INCIDENCE OF TINEA PEDIS AMONG THE LOCAL POPULATION IN MADRAS

V. V. PANKAJALAKSHMI,* T. SAICHAND,† T. SUNDARAVELU,‡
C. S. LAKSHMINARAYANA || AND S. SUBRAMANIAN §

Summary

Of 217 randomly selected subjects, investigated for the presence of pathogenic fungi in the interdigital spaces of foot, 32.7% showed some clinical abnormality. Mycological study revealed the presence of fungi only in 15.2%. The organism was isolated from 1.8% of individuals with apparently normal feet.

The age and sex incidence, its relationship to the nature of footwear and the species of fungi isolated in the study are analysed.

The infection was predominantly seen in the age group 21-30 years. Both sexes were more or less equally affected. 52.8% of those who use heavy to moderate footwear showed evidence of clinical abnormality and in 23.6%, the fungi could be isolated.

Trichophyton rubrum was the major offender (42.4%), followed by Candida albicans (27.3%) and T. mentagrophytes, interdigitale type (24.2%). Epidermophyton floccosum was isolated from only 2 persons.

Tinea pedis is a world-wide disease. It is more prevalent in temperate than in tropical areas. It is often believed that athlete's foot is unknown in those regions where large number of people walk bare footed on account of warm climate and or low income. In conformity with this belief, though a fairly high incidence of dermatomycoses have been noted in India, the incidence of tinea pedis is very low. It is possible

that because of minimal discomfort, only very few with tinea pedis seek medical advice and hence many of the cases go unrecorded. The present study was undertaken to find out the incidence of tinea pedis in the local population.

Materials and Methods

The material was obtained from groups of individuals selected at random from the patients admitted to Government General Hospital, Madras for other diseases; medical, technical and menial staff of the Institute of Microbiology, Madras Medical College and students of the Madras Christian College High School, Madras. Data regarding their age, sex and habits of footwear were recorded. When footwears were used, they were classified

^{*} Associate Professor of Microbiology

[†] Postgraduate in Microbiology

[†] Director and Professor Institute of Microbiology Madras Medical College, Madras-3

^{||} Professor of Microbiology Institute of Basic Medical Sciences Taramani, Madras

[§] Professor of Microbiology, Stanley Medical College, Madras-1 Received for publication on 12-7-1979

as heavy (shoes with socks) or light (sandals) or moderate (in between). Most persons who wore shoes were found to use only close-knitted nylon socks. Hence no particular entry was made regarding the type of socks used. Each person's feet were then inspected for the presence of any lesion. Based on the findings patients were classified into the first five groups of Holmes and Gentles' (1956)1. The area of the foot selected was cleansed with 70% alcohol and when a clinical lesion was evident, scrapings were taken from that place. When there was no obvious lesion, scrapings were collected from the skin of the fourth interdigital spaces of both feet.

Direct examination of the scales in 20% KOH was done. Samples of scales were inoculated on Sabouraud's dextrose agar containing chloramphenicol (0.05 mg/ml) with and without cycloheximide (0.5 mg/ml). Tubes were kept at 26°C for 4 weeks before being discarded. Dermatophytes were identified on the basis of microscopical morphology and cultural characteristics of the colonies. Whenever necessary, slide cultures and additional media e.g.,

corn meal agar with 1% dextrose and urease agar for differentiation of Trichophyton rubrum from T. mentagrophytes: rice medium and Sabouraud's dextrose agar with 10 mg of thiamine/liter, to promote sporulation were used for identification of the isolates. Candida albicans was diagnosed on the basis of production of chlamydospores on Rice Starch Tween agar and "Germ tubes" in serum within 2 hours. If results were inconclusive, sugar fermentation tests were done.

Observations

Of the 217 subjects investigated, 169 were males and 48 were females. Their ages ranged from 11 to 56 years.

Table 1 shows the prevalence incidence of clinical and mycologically proved cases of tinea pedis in relation to age and sex. Maximal prevalence was in the age group of 21-30. 33.7% of males and 29.2% of females showed some clinical abnormality on their feet, giving an overall clinical prevalence of 32.7%. When subjected to mycological studies only 14.9% of males and 16.7% of females (15.2% in both sexes) were found to carry pathogenic fungus.

| | TABLE 1 | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Age and sex | incidence of | Tinea | pedis |

| Number examined Age in | | | Numb | er showing abnormal | | Number of subjects from whom pathogenic fungus was isolated | | | |
|------------------------|------|--------|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|
| years | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female (% in brack | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 10-20 | 83 | 16 | 99 | 18 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| | | | | (21.7) | (18.8) | (21.2) | (3.6) | (6.3) | (4.0) |
| 21-30 | 61 | 25 | 86 | 32 | 9 | 41 | 17* | 6 | 23 |
| | | | | (52.5) | (36.0) | (47.7) | (27.9) | (24.0) | (26.7) |
| 31-40 | 13 | 7 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| | | | | (38.8) | (28.6) | (35.0) | (23.1) | (14.3) | (20.0) |
| 41 and | 12 | | 12 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | · | 2 |
| above | | | | (16.7) | | (16.7) | (16.7) | | (16.7) |
| Total | 169 | 48 | 217 | 57 | 14 | 71 | 25 | 8 | 33 |
| | | | | (33.7) | (29.2) | (32,7) | (14.9) | (16.7) | (15.2) |

^{*}This includes 2 cases which did not show any clinical abnormality

TABLE 2
Showing the relation between clinical variety and fungus isolation rate

| Holmes and Gentles' Clinical Groups | No. of subjects in each group | | | No. of subjects from whom fungus was isolated | | | Isolation rate of Fungus in each group |
|---|-------------------------------|--------|-------|---|--------|-------|--|
| | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | (%) |
| Normal feet | 112 | 34 | 146 | 2 | | 2 | 1.4 |
| Peeling and/or Maceration Erythema or peeling and erythema maceration and raw areas or slight fis- | 41 | 9 | 50 | 13 | 5 | 18 | 36.0 |
| sures | 12 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 58,8 |
| Fissures or dermatitis | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 100.0 |
| Vesicles | 3 | | 3 | 2 | | 2 | 66.7 |
| Total No. excluding those with normal feet Total No. of Subjects | 57 | 14 | 71 | 23 | 8 | 31 | 43.7 |
| surveyed | 169 | 48 | 217 | 25 | 8 | 33 | 15.2 |

The relationship between the clinical features and the fungus isolation rate is given in Table 2. Holmes and Gentles' group 1, comprising of normal feet showed an isolation rate of 1.4%. Groups 2 and 3 gave isolation rates of 36% and 58.8% respectively. The isolation rates in groups 4 and 5 were 100% and 66.7% respectively, but the numbers in these two groups are too small to have the results considered of significance.

Table 3 shows the incidence of tinea pedis in relation to the nature of footwear. Among those using heavy to moderate footwear with some type of

socks (mostly of spun nylon variety), 52.8% showed evidence of clinical abnormality and in 23.6% fungi could be isolated. In those using light footwear, clinical lesion was evident in 22.9% and the organism was isolated from 11.4%. In the small group comprising of individuals who walk bare-footed, neither clinical nor mycological evidence of tinea pedis was found.

The organisms isolated are given in Table 4. *T. rubrum* was the commonest etiological agent responsible for 42.4% of the infections. *Candida albicans* was the next common agent (27.3%) followed by *T. mentagrophytes*

TABLE 3
Foot - Wear and incidence of tinea pedis

| Type of Foot-Wear | No. investigated | | | | No. showing clinical evidence of Tinea pedis | | | No. of mycologically proved cases of Tinea pedis | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------|---------|--|---------|---------|--|---------|--|
| | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | |
| Heavy to moderate | | | | | | | | | | |
| foot-wear with nylon | 78 | 11 | 89 | 41 | 6 | 47 | 16 | . 5 | 21 | |
| socks | | | | (52.6%) | (54.5%) | (52.8%) | (20.5%) | (45.5%) | (23.6%) | |
| Light foot-wear | 74 | 31 | 105 | 16 | 8 | 24 | - 9 | 3 | 12 | |
| No socks | | | | (21.6%) | (25.8%) | (22.9%) | (12.2%) | (9.7%) | (11.4%) | |
| No foot-wear | 17 | 6 | 23 | | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | (0%) | | | (0%) | |

interdigitale type (24.2%) and Epidermophyton floccosum which was isolated only twice (6.1%).

The incidence of tinea pedis in the present study was compared with that reported by other workers from India is given in Table 5.

Discussion

Tinea pedis occurs in all parts of the world and is said to affect 50 to 90% of people sometime in their lives. The reported incidence of foot ringworm is very low in India possibly because no special attention was given to the study of tinea pedis as such, and it was studied along with other common dermatomycoses. Since tinea pedis most often causes only minor symptoms majority of the patients do not attend the hospital for treatment. Those pati-

ents who were studied, on the basis of hospital attendance gave a low infection rate. In our previous study⁹ on 3002 cases of superficial mycoses confirmed by direct microscopic examination out of 6369 cases diagnosed clinically in the dermatology outpatient department of Government General Hospital, Madras during a period of one year (1965-1966) we encountered only 12 cases (0.2%) of tinea

TABLE 4
Species of fungii isolated from the interdigital spaces

| Species | Male | Female | Total | % |
|----------------------|------|--------|-------|----------|
| T. rubrum | 12 | 2 | 14 | 42.4 |
| T. mentagrophytes | | | | |
| (interdigitale type) | 8 | | 8 | 24.24 |
| E. floccosum | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6.1 |
| Candida albicans | 4 | 5 | 9 | 27.3 |

TABLE 5
Incidence of Tinea pedis compared with incidence reported earlier from India

| Place | Reference | cas | otal number of ses of superficial coses examined | Number of cases clinically diagnosed as Tinea pedis (%) | Number of cases confirmed by culture (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Delhi | Kandhari & Sethi16 | 1964 | 858 | 109 (13) | 36 (4.2) |
| Delhi | Kaira et al7 | 1964 | 454 | | 61 (13.4) |
| Delhi | Gugnani et al25 | 1967 | 303 | | 19 (6.2) |
| Rohtak | Verma & Krishnabir | | | | |
| | Singh26 | 1972 | 100 | 11 (11) | 3 (3) |
| Lucknow | Srivastava & Gupta ²⁷ | 1958 | 173 | 16 (9.2) | 9 (5.2) |
| Lucknow | Gupta & Shome28 | 1959 | 145 | 25 (17.2) | <u> </u> |
| Varanasi | Sehgal & Shome29 | 1973 | 334 | 28 (8.4) | |
| Orissa | Panda et al30 | 1967 | 142 | 1 (0,7) | 1 (0.7) |
| Bombay | Desai & Bhat24 | 1961 | 2664 | 268 (10.0) | |
| Ahmedabad | Mankodi et al31 | 1967 | 110 | 10 (9.1) | |
| Ahmedabad | Amin et al5 | 1971 | 141 | _ | _ |
| Baroda | Verma et al4 | 1970 | 100 | | . — |
| Warangal | Vasu18 | 1966 | 203 | 19 (9.3) | 5 (2.5) |
| Hyderabad Trivandrum | Nagabushanam et al32 Kurup & | 1969 | 1080 | 109 (10,0) | _` ´ |
| Madras | Ananthanarayanan6 Pankajalakshmi & | 1961 | 148 | 34 (22.97) | 7 (4.7) |
| | Subramaniam2 | 1974 | 3002 | 12 (0.2) | A-4 |
| Madras | Kamalam & | | | · , | |
| | Thambiah3 | 1976 | 3885 | 193 (4 97) | 14 (-7.3) |
| Present Seri | es | | 217 | 71 (32.7) | 33 (15.2) |

pedis. Kamalam and Thambiah³, in their recent study (1972—1973) from the same department reported an incidence of 4.97% among 3385 cases of superficial mycoses. The increase in the incidence may be due to an increasing awareness of the people of the condition.

Verma et al4 from Baroda and Amin et al5 from Ahmedabad did not report even a single case of tinea pedis in their studies of 100 and 141 cases of dermatomycoses respectively, whereas Kurup and Ananthanarayanan6 from Trivandrum reported a clinical incidence rate of about 23% among 148 patients suffering from various ring worm infections. When cultural studies were done only 4.7% of these were found to be Kalra et al7 from Delhi positive. reported the maximum incidence of 13.4% of mycologically proved cases of tinea pedis among 454 patients with ringworm infections. Thus various authors have reported varying levels infection rates among different communities in different geographical areas. This difference can be attributed to the widely differing opportunities to oneself infected, variations in environment and social factors as well difference in general resistance of the host and certain local factors.

The true incidence of tinea pedis is difficult to assess. Assessment is based on those who seek medical help because of their symptoms. When the general population was screened and a search made for tinea pedis in individuals selected at random, 32.7% showed clinical evidence of infection, and in 15.2%, the organism was isolated. This prevalence is the highest of all the published reports from India.

A wide discrepancy exists between the clinical incidence of infection and the fungus isolation rate. In the present study, the organism was isolated in roughly one half of the subjects showing

Ajello et al^g showed clinical lesions. fungii from scrapings in only 17.7% of young military recruits in the U.S.A. although clinical evidence of infection was found in 59.9%. Marples and di Menna⁹, in their study on the University students of New Zealand found 63.03% showing clinical lesions, but the fungus was demonstrated by culture in only 10.6%. Gentles and Holmes¹⁰ reported an incidence of 21% of dermatophyte infection in coalminers in Kingdom, although 90% of them had shown scaling or maceration. Menon¹¹ postulated that the scaling and maceration in the interdigital spaces might be entirely due to hyperhidrosis and the fungi, although potentially pathogenic, live at peace with their host in the warm, moist, interdigital skin. hypothesis was further supported by the work of Marples and Chapman12.

We encountered pathogenic fungi in 2 cases with clinically normal interdigital spaces. Ajello et al8 had observed fungal elements in 1.7% of subjects without clinical evidence of infection. Gentles and Holmes¹⁰ isolated dermatophytes from 2.5% of clinically normal subjects. The highest such prevalence (8.9%) was reported by Marples and Baily¹³ in their study on 45 University students. The presence of pathogenic fungi in undamaged interdigital skin may be explained on various factors. A person may be incubating the infection which has not resulted in disease in which case a follow-up examination may show the development of the clinical disease14. Secondly it is possible that fungus may produce a persistent infection without symptoms or signs. Thirdly a healthy carrier state may exist.

When classified into Holmes and Gentles' clinical groups, the percentage of isolation increased from group to group according to the provisional order of importance, in accordance with the findings of Holmes and Gentles¹.

We were able to obtain positive culture in 2 out of 3 cases with vesicles and the single case with fissures and dermatitis. The second group which showed peeling and/or maceration gave an isolation rate of only 36%, showing that these two signs are not very reliable parameters for clinical diagnosis. In the third group with erythema, raw areas and mild fissures, the isolation rate of the fungus was 5%.

Though persons of all age groups are susceptible to infection, maximum prevalence was seen in the age group 21-30 followed by 31-40 years. Kandhari and Sethi¹⁶ from Delhi showed that in their series, tinea pedis in the age group 21-40 years was 53.6% in males and 57.5% in females. Henrici¹⁷ stressed the importance of repeated inoculation in the development of fungus infection and the role of the allergic response in the causation of symptoms.

When prevalence of the disease in the two sexes is studied, it is found that no appreciable difference exist between the males and females. The work of other authors both in India and abroad however points out that incidence is higher in males than in females. Only one author, Vasu¹⁸ from Warangal reported a higher incidence in females. It has also been shown that when conditions of exposure were similar, both men and women had the same susceptibility¹⁵.

In the present study, a high prevalence ring worm infection was associated with the habit of using heavy footwear. addition to providing a permanent reservoir of dermatophytes with opportunities for reinfection socks and shoes provide a confined, warm, environment which encourage the growth of these pathogens19. In their obserin vations different communities. Davies²⁰, ²¹, Copland²² and Nickerson et showed that a number of skin conditions including tinea pedis was exacerbated by the use of heavy foot-wear, but this work was not mycologically confirmed. Kandhari and Sethi¹⁶ from India showed that over 75% of their cases of tinea pedis gave history of wearing shoes and socks throughout the year and the disease was uncommon in those wearing sandals and those walking bare-footed. The low incidence was attributed to the inability of the fungus to invade the thick keratinized soles of the subjects in whom the skin was not protected by footwear²⁴.

All the four important species of fungi which are incriminated in causing tinea pedis were encountered in the present study. T. rubrum was the major offender. Other pathogenic fungi obtained were C. albicans, T. mentagrophytes and E. floccosum. Though the incidence of various species reported by different workers varied considerably, T. rubrum was more common than T. mentagrophytes and E. floccosum was rare. The incidence of C. albicans varied from 8.3 to 66.7%. The gross variation in the results obtained by different workers may be due to the fact that the prevalent species causing dermatophyte infection differs from place to place and from time to time. Further environmental conditions. personal habits, individual susceptibility etc, also play significant roles.

Since tinea pedis is more commonly seen in those wearing closed shoes and socks, the advisability of insisting on such for school children especially in our hot humid climate should be reconsidered.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Prof. V. Sivarajan, Dean, Madras Madical College and Government General Hospital, Madras for permitting us to publish this paper.

References

 Holmes JG and Gentles JC: Diagnosis of foot ringworm, Lancet, 2:62, 1956.

- Pankajalakshmi VV and Subramanian S: Mycoses in Madras (Superficial), Indian J Derm Vener, 40:228, 1974.
- Kamalam A and Thambiah AS: A study of 3891 cases of mycoses in the tropics, Sabouraudia, 14: 129, 1976.
- Verma BS, Vaishnav VP and Bhatt RP: A study of dermatomycosis, Indian J Derm Vener, 36: 182, 1970.
- Amin AG, Shah CF and Shah HS: Analysis of 141 cases of dermatophytosis, Indian J Derm Vener, 37:123, 1971.
- Kurup PV and Ananthanarayanan R: A preliminary study of 148 cases of dermatophytosis, Indian Pract, 14:33, 1961.
- Kalra SL, Mohapatra LN and Gugnani HC: Etiology of dermatomycosis in Delhi, Indian J Med Res, 52: 553, 1964.
- Ajello L, Keeney EL and Broyles EN:
 Observation on the incidence of tinea
 pedis in a group of men entering military
 iffe, Bull John Hopk Hosp, 77:440, 1945.
- Marples MJ and di Menna ME: A survey of the incidence of interdigital fungus infection in a group of students from the University of Otago, Med J Aust, 36(11): 156, 1949.
- Gentles JC and Holmes JG: Brit J Industr Med, 14:22 Cited by English and Gibson in Brit Med J, 1:1860, 1960.
- Meenan FOC: J Irish Med Ass. 33, 102, 1953. Cited by English et al in Brit Med J, 1: 1083, 1961.
- Marples MJ and Chapman EN: Tinea pedis in a group of school children, Brit J Derm, 71: 413, 1959.
- Marples MJ and Bailey MJ: A search for the presence of pathogenic bacteria and fungi in the interdigital spaces of the foot. Brit J Derm, 69: 79, 1957.
- 14. English MP, Gibson MD and Warin RP: Studies in the epidemiology of tinea pedis, VI Tinea pedis in a boy's boarding-school, Brit Med J, 1:1083, 1961.
- Baer RL and Rosenthal SA: The Biology of Fungus infections of the feet, JAMA, 197:1017, 1966.
- Kandhari KC and Sethi KK: Dermatophytosis in Delhi area, J Indian Med Assoc, 42:324, 1964.
- 17. Henrici AT: The characteristics of fungus diseases, J Bact, 39: 113, 1940.

- Vasu DRBH: Studies in Medical Mycology-Part I. Incidence of dermatophytosis in Warangal, AP (India) Indian J Med Res, 54:468, 1966.
- Broughton RH: Reinfection from socks and shoes in tinea pedis, Brit J Derm 67: 249, 1955.
- Davies AJ: J Roy Army Med Cps, 97: 168, 1951, Cited by English et al in Brit Med J, 2:573, 1960.
- Davies AJ: J Roy Army Med Cps, 98:99, 1952, Cited by English et al, in Brit Med J: 2:573, 1960.
- Copland W: J Roy Nav Med Serv, 38:8, 1952. Cited by English et al in Brit Med J. 2:573, 1960.
- Nickerson WJ, Irving L and Mehmert HE: Arch Derm (Chicago) 52:365, 1945 cited by English et al in Brit Med J, 2:573, 1960.
- Desai SC and Bhatt ML: Dermatophytosis in Bombay, Indian J Med Res 49:662, 1961.
- Gugnani HC, Mulay DN and Murty DK: Fungus flora of dermatophytosis and T. simii infection in North India, Indiau J Derm Vener, 33: 73, 1967.
- Verma KC and Krishnabir Singh: Dermatomycosis in Rohtak (An analysis of cases)
 Indian J Derm Vener, 38: 238, 1972.
- Srivastava OP and Gupta RN: Survey of human skin fungi in Lucknow and the effect of diaryl sulphones, sulphoxides and sulphides on T. rubrum, J Sci Indus Res, 17c: 87, 1958.
- 28. Gupta RN and Shome SK: Dermatomy-cosis in Uttar Pradesh, An analysis of 620 cases, Indian J Med Assoc, 33:39, 1959.
- Sehgal VN and Shome SK: Dermatomycological study, Indian J Dermat, 18:25, 1973.
- Panda CK, Mohanty D and Mohanty H et al: Incidence of Dermatomycosis in Burla. Indian J Path Bact, 10:332, 1967.
- Mankodi RC, Shah BH and Kanvinde MS et al: A study of 110 cases of superficial mycotic infections. Indian J Derm Vener, 33:177, 1967.
- 32. Nagabhushanam P, Thirumalrao D and Patnaik R: Dermatomycosis in Hyderabad, Indian J Derm Vener, 35:120, 1969.