

“Human immunodeficiency virus serostatus disclosure–Rate, reactions, and discrimination”: A cross-sectional study at a rural tertiary care hospital

Umesh S. Joge, Deepali S. Deo¹, Sonali G. Choudhari², Vilas R. Malkar, Harshada M. Ughade

Departments of Preventive and Social Medicine, Government Medical College & Hospital, Akola, ¹S. R. T. Rural Medical College & Hospital, Ambajogai (Dist-Beed), ²Department of Community Medicine, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & A. V. B. Rural Hospital, Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Umesh S. Joge,
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine,
Government Medical College & Hospital, Akola,
Maharashtra (MH), India.
E-mail:
jogeumesh@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: From the moment scientists identified Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS), social responses of fear, denial, stigma, and discrimination have accompanied the epidemic. **Aims:** To assess the rate of disclosure of HIV serostatus, reactions by the HIV/AIDS patients and their spouse, and discrimination faced by the patients. **Methods:** The present cross-sectional study was conducted at Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) center of a rural tertiary care hospital, situated in Marathawada region of Maharashtra state from November 2008 to October 2010. Totally, 801 HIV-positive patients coming to ART center for treatment were included after ensuring confidentiality and taking informed consent. A preformed questionnaire was used to enquire about reaction after diagnosis, disclosure, and discrimination faced by the patients. The data analyzed using descriptive statistics and Chi-square test. **Results:** The most common immediate reaction by the HIV patients after getting diagnosed as seropositive was fear (593, 74.03%) followed by depression (385, 48.06%) and suicidal thoughts (98, 12.25%). Out of 801 patients, 769 (96%) had spouse and of these maximum number of patients (653, 84.92%) had disclosed HIV status to their spouses. Most common immediate reaction by spouse after disclosure was crime (324, 42.13%) followed by horror (294, 38.23%) and anger (237, 36.29%). Maximum number of patients were discriminated by friends (120, 71.01%) followed by discrimination at workplace (49, 67.12%), by neighbors (32, 56.14%), and by relatives (53, 43.80%). **Conclusion:** Male positives were granted greater acceptance, care, and support by their spouses. More percentage of females discriminated by neighbors, relatives, and friends and at workplace which might be due to factors like customs, morals, and taboos.

Key words: Disclosure, discrimination, human immunodeficiency virus, reaction

INTRODUCTION

Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) is an unprecedented public health emergency, having already caused enormous ill health and mortality

worldwide.^[1] According to the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and WHO reports of November 2010, there are approximately 33.3 million People Living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus/acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS) (PLWHA) worldwide, with a global prevalence of 0.8%. It is estimated that 90% of the HIV-infected persons live in the developing countries, with the estimated number of infected Indians being 2.31 million and adult prevalence of 0.3%.^[2]

HIV/AIDS is no longer just a public health issue in India but become one of the most serious socioeconomic

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijdv.com
	DOI: 10.4103/0378-6323.104690

How to cite this article: Joge US, Deo DS, Choudhari SG, Malkar VR, Ughade HM. "Human immunodeficiency virus serostatus disclosure–Rate, reactions, and discrimination": A cross-sectional study at a rural tertiary care hospital. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2013;79:135.

Received: May, 2012. **Accepted:** August, 2012. **Source of Support:** Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

and developmental concerns, because nearly 89% of reported cases are occurring in sexually active and economically productive age group (15-44years). Deaths of young adults have an especially damaging impact on their families and communities, skills are lost, workforce shrinks, and children are orphaned.^[3]

From the moment scientists identified HIV/AIDS, social responses of fear, denial, stigma, and discrimination have accompanied the epidemic. Discrimination has spread rapidly, fuelling anxiety and prejudice against the groups most affected as well as those living with HIV/AIDS. It goes without saying that HIV and AIDS are as much a social phenomenon as they are biological and medical concerns.^[4]

Hence considering this, the present study conducted to assess the rate of disclosure of HIV serostatus, reactions by the HIV/AIDS patients and their spouse, and discrimination faced by the patients.

METHODS

The present cross-sectional study was conducted at antiretroviral therapy (ART) center of a rural tertiary care hospital, situated in Marathwada region of Maharashtra state, from November 2008 to October 2010. The permission from head of institution and clearance from ethics committee was obtained before starting the study. The HIV-positive patients coming to ART center for treatment were included in the study after ensuring confidentiality and obtaining informed consent from them. Patients visiting to “Integrated Counselling and Testing Centre” (ICTC), “Prevention of Parent to Child Transmission” (PPTCT), and admitted in various wards were excluded from the study due to ethical considerations. Children were also not included in the study because it was difficult to assess their psychosocial status. In total, such 801 HIV-positive patients who came to ART center during the study period were studied. These patients were interviewed by the authors itself using a preformed questionnaire. Questions were asked to enquire about sociodemographic characteristics, their immediate reaction after diagnosis, disclosure of serostatus, and discrimination faced by them. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and Chi-square test.

RESULTS

In the present study out of 801 patients, the male patients (545, 68.04%) outnumbered the female

(256, 31.96%). Maximum number of males (435, 79.81%) and females (217, 84.77%) were in the age group of 20-39 years. Maximum patients (565, 70.53%) were residing in rural area.

Majority of patients harboring HIV infection were laborers (412, 51.44%). Among the 801 patients studied, 565 (70.53%) were married and living with their spouse followed by 136 (16.97%) widows, 32 (4%) widower, 32 (4%) unmarried, 20 (2.5%) divorced, and 16 (2%) separated. Socioeconomic status of the patients revealed 285 (35.58%) and 245 (30.59%) patients from class IV and V socioeconomic status, respectively.

Table 1 shows the distribution of HIV-positive patients according to their immediate reactions after diagnosis revealed that the most common reaction after diagnosis was “fear” (593, 74.03%) followed by “depression” (385, 48.06%), “suicidal thoughts” (98, 12.25%). Other reactions were “panic, anger, disgust, and surprise.” Hundred (12.48%) patients reported no reaction after diagnosis.

Significantly, fear (221, 86.33%) and depression (140, 54.69%) as an immediate reaction after diagnosis were more in females as compared with males [fear (372, 68.26%), depression (245, 44.95%)] ($P < 0.01$).

More male patients had “suicidal thoughts” (73, 13.48%) and were “panic” (57, 10.46%) as compared with female patients [suicidal thoughts (25, 9.77%), panic (27, 10.55%)] but this difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Significantly, more number of male patients (88, 18.15%) did not show any immediate reaction after their diagnosis than female patients (12, 4.69%) ($P < 0.05$).

Out of 801 patients, 769 (96%) had spouse and 32 (4%) male patients were unmarried [Table 2]. Out of 769, maximum number of patients (653, 84.92%) had disclosed HIV status to their spouses. The disclosure rate was significantly more in females (237, 92.58%) as compared with males (416, 81.09%) ($P < 0.01$).

Five hundred and sixty-nine (71.04%) patients had disclosed HIV status to their family members. Significantly, more number of females (207, 80.86%) disclosed HIV status to their family members than males (362, 66.42%) ($P < 0.01$). Overall, disclosure rate was very high (789, 98.5%).

Table 3 shows the distribution of patients according to immediate reactions of spouse after disclosure of HIV serostatus revealed that among 653 patients who disclosed HIV status to their spouses, wives (spouse of male patient) were 416 (63.71%) and husbands (spouse of female patient) were 237 (36.29%). Majority of spouse (324, 42.13%) had immediate reaction/thought that “their partner had done some crime.” The other immediate reactions by spouse were “horror” (294, 38.23%), “anger” (237, 36.29%), and “punishment” (208, 21.045). No reaction was reported by 51 (6.63%).

Wives had the “crime” (233, 45.42%) as a predominant immediate reactions after diagnosis as compared to husbands (91, 35.35%) and this difference was found to be statistically significant ($P < 0.01$). Significantly, more percentage of wives expressed “horror” (237, 46.19%) as a immediate reactions after diagnosis as compared to husbands (57, 22.16%) ($P < 0.01$).

More number of husbands showed punishment (95, 37.10%) as immediate reaction to diagnosis compared to wives (113, 22.02%) ($P < 0.01$). Thirty-four (13.28%) husbands did not show any reaction after they came to

Table 1: Distribution of human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients according to immediate reactions by patients after diagnosis

Reactions	Male (n = 545) (%)	Female (n = 256) (%)	Total (n = 801) (%)	Z value	P value
Fear	372 (68.26)	221 (86.33)	593 (74.03)	6.16	<0.01
Depression	245(44.95)	140 (54.69)	385 (48.06)	2.58	<0.01
Suicidal thoughts	73 (13.39)	25 (09.77)	98 (12.23)	1.57	>0.05
Panic	57 (10.46)	27 (10.55)	84 (10.49)	0.03	>0.05
Anger	37 (06.79)	09 (03.52)	46 (05.74)	2.07	<0.05
Disgust	33 (06.06)	05 (01.95)	38 (04.74)	3.07	<0.01
Surprised	09 (01.65)	00 (00.00)	09 (01.12)	3.02	<0.01
Casual	03 (00.55)	00 (00.00)	03 (00.37)	1.77	>0.05
No reaction	88 (16.15)	12 (04.69)	100 (12.48)	6.36	<0.01

Table 2: Human immunodeficiency virus status disclosure to significant others

Significant others	Disclosure			Z value (P value)
	Male n = 545 (%)	Female n = 256 (%)	Total n = 801 (%)	
Spouse	416* (81.09)	237 (92.58)	653* (84.92)	4.82 (<0.01)
Family members	362 (66.42)	207 (80.86)	569 (71.04)	4.53 (<0.01)
Friends	152 (27.89)	17 (6.64)	169 (21.09)	8.59 (<0.01)
Medical personnel	130 (23.85)	7 (2.73)	137 (17.10)	10.10 (<0.01)
Relatives	110 (20.18)	11 (4.30)	121 (15.11)	7.43 (<0.01)
Workplace	68 (12.48)	5** (2.67)	73** (9.97)	5.32 (<0.01)
Neighbors	50 (9.17)	7 (2.73)	57 (7.12)	4.02 (<0.01)

*Percentage has been calculated from (n=32) as 32 patients were unmarried, **Percentage has been calculated from (n=69) as 69 patients were housewives

Table 3: Distribution of human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients according to immediate reactions of spouse after disclosure

Immediate reactions	Spouse of males (wives) (n = 416) (%)	Spouse of female (husbands) (n = 237) (%)	Total (n = 653) (%)	Z value	P value
Crime	233 (56.01)	91 (38.40)	324 (49.62)	4.41	<0.01
Horror	237 (56.97)	57 (24.05)	294 (45.02)	8.92	<0.01
Anger	138 (33.17)	99 (41.77)	237 (36.29)	2.18	<0.05
Punishment	113 (27.16)	95 (40.08)	208 (31.85)	3.34	<0.01
Guilt	11 (02.64)	29 (12.24)	40 (06.13)	4.56	<0.01
Sympathy	195 (46.87)	77 (32.49)	272 (41.65)	3.68	<0.01
Doubtful	11 (02.64)	05 (02.11)	16 (02.45)	0.07	>0.05
No reaction	17 (04.09)	34 (14.35)	51 (07.81)	4.14	<0.01

know about diagnosis of their partner as compared to wives (17,3.31%) ($P<0.01$).

Table 4 shows the distribution of patients according to discrimination they faced after disclosure of HIV serostatus showed that maximum number of patients were discriminated by friends (20, 71.01%) followed by discrimination at workplace (49, 67.12%), by neighbors (32, 56.14%), by relatives (53, 43.80%), by family members (173, 30.41%), by medical personnel (36, 26.28%), and by spouse (56, 8.57%). Significantly, more number of females were discriminated by spouse (39, 16.46%), family members (118, 57.01%), and relatives (8, 72.01%) as compared to males [spouse (17, 4.09%), family members (55, 15.19%), and relatives (45, 40.91%)].

DISCUSSION

HIV/AIDS is a potentially sensitive subject and discussion about it can provoke strong reactions from patients. To be diagnosed as HIV-positive patient is traumatic and its natural corollaries are fear, depression, panic, anger, disgust, etc.^[5] In this study, the foremost reaction of patients after coming to know about their seropositivity was fear (593, 74.03%) which primarily manifested as fear of future, fear of death, fear of marital disharmony, fear of being avoided, etc. These fears are also reported by Muley^[5] as a reason for non-disclosure of seropositive status. This may be related to the fact that till date there is no effective cure. Discrimination and stigmatization of PLWHA by society at various levels might also contribute to these various fears.

The second most common reaction of HIV-positive patients was depression (385, 48.06%). “Depression” was also reported by Chandra *et al.*^[6] (40%) and Melanie *et al.*^[7] (46.85%).

The reaction of fear (221, 86.33%) and depression (140, 54.69%) was significantly more common in females than males [fear (372, 68.26%), depression (245, 44.95%)] ($P<0.01$). Males in our society are considered independent. They are generally economically self-sufficient, whereas females even if economically self-sufficient are dependant. This may explain more fear in females.

Ninety-eight (12.23%) patients had thought of ending their life even before disease could actually kill them. Suicidal thoughts among these patients might have originated because of fear and devastating effects of stigma and discrimination prevalent in the society. Suicidal thoughts as a reaction after diagnosis were also quoted by Kalichman *et al.*^[8] (26%) and Chandra *et al.*^[6] (24%), respectively.

Human immunodeficiency virus status disclosure to significant others

An overwhelming majority of patients did not want to reveal their Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status to anyone other than spouse and family members. Majority of patients had disclosed HIV status to their spouses (653, 84.92%) and family members (569, 71.04%). Among those who disclosed their serostatus to spouse and family members, females [spouse (237, 92.58%), family members (207, 80.86%)] were significantly more than males [spouse (416, 81.09%), family members (362, 66.42%)] ($P<0.01$).

High disclosure rate of HIV serostatus to the spouse/partner was also reported by Bouillon *et al.*^[9] (84.6%) and Muley^[5] (62%). Likewise, higher disclosure rate to family members (37.2%, 78%) was also reported by Muley^[5] and Chandra *et al.*^[6]

The reason for disclosure to spouse or family members is obvious. For couples, spouse/partner is the first and the most reliable and confident person. Disclosure

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to discrimination after disclosure of human immunodeficiency virus status

Significant others	Male		Female		Total		Z value (P value)
	Disclosed	Discriminated	Disclosed	Discriminated	Disclosed	Discriminated	
Friends	152	107 (70.39)	17	13 (76.47)	169	120 (71.01)	0.56 (>0.05)
Workplace	68	45 (66.18)	5	04 (80.00)	73	49 (67.12)	1.71 (>0.05)
Neighbors	50	27 (54.00)	7	05 (71.43)	57	32 (56.14)	0.94 (>0.05)
Relatives	110	45 (40.91)	11	08 (72.77)	121	53 (43.80)	2.24 (<0.05)
Family members	362	55 (15.19)	207	118 (57.01)	569	173 (30.41)	10.66 (<0.01)
Medical personnel	130	34 (26.15)	7	02 (28.57)	137	36 (26.28)	0.14 (>0.05)
Spouse	416	17 (04.09)	237	39 (16.46)	653	56 (08.57)	4.76 (<0.01)

of HIV status to one's sexual partner is an important prevention goal emphasized by WHO and Centre for disease control and prevention (CDC) in their protocols for HIV counseling and testing.^[10] However, the reason for disclosing to family members may be due to the fact that they were the primary care takers of patients and could give emotional and financial support to patients.

One hundred and sixty-nine (21.09%) patients had disclosed their HIV status to friends and 121 (15.11%) to relatives. Similar to present study, low disclosure to friends and relatives was also quoted by Chandra *et al.*^[6] (friends, 7%), Bouillon^[9] (friends and relatives, 55.6%), and Muley^[5] (friends, 20.95; relatives, 30.2%).

This disclosure is well-observed phenomenon with behavioral practices of HIV patients. This could be for emotional support and the need to share feelings with them. Disclosure to relatives could be for financial support and need to prevent marriage plans.

One hundred and thirty-seven (17.10%) patients had revealed their status to their doctors. Similarly, 15% patients disclosed their HIV status to health professionals in a study by Chandra *et al.*^[6] However, in contrast with the present study, 62% of patients disclosed their HIV status to doctors in a study by Muley.^[5] The obvious reason could be need for treatment.

Twelve (1.49%) patients had not disclosed their serostatus in anticipation of negative social reasons, fear of internalization of stigma and discrimination, and disgrace to family. This may lead to late diagnosis.

Immediate reactions of spouse after disclosure

More number of husbands (spouse of female) revealed "punishment" (37.10%) as immediate reaction to disclosure, 32.03% of husbands expressed that they had a "verbal fight" with their spouse, and wives (spouse of male) showed "crime" (45.42%) and "horror" (46.19%) as a predominant immediate reactions after disclosure.

The reaction of "guilt" shown by husbands (12.24%) on knowing the seropositive status of wives indicates that they held themselves responsible for seropositive status of spouse. If the husbands develop an attitude of "being responsible for health of their spouse" especially in case of HIV/AIDS then that would definitely help to reduce the HIV/AIDS problem. Interestingly, 195 (46.87%) wives and 77 (32.49%) husbands showed sympathetic reactions to their spouses. Such an

attitude may help themselves to come in terms with reality and care each other. The reaction to disclosure may be originating from the importance given to sex to outside marriage in our community.

In this study, majority of females were illiterate and from lower socioeconomic class. Because of the sociocultural set up, females keep immense faith in their spouses (husbands). So rightfully, majority of the wives had negative reactions such as feeling of crime (233, 56.01%) committed by husband, anger (138, 33.17%) toward husband, etc. Wives (spouse of male) were horrified (237, 56.97%) after knowing the diagnosis of their husbands. They were concerned about the effect of this on their livelihood and the chances of transmission of disease to themselves.

Discrimination of patients after disclosure

AIDS-related prejudice and discrimination directed at PLWHA, groups and communities to which they belong is a worldwide phenomenon.^[4] In this study, maximum number of patients were discriminated by friends (120, 71.01%). Lack of awareness about the disease and fear of contracting it from the patient could have been the reason for discrimination. In contrast to this study, Patrick, *et al.*^[11] reported discrimination of 12% patients by friends.

About 67.12% of patients were discriminated at workplace. Although HIV is not transmitted in the majority of workplace settings, the supposed risk of transmission has been used by numerous employers to terminate or refuse employment. In contrast to this study, discrimination of 7.1% and 5.71% patients at workplace quoted by Peretti-Watel *et al.*,^[11, 12] respectively.

One hundred and seventy-three (30.41%) patients reported discrimination by family members. Significantly, more number of females (118, 57.01%) were discriminated by family members as compared with males (55, 15.19%) ($P < 0.01$). In the majority of developing countries, families are the primary care givers to sick members. There is clear evidence of the importance of the role that the family plays in providing support and care for PLWHA.

However, not all family response is positive. Infected members of the family can find themselves stigmatized and discriminated against within the home.^[10] However, findings quoted by Taraphdar, *et al.*^[10] (12.5%) and Sharma, *et al.*^[12] (8.57%) regarding discrimination by

family members were not in accordance with this study.

Thirty-six (26.28%) patients experienced discrimination by medical personnel. Lack of protective and other material needed to treat and prevent the spread of HIV might have contributed to discriminatory behavior. It is unfortunate that the very same people engaged in helping these people indulge in discriminatory behavior. Similar findings (27%) (14.29%) with the present study were observed by.^[11,12]

Least number of patients were discriminated by their spouse (56,8.57%). Discrimination of 14.29% patients by spouse was reported by.^[12]

In this study, discrimination was directed more toward female patients by all groups. Even a married woman who has been infected by her husband will be accused by her in-laws. In such a male-dominated society, no one ever accepts that the man is actually the one who did something wrong. It is even harder on unmarried women since it is seen as a fair result of their sexual misbehavior.

REFERENCES

1. Narain JP. AIDS in Asia, The Challenge Ahead. New Delhi: WHO, Regional Office SEAR; 2004. p. 15-9.
2. WHO/UNAIDS. AIDS Epidemic Update, 2010. Available from: <http://www.unaids.org/en/HIV-data> Last accessed on 2010 Feb 12.
3. Kishore. National Health Programmes of India. 6thed. New Delhi: Century Publications; 2006. p. 138.
4. HIV/AIDS stigma and discrimination, 2007. Available from: <http://www.avert.org> Last accessed on 2008 Nov 3.
5. Muley R. Confidentiality, stigma, discrimination and voluntary disclosure. *Indian J Soc Work* 2005;66:310-21.
6. Chandra PS, Deepthivarma S, Manjula V. Disclosure of HIV infection in south India: Patterns, reasons and reactions. *AIDS Care* 2003;15:207-15.
7. Rusch M, Nixon S, Schilder A, Braitstein P, Chan K, Hogg RS. Impairments, activity limitations and participation restrictions: Prevalence and associations among persons living with HIV/AIDS in British Columbia. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2004;2:46.
8. Kalichman SC, Heckman T, Kochman A, Sikkema K, Bergholte J. Depression and thoughts of suicide among middle-aged and older persons living with HIV-AIDS. *Psychiatr Serv* 2000;51:903-7.
9. Bouillon K, Lert F, Sitta R, Schmaus A, Spire B, Dray-Spira R. Factors correlated with disclosure of HIV infection in the French Antilles and French Guiana: Results from the ANRS-EN13-VESPA-DFA Study. *AIDS* 2007;21 Suppl 1:S89-94.
10. Taraphdar P, Dasgupta A, Saha B. Disclosure among people living with HIV/AIDS. *Indian J Community Med* 2007;32:280-2.
11. Peretti-Watel P, Spire B, Obadia Y, Moatti JP, VESPA Group. Discrimination against HIV-infected people and the spread of HIV: Some evidence from France. *PLoS One* 2007;2:e411.
12. Sharma A, Shah S, Marfatia YS. Discrimination faced by HIV positive females. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis* 2005;26:70-1.