Epidemio-allergological study in 155 cases of footwear dermatitis

Sanjib Chowdhuri, Sanjay Ghosh

Institute of Allergic and Immunologic Skin Diseases, Kolkata, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sanjib Chowdhuri, Institute of Allergic and Immunologic Skin Diseases, 27/2C Bakultala lane, Kasba, Kolkata - 700042, India. E-mail: drschowdhuri@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Footwear dermatitis represents a distinct and common group among all types of contact dermatitis cases seen in India. This ailment, however, often remains undiagnosed, misdiagnosed or empirically diagnosed without pinpointing the contributory allergens. Aims: This study was undertaken to detect the epidemio-allergological pattern of footwear dermatitis in India. Methods: A total number of 155 cases with footwear dermatitis were evaluated from July 2005 to June 2006, by detailed history and clinical examination. They were patch tested using Indian Standard Battery (ISB) approved by the Contact and Occupational Dermatoses Forum of India (CODFI) with pre- and post patch-test counseling. Results: The proportion of footwear dermatitis was 24.22% (n = 155) among a total of 640 patients patch tested during that period. Females [61.93% (n = 96)] were commonly affected than males [38.06% (n = 59)]. The ages ranged from 8 to 75 years. The age group that predominantly involved was the fifth decade [24.52% (n = 38)]. Occupationwise housewives were most commonly involved [47.48 (n = 66)]. Contributory allergens in order of frequency were: potassium dichromate, 45.8% (n = 71); cobalt chloride, 38.06% (n = 59); paraphenylenediamine, 32.25% (n=50); epoxy resin, 20% (n = 31); black rubber mix, 20% (n = 31); nickel sulfate, 14.83% (n = 23); mercaptobenzothiazole, 12.9% (n = 20); colophony, 11.6% (n = 18); thiuram mix, 10.32 % (n = 16); p-tert-butyl-formaldehyde resin, 9.67% (n = 15); and formaldehyde, 4.5% (n = 7). Among the different categories of footwear allergens, the highest positivity was shown by leather and leather-related chemicals in 61.9% cases (n = 96). Conclusion: Footwear dermatitis, a common dermatosis, is mostly caused by leather processing chemicals, metal buckles, black dyes of shoes and socks, adhesives, plastic, rubber shoes and polishing agents in order of frequency.

Key Words: Epidemiology, Footwear dermatitis, Patch test, Shoe dermatitis

INTRODUCTION

Footwear dermatitis represents a frequently occurring skin ailment in the dermatological practice in India. However, these dermatoses often remain undiagnosed and designated as other skin dermatoses such as eczema, psoriasis or dermatomycosis. It is often empirically diagnosed without pinpointing the contributory allergens by allergic patch testing. Footwear dermatitis is a major cause of recurrent plantar dermatitis. This forms a distinct group among all the contact dermatitis cases found in India.^[1]

Indians use closed footwear (shoes) as well as open footwear (sandals), the latter being used more often. However,

at present, shoes are considered as a fashion accessory. The design and materials used by manufacturers change constantly. It is difficult to assess the composition of any particular part of shoe.^[2] A previous study^[1] reported chromium to be the most common allergen to be responsible for 75% of shoe dermatitis cases reported in India. However, the most common sensitizers causing shoe dermatitis worldwide are rubber and rubber chemicals.^[3] Hence, we undertook this study to observe the epidemio-allergological pattern of footwear dermatitis in India.

METHODS

One hundred and fifty five cases with footwear dermatitis

How to cite this article: Chowdhuri S, Ghosh S. Epidemio-allergological study in 155 cases of footwear dermatitis. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2007;319-22. Received: February, 2007. Accepted: June, 2007. Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.

were included in this study during July 2005 to June 2006. Criteria for selection were those having exclusively feet dermatitis and those with predominantly feet dermatitis along with hand or other body surface area involvement and positive patch test reactions to standard shoe allergens with significant clinico-allergological correlation. The approval of the institutional ethical committee was obtained before starting the study.

Detailed history, particularly regarding use of footwear, was obtained and thorough clinical examination was performed. Written instructions were provided to patients about patch testing at our institute. After filling up the patient's consent form, the patch test was performed using the conventional method^[4] with the allergens of Indian Standard Battery (ISB), as recommended by Contact and Occupational Dermatoses Forum of India (CODFI).

Patch test units comprised 22 antigens in ointment form, two antigens in liquid form, plant allergens strip; aluminum patch-test chambers prefixed on a micropore tape and filterpaper discs. The test allergen strips were applied to the skin under occlusion for 2 days (48 h). First reading was taken after 15-30 min on the removal of the occlusive strips to allow the transient erythema caused by the occlusive effects of allergens and plasters to subside.^[5] The second reading was taken after 72 h. The tested footwear allergens are shown in Table 1. For practical and clinical purposes, the allergens can be grouped into different categories as shown in Table 2.

Those with feet dermatitis only were tested for footwear allergens with control. Others who had feet and the involvement of other areas were tested with the entire Indian Standard Battery (ISB) of allergens. Post patch-test counseling was employed and the results were clinicoallergologically correlated.

Statistical analysis of the data obtained from history, clinical features and allergological findings by correlation and followup was performed.

Table 1: Footwear allergens selected from Indian Standard
Battery of allergens (CODFI)

Potassium dichromate Cobalt chloride Para-phenylenediamine Epoxy resin Black rubber mix Nickel sulfate Mercaptobenzothiazole Colophony Thiuram mix *p*-tert-butyl-formaldehyde resin Formaldehyde

RESULTS

The incidence of footwear dermatitis was 24.22% (n = 155) among total 640 patients patch tested during the period July 2005 to June 2006 in our institute. Females [61.93% (n = 96)] were more commonly affected than males [38.06% (n = 59)]. The ages ranged from 8 to 75 years.

Age predominance has been shown in Figure 1. The commonest age group involved was 41-50 years. Analysis of occupations of the patients showed that housewives were most commonly affected i.e. 42.58% (n = 66). The other occupations included: service, 30.96% (n = 48); business, 14.19% (n = 22); and others, 12.26% (n = 19).

The incidence of footwear dermatitis with or without the involvement of other body surface areas is shown in Figure 2. Majority of the cases had involvement of feet without affection of any other body surface areas.

The percentages of the contributory allergens are shown in Figure 3. Potassium dichromate and cobalt chloride were the

Table 2: Various categories of footwear allergens	
Leather and leather chemicals:	Potassium dichromate
	Formaldehyde
	Colophony
Rubber and rubber chemicals:	Mercaptobenzothiazole
	Thiuram mix
	Black rubber mix
Plastic and plastic materials:	Epoxy resin
	Formaldehyde
Adhesives:	Epoxy resin
	<i>p</i> -tert-butyl-formaldehyde resin
	Formaldehyde
Dyes:	Para-phenylenediamine (PPD)
Metals:	Nickel sulfate
	Cobalt chloride



Figure 1: Prevalence of footwear dermatitis in different age groups



Figure 2: Incidence of footwear dermatitis over various body surface areas

commonest allergens. In our study paraphenylenediamine, epoxy resin and black rubber mix were also the common allergens. The frequency of the occurrence of different categories of footwear allergens is shown in Table 2. Leather and leather-related chemicals have shown the highest frequency rate of 61.9% (n = 96).

DISCUSSION

Our series, to the best of our knowledge, represents the largest Indian series on footwear dermatitis. Footwear dermatitis represented a substantial proportion (24.22%) among the contact dermatitis cases presented at our institute. As the Indians commonly wear shoes and sandals on bare feet without socks, they are more susceptible to shoe allergy. Moreover, the quality control of shoe production in India is not satisfactory.

In the present study, potassium dichromate was found to be the commonest allergen, which corroborated the previous Indian works.^[4,5] In India, chrome-free leather is not much in use due to its nonavailability and high cost. At present, the leather used in India leads to more cases of footwear dermatitis than in western countries where rubber chemicals are the more common sensitizers. Cobalt chloride and black dyes were the next common allergens in that order of frequency. The presence of cobalt in shoe buckles and eyelets is becoming increasingly common now in designer footwear. Nickel is also present in shoe buckles, evelets and as ornamental agents in designer footwear. In footwear dermatitis, hands are involved due to touching of the shoes, socks and buckles while wearing footwear and also due to id eruption.^[1] In a similar manner, face and wrists may also be involved.



Figure 3: Frequency of the occurrence of contributory allergens in footwear dermatitis

An Indian study by Bajaj *et al.* on one hundred and five patients with foot dermatitis over a period of 18 months showed a positive reaction in 47 cases to one or more allergens. The majority of cases (47) were chrome positive. Positivity to plastic material was observed in eight cases, whereas allergy to rubber and rubber-chemicals accounted for five cases.^[6]

Black color is the preferred color in shoes and socks; hence, paraphenylenediamine is a common allergen even in our study. Saha *et al.*^[7] has documented a case report of paraphenylenediamine sensitivity in footwear dermatitis. However, in our study we have found as many as 50 (32.25%) cases to be sensitive to paraphenylenediamine, thereby rendering it to be the third highest contributory allergen in footwear dermatitis. Epoxy resin and *p*-tert-butyl (PTB) formaldehyde resin were observed as common allergens. They are used as adhesives to make shoes because stitched shoes are not much in vogue now. In India, the poorer section of people still uses black rubber shoes. Thus, black rubber mix also happens to be one of the contributory allergens.

In another Indians study, Saha *et al.* showed potassium dichromate and colophony to be commonest sensitizers and they also recommended that there should be primary and secondary footwear screening series to detect the responsible allergens with the ultimate objective of providing correct nonallergenic footwear.^[7]

In a study by Handa *et al.*, rubber chemicals were the commonest allergens detected in 26 patients; Dyes were detected in ten cases, leather in six cases, glues and neoprene cements in four cases and rubber material from suspected

footwear as such in four patients.^[8]

Bisphenol A has been found to be a positive allergen in footwear dermatitis.^[9] Lynch and Rudolph^[10] reported dermatitis from the Indian sandal strap. Another study showed that potassium dichromate, nickel sulfate and mercaptobenzothiazole were frequent sensitizers. The dorsum of the foot was the commonest site.^[11]

Nowadays, females are exposed to a variety of designer footwear that matches with their wardrobes. Moreover, housewives are exposed to water, household detergents and cleansing agents that may cause irritant dermatitis of the feet resulting in impaired epidermal barrier function eventually leading to higher frequency of penetration by shoe allergens. This could be the reason of increase in the incidence of footwear dermatitis in females, particularly in housewives. The fifth decade is probably more susceptible due to asteatotic condition of the skin and impaired barrier function.

Pre- and post-patch-test clinicoallergological correlations have yielded significant clinical relevance in most of the above-mentioned cases. However, positive reactions due to the concomitant sensitivity to footwear as well as nonfootwear materials or only nonfootwear materials remain the possibility in some cases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bajaj AK, Gupta SC, Chatterjee AK, Singh KG. Shoe dermatitis in India- Further observations. Contact Dermatitis 1991;24:149-51.
- 2. Bajaj AK. Contact dermatitis. *In*: Valia RG editor. IADVL Textbook and Atlas of Dermatology. 2nd ed. Mumbai: Bhalani Publishing House; 2001. p. 453-90.
- 3. Freeman S. Shoe dermatitis. Contact Dermatitis 1997;36:247-51.
- 4. Fischer T, Maibach HI. Patch testing in allergic contact dermatitis: An update. Semin Dermatol 1986;5:214-24.
- 5. Wahlberg JE, Wahlberg EN. Quantification of skin blood flow at patch test sites. Contact Dermatitis 1987;17:229-33.
- 6. Bajaj AK, Gupta SC, Chatterjee AK, Singh KG. Shoe dermatitis in India. Contact Dermatitis 1988;19:371-5.
- 7. Saha M, Srinivas CR, Shenoy SD, Balachandran C, Acharya S. Footwear dermatitis. Contact Dermatitis 1993;28:260-4.
- Handa S, Sharma SC, Sharma VK, Kaur S. Footwear Dermatitis

 Clinical Patterns and Contact Allergens. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 1991;57:174-7.
- 9. Srinivas CR, Devadiga R, Aroor AR. Footwear dermatitis due to bisphenol A. Contact Dermatitis 1989;20:150-1.
- 10. Lynch PJ, Rudolph AJ. Indian sandal strap dermatitis. JAMA 1969;209:1906-7.
- 11. Eapen BR, Shenoi SD, Sandra A. Patch testing with shoe series in suspected cases of footwear dermatitis. Indian J Dermatol 2000;45:146-8.