, resistance unlikely as it works by asphyxiating lice. ^[92]
Dimeticone 4% lotion	Physical	Made from high molecular weight dimeticone in cyclomethicone base, 4 randomized controlled trials have shown its high efficacy. ^[93-96] 15-minute application also effective. ^[97] Lice unlikely to develop resistance due to its physical action.
Isopropyl myristate 50% rinse	Physical	Assessed in two phase II trials (a non-randomized trial without a comparator and a randomized trial comparing 50% IPM rinse with pyrethrin 0.33%, piperonyl butoxide 4%), found to be a safe and effective. Mechanical mechanism of action makes development of resistance unlikely. ^[98]
Suffocant (vs. malathion)	Physical	A randomized multicentre, phase IV trial compared a suffocant [paraffinum liquidum, isopropyl myristate, laureth-3, butylenes/ethylene/styrene copolymer, ethylene/propylene/styrene copolymer, carthamus tinctorius (safflower oil) and butylated hydroxytoluene] with malathion for head lice in children, suffocant more effective than malathion and with low incidence of mild adverse events. ^[87]
Essential oils pediculicides vs. suffocant	Mechanism of action of essential oils unclear	A randomized trial comparing efficacy of melaleuca oil (tea tree oil) and lavender oil pediculicide (TTO/LO); eucalyptus oil and lemon tea tree oil pediculicide (EO/LTTO); and a "suffocation" pediculicide (containing benzyl alcohol, mineral oil, polysorbate 80, sorbitan monooleate, Carbopol 934, water, and triethanolamine) showed these to be ovicidal in 44.4%, 3.3%, and 68.3%, respectively. ^[99]
Hot air	Desiccation	A non-chemical treatment using a machine producing controlled hot air, ^[100] 30-minute application of hot air used to desiccate the lice. One study demonstrated nearly 100% mortality of eggs and 80% mortality of hatched lice, ^[101] another showed overall mortality of lice and eggs as 94.8% and no adverse effects. ^[102] Head lice are unlikely to evolve resistance.
Egg-loosening products	None	Eggs difficult to remove because they are fixed to hairs by a proteinaceous secretion that hardens within seconds, ^[103] persistent eggshells harmless but unsightly and mistaken for active infestation, no evidence found that egg-loosening products have any efficacy. ^[103]

A recent systematic review on pediculosis capitis including articles published till June 2010 concluded: malathion lotion may increase lice eradication compared with placebo, phenothrin, or permethrin; current best practice is to treat with two applications 7 days apart, and to check for cure at 14 days; studies comparing malathion or permethrin with wet combing have given conflicting results, possibly because of varying insecticide resistance; oral ivermectin may be more effective than malathion in people with previous failed treatment with insecticides; although tested in a clinical trial, oral ivermectin is not currently licensed for treating head lice, and generally its likely usefulness has been superseded by introduction of physically

**Figure 5: Numerous nits in a 15 year old girl with pediculosis capitis**

acting chemicals that are not affected by resistance and are considered safer; permethrin may be more effective than placebo or lindane; eradication may be increased by adding trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole to topical permethrin, although this increases adverse effects; we don't know whether combinations of insecticides are beneficial compared with single agents or other treatments; dimeticone may be more effective compared with malathion or permethrin; dimeticone and phenothrin have produced similar results, but this may be because of varying insecticide resistance and formulation of phenothrin used; we don't know whether pyrethrum is beneficial compared with other insecticides; some herbal and essential oils may be beneficial compared with other treatments but this likely depends upon the compound(s) or extracts used; isopropyl myristate may be more effective than permethrin; benzyl alcohol may be more effective than placebo, however, we don't know whether benzyl alcohol is more effective than insecticides or other treatments; and spinosad may be more effective at eliminating lice than permethrin.^[104]

Permethrin resistance

Permethrin acts by its agonist action at voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSC) in nervous systems of insects. Selective point mutations in α -subunit gene of VGSC result in nerve insensitivity, resulting in resistance known as knockdown resistance (kdr).^[105,106] For efficient monitoring of head lice resistance in field based on kdr genotype molecular tools (quantitative sequencing, real-time PCR amplification of specific allele, serial invasive signal amplification reaction) have been developed.^[105]

Surprisingly, a recent report from Germany has questioned that kdr gene is responsible for treatment failure.^[107] Kdr-like gene was detected in up to 95% of head lice in Europe, which contrasts with reported low rates of treatment failure with permethrin. Treatments with 0.5% permethrin and 0.3% pyrethrin were effective in 93% and 74% of children, respectively, whose head lice carried kdr-like gene. Authors concluded that in *Pediculus capitis* populations examined, the kdr-like gene did not correlate with failure of permethrin or pyrethrin treatment and that further studies are necessary to identify all possible contributors to pyrethroid resistance, including attributes of head louse and host factors.^[107] It may be possible that permethrin is acting by some hitherto unknown mechanism or its vehicle is acting as a suffocant.

INSECTS AS VECTORS OF DISEASES [TABLE 5]

Prevention of insect bites

This is achievable by protective clothing, insecticide sprays, residential insecticides, repellents, or by physical means using nets which may be insecticide-treated. Major classes of insecticides used to control mosquitoes are pyrethroid, organophosphate, carbamate and dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT).^[108] Repellents are substances applied to skin preventing insects from biting. Most efficient repellents are diethyl-m-toluamide (DEET) and dimethylphthalate (DMP),^[109] others being N, N-diethylphenylacetamide (DEPA),^[110] para-Menthane-3, 8-diol (PMD),^[111] picardin (icaridin, KB 3023),^[112] and IR3535.^[113] Neem oil has been found to have larvicidal activity in field conditions,^[114] and has been shown to possess weak mosquito repellent activity.^[115]

Rational repellent prescription for a child must take into account: age, active substance concentration, topical substance tolerance, nature and surface of the skin, number of daily applications, and the length of use in a benefit-risk ratio assessment.^[113] The 4 repellents currently recommended by the WHO for their long lasting efficacy and tolerance are PMD, DEET, icaridin, and IR3535.^[113] Minimum effective concentration of each of these agents for 3 hours efficacy against most arthropods is 20%.^[113] Although the toxicity of topical repellent used sub-chronically and chronically is not well studied in paediatric age groups, current French recommendations are as follows: once daily use of topical repellent in infants above 6 months, 2 applications daily from ages 1 to 12 years, and 3 applications daily after 12 years.^[113]

Synthetic pyrethroids are now commonly used as they cause less ecological problems and are available as sprays, vaporizing mats, mosquito coils or in combination with physical means such as bed-nets.^[109] Although ineffective against mosquitoes, systemic vitamin B1, acoustic devices and so-called electrocuting light traps are still sold and used.^[109]

Pyrethroids resistance among insects is increasing, necessitating study of its implications for insect bites and insect-borne diseases.^[116,117]

Long-term exposure to mosquito coil smoke (MCS) might induce asthma and persistent wheeze in children.^[118] Mosquito coils containing pyrethroid insecticides, particularly d-allethrin, may contain

Table 5: Insects as vectors

Name of vector	Human disease caused	Causal organism
Mosquitoes	Malaria (exclusively by <i>Anopheles</i> species) Filaria	<i>Plasmodium</i> sp <i>Wuchereria bancrofti</i> , <i>Brugia malayi</i> , <i>Brugia timori</i> , <i>Loa loa</i> (the African eye worm), <i>Mansonella streptocerca</i> , <i>Onchocerca volvulus</i> , <i>Mansonella perstans</i> , <i>Mansonella ozzardi</i>
	Fever and/or encephalitis	Dengue virus, Chikungunya virus, Yellow fever virus, West Nile virus and others
Sandflies (<i>Phlebotomus</i>)	Cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis in Old World Sandfly or papatasi fever	<i>Leishmania</i> sp. <i>Vesiculovirus</i> and <i>Phlebovirus</i>
Sandflies (<i>Lutzomyia</i>)	Cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis and bartonellosis in New World (Carrión disease)	<i>Leishmania</i> sp.
Blackfly (family Simuliidae)	Onchocerciasis Tularaemia	<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i> <i>Francisella tularensis</i>
Tabanid/horse flies (family Tabanidae, <i>Tabanus</i> sp.)	Tularaemia	<i>Francisella tularensis</i>
Deer/mango flies (family Tabanidea, <i>Chrysops</i> sp.)	<i>Loa loa</i> filariasis	<i>Loa loa</i>
Tsetse fly (family Muscidae)	Human African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness)	<i>Trypanosoma brucei</i> sp.
Cat fleas (<i>Ctenocephalides felis</i>)	Cat-scratch disease and bacillary angiomatosis Bubonic plague Endemic typhus Flea-borne spotted fever	<i>Bartonella henselae</i> <i>Yersinia pestis</i> <i>Rickettsia typhi</i> <i>Rickettsia felis</i>
Tropical rat flea (<i>Xenopsylla cheopis</i> , <i>X. brasiliensis</i>)	Bubonic plague	<i>Yersinia pestis</i>
Bugs (Triatomines, subfamily Triatominae, family Reduviidae)	Chagas' disease (American trypanosomiasis)	<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>
Body lice	Epidemic typhus Louse-borne relapsing fever Trench fever/endocarditis	<i>Rickettsia prowazeki</i> <i>Borrelia recurrentis</i> <i>Bartonella quintana</i>

octachlorodipropyl ether (S-2, S-421). Their use likely exposes individuals to an extremely potent lung carcinogen bis(chloromethyl)ether (BCME) formed from combustion of coils.^[119] Prolonged exposures that recur in homes must be studied. Use of mosquito coils containing S-2 is illegal in US.^[119] Case control studies have linked exposure to MCS to lung cancer^[120] and nasopharyngeal carcinoma.^[121] It may be prudent to instead use physical means such as bed-nets and window-nets for prevention of insect bites.

Topical ivermectin and 1,2-octanediol for head lice

Two studies, reporting 3 randomized controlled trials, have shown about 74% cure rate with a single 10-minute application of 0.5% ivermectin lotion.^[122,123] Another randomized controlled trial showed that two 8-hour 7 days apart applications of a physically active surfactant, 5% 1,2-octanediol lotion, cured around 80% patients with superiority over 0.5% malathion liquid.^[124] Octanediol is the first surface active chemical to be tested for head lice.^[124]

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Grateful acknowledgment is made of Wikimedia Commons

(http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main_Page), an online database of freely usable media files, for Figures 1 to 3. We also acknowledge kindness of United States Department of Agriculture, Charlysays, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Janice Haney Carr) for contributing Figures 1 to 3 respectively to Wikimedia Commons.

REFERENCES

1. Burns DA. Diseases caused by arthropods and other noxious animals. In: Burns T, Breathnach S, Cox N, Griffiths C, editors. Rook's textbook of dermatology. 8th ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. p. 38.1-38.61.
2. Karthikeyan K, Thappa DM, Jeevankumar B. Pattern of pediatric dermatoses in a referral center in South India. Indian Pediatr 2004; 41:373-7.
3. Banerjee S, Gangopadhyay DN, Jana S, Chanda M. Seasonal Variation in pediatric dermatosis. Indian J Dermatol 2010;55:44-6.
4. Doggett SL, Russell R. Bed bugs - what the GP needs to know. Aust Fam Physician 2009;38:880-4.
5. Marshall AG. The ecology of ectoparasitic insects. London: Academic Press, 1981.
6. Smallegange RC, Verhulst NO, Takken W. Sweaty skin: an invitation to bite? Trends Parasitol 2011;27:143-8.
7. Keystone JS. Of bites and body odour. Lancet 1996;347:1423.
8. Hamilton JG, Ramsoondar TM. Attraction of *Lutzomyia longipalpis* to human skin odours. Med Vet Entomol 1994;8: 375-80.
9. Lindsay S, Ansell J, Selman C, Cox V, Hamilton K, Walraven G. Effect of pregnancy on exposure to malaria mosquitoes. Lancet 2000;355:1972.

10. Shirai O, Tsuda T, Kitagawa S, Naitoh K, Seki T, Kamimura K, *et al.* Alcohol ingestion stimulates mosquito attraction. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 2002;18:91-6.
11. Greub G, Fellay J, Telenti A. HIV lipatrophy and mosquito bites. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;34:288-9.
12. James AA, Rossignol PA. Mosquito salivary glands: parasitological and molecular aspects. *Parasitol Today* 1991;7:267-71.
13. Ribeiro JM. Vector salivation and parasite transmission. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1987;82 Suppl 3:1-3.
14. Peng Z, Simona FE. Comparison of proteins, IgE, and IgG binding antigens, and skin reactivity in commercial and laboratory made mosquito extracts. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1996;77:371-6.
15. King JG, Vernick KD, Hillyer JF. Members of the salivary gland surface protein (SGS) family are major immunogenic components of mosquito saliva. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:40824-34.
16. Wongkamchai S, Khongtak P, Leemingsawat S, Komalamisra N, Junsong N, Kulthanan K, *et al.* Comparative identification of protein profiles and major allergens of saliva, salivary gland and whole body extracts of mosquito species in Thailand. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2010;28:162-9.
17. Michael E, Ramaiah KD, Hoti SL, Barker G, Paul MR, Yuvaraj J, *et al.* Quantifying mosquito biting patterns on humans by DNA fingerprinting of bloodmeals. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2001;65:722-8.
18. Carnevale P, Frézil JL, Bosseno MF, Le Pont F, Lancien J. The aggressiveness of *Anopheles gambiae* A in relation to the age and sex of the human subjects. *Bull World Health Organ* 1978;56:147-54.
19. Port GR, Boreham PFL, Bryan JH. The relationship of host size to feeding by mosquitoes of the *Anopheles gambiae* Giles Complex (Diptera, Culicidae). *Bull Entomol Res* 1980;70:133-44.
20. Mellanby K. Man's reaction to mosquito bites. *Nature* 1946;158:554.
21. Simons F, Peng Z. Mosquito allergy. In: Levine M, Lockey R, editors. *American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology monograph on insect allergy*. 4th ed. Milwaukee: American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; 2003. p. 175-203.
22. Karppinen A, Brummer-Korvenkontio H, Petman L, Kautiainen H, Hervé JP, Reunala T. Levocetirizine for treatment of immediate and delayed mosquito bite reactions. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2006;86:329-31.
23. Tokura Y, Tamura Y, Takigawa M, Koide M, Satoh T, Sakamoto T, *et al.* Severe hypersensitivity to mosquito bites associated with natural killer cell lymphocytosis. *Arch Dermatol* 1990;126:362-8.
24. Tokura Y, Ishihara S, Tagawa S, Seo N, Ohshima K, Takigawa M. Hypersensitivity to mosquito bites as the primary clinical manifestation of a juvenile type of Epstein-Barr virus associated natural killer cell leukemia/lymphoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2001;45:569-78.
25. Tsuge I, Morishima T, Morita M, Kimura H, Kuzushima K, Matsuoka H. Characterization of Epstein-Barr virus infected natural killer cell proliferation in patients with severe mosquito allergy: Establishment of an IL-2-dependent NK-like cell line. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1999;115:385-92.
26. Cohen JI, Kimura H, Nakamura S, Ko YH, Jaffe ES. Epstein-Barr virus-associated lymphoproliferative disease in non-immunocompromised hosts: A status report and summary of an international meeting, 8-9 September 2008. *Ann Oncol* 2009;20:1472-82.
27. Oka K, Ohtaki N, Kasai S, Takayama K, Yokozeki H. Two cases of eruptive pseudoangiomatosis induced by mosquito bites. *J Dermatol* 2012;39:301-5.
28. Romero A, Martín L, Hernández-Núñez A, Arias D, Castaño E, Borbujo J. Eruptive pseudoangiomatosis. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2007;98:138-9.
29. Pérez-Barrio S, Gardeazábal J, Acebo E, Martínez de Lagrán Z, Díaz-Pérez JL. Eruptive pseudoangiomatosis: study of 7 cases. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2007;98:178-82.
30. Peng Z, Simons FE. Mosquito allergy: Immune mechanisms and recombinant salivary allergens. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2004;133:198-209.
31. Peng Z, Simons FE. Advances in mosquito allergy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;7:350-4.
32. Bason MM, Berger TG, Nesbitt LT Jr. Pruritic papular eruption of HIV-disease. *Int J Dermatol* 1993;32:784-9.
33. Resneck JS Jr, Van Beek M, Furmanski L, Oyugi J, LeBoit PE, Katabira E, *et al.* Etiology of pruritic papular eruption with HIV infection in Uganda. *JAMA* 2004;292:2614-21.
34. Castelnuovo B, Byakwaga H, Menten J, Schaefer P, Kanya M, Colebunders R. Can response of a pruritic papular eruption to antiretroviral therapy be used as a clinical parameter to monitor virological outcome? *AIDS* 2008;22:269-73.
35. Gudgel EF, Grauer FH. Acute and chronic reactions to black fly bites (*Simulium* fly). *Arch Dermatol Syphilol* 1954;70:609-15.
36. Borah S, Goswami S, Agarwal M, Rahman I, Deka M, Chattopadhyay P, *et al.* Clinical and histopathological study of *Simulium* (blackfly) dermatitis from North-Eastern India-A report. *Int J Dermatol* 2012;51:63-6.
37. Inskip H, Campbell L, Godfrey K, Coggon D. A survey of the prevalence of biting by the Blandford fly during 1993. *Br J Dermatol* 1996;134:696-9.
38. Quercia O, Emiliani F, Foschi FG, Stefanini GF. A case of anaphylaxis: Horse-fly or hymenoptera sting? *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;41:152-4.
39. Rantanen T, Reunala T, Vuojolahti P, Hackman W. Persistent pruritic papules from deer ked bites. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1982;62:307-11.
40. Anonymous. Tsetse fly. Available from: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tsetse_fly. [Last Accessed 2011 Feb 17].
41. Caljon G, Broos K, De Goeyse I, De Ridder K, Sternberg JM, Coosemans M, *et al.* Identification of a functional Antigen5-related allergen in the saliva of a blood feeding insect, the tsetse fly. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 2009;39:332-41.
42. Stevens WJ, Van den Abbeele J, Bridts CH. Anaphylactic reaction after bites by *Glossina morsitans* (tsetse fly) in a laboratory worker. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996;98:700-1.
43. Logan JG, Seal NJ, Cook JI, Stanczyk NM, Birkett MA, Clark SJ, *et al.* Identification of human-derived volatile chemicals that interfere with attraction of the Scottish biting midge and their potential use as repellents. *J Med Entomol* 2009;46:208-19.
44. Carpenter S, Mordue W, Mordue J. Selection of resting areas by emerging *Culicoides impunctatus* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) on downy birch (*Betula pubescens*). *Int J Pest Manag* 2008;54:39-42.
45. Logan JG, Cook JI, Stanczyk NM, Weeks EN, Welham SJ, Mordue Luntz AJ. To bite or not to bite! A questionnaire-based survey assessing why some people are bitten more than others by midges. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:275.
46. Steffen C. Clinical and histopathologic correlation of midge bites. *Arch Dermatol* 1981;117:785-7.
47. Chen YH, Lee MF, Tsai JJ, Wu HJ, Hwang GY. Specific IgE and IgG responses and cytokine profile in subjects with allergic reactions to biting midge *Forcipomyia taiwana*. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2009;150:66-74.
48. Anonymous. Cimicidae. Available from: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cimicidae>. [Last Accessed 2011 Feb 17].
49. Goddard J, de Shazo R. Multiple feeding by the common bed bug, *Cimex lectularius*, without sensitization. *Midsouth Entomol* 2009;2:90-2.
50. Reinhardt K, Kempke D, Naylor R, Siva-Jothy MT. Sensitivity to bites by the bedbug, *Cimex lectularius*. *Med Vet Ent* 2009;23:163-6.
51. Valenzuela JG, Ribeiro JM. Purification and cloning of the salivary nitrophenol from the hemipteran *Cimex lectularius*. *J Exp Biol* 1998;201: 2659-64.
52. Valenzuela JG, Guimaraes JA, Ribeiro JM. A novel inhibitor of factor X activation from the salivary glands of the bed bug *Cimex lectularius*. *Exp Parasitol* 1996;83:184-90.
53. Valenzuela JG, Charlab R, Galperin MY, Ribeiro JM. Purification, cloning, and expression of an apyrase from the bed bug *Cimex lectularius*: A new type of nucleotide-binding enzyme. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:30583-90.
54. Omudu EA, Kuse CN. Bedbug infestation and its control practices in Gbajimba: A rural settlement in Benue state, Nigeria. *J Vector Borne Dis* 2010;47:222-7.

55. Boase CJ. Bedbugs: Reclaiming our cities. *Biologists* 2004;51:9-12.
56. Masetti M, Bruschi F. Bedbug infestations recorded in Central Italy. *Parasitol Int* 2007;56:81-3.
57. Leverkus M, Jochim RC, Schad S, Brocker EB, Anderson JF, Valenzuela JG. Bullous allergic hypersensitivity to bedbug bites mediated by IgE against salivary nitrophenol. *J Invest Dermatol* 2006;126:91-6.
58. Cooper DL. Can bedbug bites cause bullous erythema? *JAMA* 1948;138:1206.
59. Doggett SL, Geary MJ, Russell RC. The resurgence of bedbug in Australia: With notes on their ecology and control. *Environ Health* 2004;4:30-7.
60. Vandam J. Sleep tight, and don't let...Oh, just forget about it. *New York Times* 2003, Nov 2.
61. Goddard J. Do bed bugs carry human diseases? A controversy. *Pest Control Technol* 2003;31:38-40.
62. Paul J, Bates J. Is infestation with common bedbug increasing? *BMJ* 2000;320:1141.
63. Andrade RN. Haematosiphoniasis. In: Simons RD, editors. *Handbook of Tropical Dermatology*. Vol. 2. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1953. p. 905-7.
64. Smith FD, Miller NC, Camazzo SJ, Eaton WB. Insect bite by *Argilus cristatus*, a North American reduviid. *AMA Arch Dermatol* 1958;77:324-30.
65. Shields TS, Walsh EN. 'Kissing bug' bite. *AMA Arch Dermatol* 1956;74:14-21.
66. Klotz JH, Dorn PL, Logan JL, Stevens L, Pinnas JL, Schmidt JO, et al. "Kissing bugs": Potential disease vectors and cause of anaphylaxis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:1629-34.
67. Klotz J, Klotz S, Pinnas J. Animal bites and stings with anaphylactic potential. *J Emerg Med* 2009;36:148-56.
68. Lumbereras H, Flores W, Escallon A. Allergic reactions to prints of reduviids and their significance in Chagas disease. *Zeits fur Tropenmed und Parasit* 1959;10:6-19.
69. Chin HC, Ahmad NW, Lim LH, Jeffery J, Hadi AA, Othman H, et al. Infestation with the cat flea, *Ctenocephalides felis felis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) among students in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2010;41:1331-4.
70. Chua EC, Goh KJ. A flea-borne outbreak of dermatitis. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1987;16:648-50.
71. Burns DA. The investigation and management of arthropod bite reactions acquired in the home. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 1987;12:114-20.
72. Naumann DN, Baird-Clarke CD, Ross DA. Fleas on operations in Afghanistan—environmental health measures on the front line. *J R Army Med Corps* 2011;157:226-8.
73. Bailey SF. Thrips attacking man. *Can Entomol* 1936;68:95-8.
74. Fishman HC. Thrips. *Arch Dermatol* 1987;123:993-4.
75. Steen CJ, Carbonaro PA, Schwartz RA. Arthropods in dermatology. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2004;50:819-62.
76. Hernandez RG, Cohen BA. Insect bite-induced hypersensitivity and the SCRATCH principles: A new approach to papular urticaria. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:e189-96.
77. Demain JG. Papular urticaria and things that bite in the night. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2003;3:291-303.
78. Heng MC, Kloss SG, Haberfelde GC. Pathogenesis of papular urticaria. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1984;10:1030-4.
79. Alexander JO. Papular urticaria and immune complexes. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1985;12:374-5.
80. Jordaán HF, Schneider JW. Papular urticaria: a histopathologic study of 30 patients. *Am J Dermatopathol* 1997;19:119-26.
81. Cuéllar A, Rodríguez A, Rojas F, Halpert E, Gómez A, García E. Differential Th1/Th2 balance in peripheral blood lymphocytes from patients suffering from flea bite-induced papular urticaria. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2009;37:7-10.
82. Cuéllar A, García E, Rodríguez A, Halpert E, Gómez A. Functional dysregulation of dendritic cells in patients with papular urticaria caused by fleabite. *Arch Dermatol* 2007;143:1415-9.
83. Dilaimy M. Bullous papular urticaria. *Cutis* 1978;21:666-8.
84. Jacobsohn F. Harara (urticaria multiformis endemica). *Proc R Soc Med* 1939;32:1587-8.
85. Light JE, Troups MA, Reed DL. What's in a name: The taxonomic status of human head and body lice. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2008;47:1203-16.
86. Kirkness EF, Haas BJ, Sun W, Braig HR, Perotti MA, Clark JM, et al. Genome sequences of the human body louse and its primary endosymbiont provide insights into the permanent parasitic lifestyle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:12168-73.
87. Greive KA, Lui AH, Barnes TM, Oppenheim VM. A randomized, assessor-blind, parallel-group, multicentre, phase IV comparative trial of a suffocant compared with malathion in the treatment of head lice in children. *Australas J Dermatol* 2010;51:175-82.
88. Chosidow O, Giraudeau B, Cottrell J, Izri A, Hofmann R, Mann SG, et al. Oral ivermectin versus malathion lotion for difficult-to-treat head lice. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:896-905.
89. McCormack PL. Spinosad: In pediculosis capitis. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2011;12:349-53.
90. Cueto GM, Zerba E, Picollo MI. Permethrin-resistant head lice (Anoplura: Pediculidae) in Argentina are susceptible to spinosad. *J Med Entomol* 2006;43:634-5.
91. Stough D, Shellabarger S, Quiring J, Gabrielsen AA Jr. Efficacy and safety of spinosad and permethrin creme rinses for pediculosis capitis (head lice). *Pediatrics* 2009;124:e389-95.
92. Meinking TL, Villar ME, Vicaria M, Eyerdam DH, Paquet D, Mertz-Rivera K, et al. The clinical trials supporting benzyl alcohol lotion 5% (Ulesfia): A safe and effective topical treatment for head lice (*pediculosis humanus capitis*). *Pediatr Dermatol* 2010;27:19-24.
93. Burgess IF, Brown CM, Lee PN. Treatment of head louse infestation with 4% dimeticone lotion: Randomised controlled equivalence trial. *BMJ* 2005;330:1423.
94. Burgess IF, Lee PN, Matlock G. Randomised, controlled, assessor blind trial comparing 4% dimeticone lotion with 0.5% malathion liquid for head louse infestation. *PLoS One* 2007;2:e1127.
95. Kurt O, Balcioglu IC, Burgess IF, Limoncu ME, Girginkardeşler N, Tabak T, et al. Treatment of head lice with dimeticone 4% lotion: Comparison of two formulations in a randomised controlled trial in rural Turkey. *BMC Public Health* 2009;9:441.
96. Heukelbach J, Pilger D, Oliveira FA, Khakban A, Ariza L, Feldmeier H. A highly efficacious pediculicide based on dimeticone: R andomized observer blinded comparative trial. *BMC Infect Dis* 2008;8:115.
97. Burgess IF, Burgess NA. Dimeticone 4% liquid gel found to kill all lice and eggs with a single 15 minute application. *BMC Res Notes* 2011;4:15.
98. Kaul N, Palma KG, Silagy SS, Goodman JJ, Toole J. North American efficacy and safety of a novel pediculicide rinse, isopropyl myristate 50% (Resultz). *J Cutan Med Surg* 2007;11:161-7.
99. Barker SC, Altman PM. An ex vivo, assessor blind, randomised, parallel group, comparative efficacy trial of the ovicidal activity of three pediculicides after a single application—melaleuca oil and lavender oil, eucalyptus oil and lemon tea tree oil, and a "suffocation" pediculicide. *BMC Dermatol* 2011;11:14.
100. Frankowski BL, Bocchini JA Jr. Head lice. *Pediatrics* 2010;126:392-403.
101. Goates BM, Atkin JS, Wilding KG, Birch KG, Cottam MR, Bush SE, et al. An effective nonchemical treatment for head lice: A lot of hot air. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:1962-70.
102. Bush SE, Rock AN, Jones SL, Malenke JR, Clayton DH. Efficacy of the LouseBuster, a new medical device for treating head lice (Anoplura: Pediculidae). *J Med Entomol* 2011;48:67-72.
103. Burgess IF. Do nit removal formulations and other treatments loosen head louse eggs and nits from hair? *Med Vet Entomol* 2010;24:55-61.
104. Burgess IF. Head lice. *Clin Evid (Online)*. 2011;2011.pii:1703.
105. Clark JM. Determination, mechanism and monitoring of knockdown resistance in permethrin-resistant human head lice, *Pediculus humanus capitis*. *J Asia Pac Entomol* 2009;12:1-7.
106. Burton MJ, Mellor IR, Duce IR, Davies TG, Field LM, Williamson MS. Differential resistance of insect sodium channels with

- kdr mutations to deltamethrin, permethrin and DDT. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 2011;41:723-32.
107. Bialek R, Zelck UE, Fölster-Holst R. Permethrin treatment of head lice with knockdown resistance-like gene. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:386-7.
 108. Nauen R. Insecticide resistance in disease vectors of public health importance. *Pest Manag Sci* 2007;63:628-33.
 109. Holzer RB. Malaria prevention without drugs. *Schweiz Rundsch Med Prax* 1993;82:139-43.
 110. Klun JA, Khirman A, Rowton E, Kramer M, Debboun M. Biting deterrent activity of a deet analog, two DEPA analogs, and SS220 applied topically to human volunteers compared with deet against three species of blood-feeding flies. *J Med Entomol* 2006;43:1248-51.
 111. Carroll SP, Loye J. PMD, a registered botanical mosquito repellent with deet-like efficacy. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 2006;22:507-14.
 112. Anonymous. Picardin—A new insect repellent. *Med Lett Drugs Ther* 2005;47:46-7.
 113. Sorge F. Prevention with repellent in children. *Arch Pediatr* 2009;16:S115-22.
 114. Dua VK, Pandey AC, Raghavendra K, Gupta A, Sharma T, Dash AP. Larvicidal activity of neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*) formulation against mosquitoes. *Malar J* 2009;8:124.
 115. Vatandoost H, Hanafi-Bojd AA. Laboratory evaluation of 3 repellents against *Anopheles stephensi* in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *East Mediterr Health J* 2008;14: 260-7.
 116. Ranson H, N'guessan R, Lines J, Moiroux N, Nkuni Z, Corbel V. Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: What are the implications for malaria control? *Trends Parasitol* 2011;27:91-8.
 117. Tawatsin A, Thavara U, Chompoonsri J, Phusup Y, Jonjang N, Khumsawads C, *et al.* Insecticide resistance in bedbugs in Thailand and laboratory evaluation of insecticides for the control of *Cimex hemipterus* and *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *J Med Entomol* 2011;48:1023-30.
 118. Fagbule D, Ekanem EE. Some environmental risk factors for childhood asthma: A case-control study. *Ann Trop Paediatr* 1994;14:15-9.
 119. Krieger RI, Dinoff TM, Zhang X. Octachlorodipropyl ether (s-2) mosquito coils are inadequately studied for residential use in Asia and illegal in the United States. *Environ Health Perspect* 2003;111:1439-42.
 120. Chen SC, Wong RH, Shiu LJ, Chiou MC, Lee H. Exposure to mosquito coil smoke may be a risk factor for lung cancer in Taiwan. *J Epidemiol* 2008;18:19-25.
 121. West S, Hildesheim A, Dosemeci M. Non-viral risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the Philippines: Results from a case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 1993;55:722-7.
 122. Pariser DM, Meinking TL, Bell M, Ryan WG. Topical 0.5% ivermectin lotion for treatment of head lice. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1687-93.
 123. Meinking TL, Mertz-Rivera K, Villar ME, Bell M. Assessment of the safety and efficacy of three concentrations of topical ivermectin lotion as a treatment for head lice infestation. *Int J Dermatol* 2013;52:106-12.
 124. Burgess IE, Lee PN, Kay K, Jones R, Brunton ER. 1,2-Octanediol, a novel surfactant, for treating head louse infestation: identification of activity, formulation, and randomised, controlled trials. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e35419.

Multiple Choice Questions

1. All of the following insects bite except:
 - a. Mexican chicken bugs
 - b. Assassin bugs
 - c. Deer keds
 - d. Beetles
2. Which of the following statements is incorrect about mosquito bites?
 - a. The skin reaction is due to toxins injected during the bite.
 - b. Salivary gland surface proteins are immunogenic.
 - c. Patient develops only wheals in stage 4.
 - d. Human sweat attracts mosquitoes.
3. Which of the following set of variables is not associated with higher probability of being bitten by mosquitoes?
 - a. Increasing age in children, male gender, large body size.
 - b. Pregnancy, alcohol intake, increasing age in children.
 - c. Lipoatrophy in a patient on antiretroviral therapy, male gender, darker skin colour.
 - d. Beer ingestion, large body size, body heat.
4. Peripheral blood lymphocytes and dendritic cells in patients with papular urticaria have been shown to predominantly respond to stimulation by
 - a. Production of Th1 cytokines
 - b. Production of Th2 cytokines
 - c. Increased expression of CD 14
 - d. Increased expression of CD 19
5. Which of the following evidences does not suggest involvement of type I hypersensitivity in papular urticaria:
 - a. Correlation of immediate wheals and flares with mosquito salivary gland-specific IgE levels.
 - b. High levels of mosquito salivary gland-specific IgE levels in individuals with systemic reactions.
 - c. Correlation of mosquito saliva-specific IgG with saliva-specific IgE levels.
 - d. Time course of reaction and formation of wheals.
6. Haematosiphoniasis is caused by:
 - a. Assassin bugs
 - b. Kissing bugs
 - c. Blandford flies
 - d. Mexican chicken bugs

Contd...

7. Which of the following agents used for treating head lice is neurotoxic:
 a. Spinosad
 b. Isopropyl myristate
 c. Benzyl alcohol
 d. Dimeticone
8. Permethrin acts as an insecticide by:
 a. Its action on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.
 b. Its action on voltage-gated sodium channels.
 c. Asphyxiating lice.
 d. Its egg-loosening property.
9. Which of the following statements is incorrect?
 a. kdr resistance results in lice nerve insensitivity to permethrin.
 b. kdr-like genes are commonly detected in head lice.
 c. Permethrin is now generally ineffective in treatment of head lice.
 d. There is an emphasis on developing pediculicide which act by physical mode.
10. Which of the following methods of preventing insect bites has been found to increase risk of serious diseases?
 a. Pyrethroid insecticides
 b. Mosquito coils
 c. Vaporising mats
 d. Insect repellents

1. d, 2. a, 3. c, 4. b, 5. c, 6. d, 7. a, 8. b, 9. c, 10. b
Answers: