

Outcome of dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy in pemphigus: A case series

Sakthi Kandan, Devinder Mohan Thappa

Departments of Dermatology and Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD), Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry - 605 006, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Devinder Mohan Thappa, Professor and Head, Departments of Dermatology and STD, JIPMER, Pondicherry - 605 006, India E-mail: dmthappa@gmail.com **ABSTRACT**

Background: Pemphigus disorders are a group of serious and potentially life-threatening diseases affecting skin and/or mucus membranes. Dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse (DCP) therapy has shown promising results in the management of these diseases. Aims: The objective of the study was to assess the outcome of DCP therapy in pemphigus. Methods: Pemphigus patients who had been treated with DCP therapy from 2001 to 2008 were prospectively and retrospectively analyzed. Results: A total of 65 pemphigus patients were enrolled. Male to female ratio was 1:1.82. Mean age of patients was 44.65 ± 11.85 years with a range of 14 to 73 years. Thirty two patients completed phase I, of which 28 (9 in phase II. 7 in phase III and 12 in phase III) were maintaining remission and four patients relapsed. Relapse was observed only in patients who discontinued or took irregular treatment. Six patients were declared cured of disease. Five patients died during phase I. Dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy is not absolutely free from adverse effects. Most of the immediate side effects were expected, tolerable and did not pose any problem in continuing treatment. There was a slight increased incidence of weight gain, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cataract and Cushingoid habitus, since most of our patients also received additional daily oral steroids. Also, there was a high incidence of secondary pyogenic infections of skin lesions and oral candidiasis during phase I. Staphylococcus aureus was the commonest bacteria isolated from the pus of skin lesions. Most of the alterations in laboratory parameters were transitory or correctable, and did not pose a problem in continuing therapy, **Conclusions**: DCP therapy was found to be effective in inducing and maintaining remission in pemphigus, provided the patients receive regular and complete treatment.

DOI: 10.4103/0378-6323.53133

Key words: Pulse therapy, Dexamethasone, Cyclophosphamide, Pemphigus

INTRODUCTION

Pemphigus disorders are a group of serious and potentially life-threatening disorders characterized by blisters in the skin and/or mucus membranes. [1] Dexamethasone—cyclophosphamide pulse (DCP) therapy designed by Pasricha *et al.* at All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, has revolutionized the management of pemphigus since its introduction in 1986. [2] If properly used, DCP therapy has the potential to effect lifelong recovery from these diseases. [3] Apart from pemphigus, DCP therapy was also found to be effective in pemphigoid disorders, systemic sclerosis, SLE, etc. [4] Here, we report the outcome of DCP therapy in 65 patients with pemphigus.

METHODS

We prospectively analyzed the patients with pemphigus treated with DCP therapy from August 2006 to June 2008 in the Department of Dermatology and STD, at our institute. Also, retrospective analysis of patients who had been treated with DCP therapy from August 2001 to July 2006 was done. The diagnosis in these cases was based on clinical features, Tzanck smear, histopathology of the skin/mucus membrane lesions and direct immunofluorescence test. One hundred and twenty milligrams of dexamethasone dissolved in 200 ml of 5% dextrose (instead of 100 mg of dexamethasone in 500 ml of 5% dextrose as in the original regimen designed by Pasricha *et al.*^[5] was administered as a slow intravenous infusion over two hours for three consecutive days, and

How to cite this article: Kandan S, Thappa DM. Outcome of dexamethasone–cyclophosphamide pulse therapy in pemphigus: A case series. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2009;75:373-8.

Received: September, 2008. Accepted: February, 2009. Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.

500 mg of cyclophosphamide was added in the same drip on the second day. This constituted one DCP. Such DCPs were repeated at exactly 28 days interval counted from the first day of the previous DCP. In between DCPs, patient received 50 mg of cyclophosphamide orally per day. During the first few months (phase I), the patient may continue to develop clinical lesions in between DCPs. To achieve quick remission (absence of fresh lesions and disappearance of the existing lesions), [6] 40-60 mg of prednisolone per day orally or additional dexamethasone pulses were given in addition. Prednisolone was tapered stepwise during the subsequent DCPs. After the skin and mucosal lesions subsided completely and the additional medications were withdrawn, the patient was shifted to phase II. In phase II, nine more DCPs were administered along with 50 mg cyclophosphamide orally per day. In phase III, DCPs were stopped; only 50 mg of oral cyclophosphamide was given daily for 9 months. In phase IV, all treatments were stopped, and patients were followed up as long as possible to look for any tendency to relapse. If a patient did not develop a relapse for two years after complete withdrawal of treatment, he was declared to be cured of disease. Data regarding the age, gender, age of onset of disease, clinical details about the disease, previous treatment details, phases of therapy, remission, relapse, cure, default and reasons for default, death and causes of death and adverse effects of therapy were recorded. The severity of skin involvement was categorized as mild, with less than 20 blisters, moderate with 20-40 blisters and severe with more than 40 blisters. Mucosal involvement was categorized as mild, with 1-5 lesions, moderate with 5-10 lesions and severe with more than 10 lesions or extensive erosions.[7] Laboratory investigations such as urine analysis, stool analysis, hemoglobin, total and differential leukocyte counts, platelet counts, blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, serum electrolytes and liver function tests were done before each pulse. Any alterations from the standard normal values were noted. Bacterial culture and sensitivity were done from infected skin lesions. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institute Ethical Committee for both prospective and retrospective cases.

RESULTS

Of the 65 pemphigus patients enrolled for DCP therapy, 42 (64.6%) were females and 23 (35.4%) were males. Male to female ratio was 1:1.82. Mean age of patients was 44.65 ± 11.85 years with a range of 14-73 years. Majority (40) of them belonged to age group of 40-59 years, followed by 20-39 years (17), 60 years

and above (6) and less than 20 years (2). Mean age of onset of disease was 41.91 ± 12.15 years with a range of 13-72 years.

Disease profile

Amongst the 65 pemphigus patients, 49 (75.4%) had pemphigus vulgaris, 11 (16.92%) pemphigus foliaceus, 3 (4.6%) pemphigus erythematosus and one (1.54%) case each had paraneoplastic pemphigus and pemphigus vegetans.

Amongst the 65 patients, 64 patients had skin involvement. Amongst these 64 patients, 42 (65.62%) patients had severe skin involvement, 16 (25%) moderate skin involvement, and 6 (9.38%) mild skin involvement. Among the 49 pemphigus vulgaris patients, 47 had oral involvement. Of the 47 patients, 27 (57.45%) of them had severe disease, 14 (29.79%) had moderate disease and 6 (12.76%) had mild disease. The one patient with paraneoplastic pemphigus had severe oral mucosal disease.

Previous treatment

Sixty four patients received some form of treatment for the illness from other centers, prior to initiation of DCP therapy from our centre. Most of our patients (33 patients) were on oral or parenteral steroids before initiation of DCP therapy.

Duration of the disease prior to initiation of DCP therapy

Mean duration of the disease prior to initiation of DCP therapy was 6.2 ± 9.47 months and the range was 10 days to 3 years.

Phases of DCP received by patients

Of the 65 patients, 14 patients (8 in phase I and 6 in phase II) discontinued therapy and five patients (in phase I) died.

Phase I: Among the 33 patients, 14 patients are receiving DCPs, 8 patients discontinued therapy, 6 patients were shifted to other forms of treatment for various reasons and 5 patients expired. Interestingly, among the 8 patients who discontinued treatment after receiving some pulses in phase I, three were in remission (disease-free period 5 years in one patient and 6 years in 2 patients) until now without any treatment.

Phase II: Twelve patients reached phase II, of which 6 patients are continuing therapy and were in remission, the remaining 6 patients discontinued therapy. Among the six patients who discontinued treatment, 3 came back with relapse and 3 were in remission (one patient for 9 months, and two patients for 4 and 5 years, respectively) without any treatment.

Phase III: Seven patients reached phase III and all were in remission.

Phase IV: Thirteen patients reached phase IV, of which 12 are in remission and one patient relapsed. Among the 12 patients who are in remission, six patients completed two years in phase IV and were declared cured of disease.

A total of 32 patients completed phase I and were in phase II, phase III or phase IV [Figures 1 and 2]. Mean number of pulses required in phase I was 9.53 ± 3.52 with a minimum of 5 pulses (2 cases) and maximum of 20 pulses (1 case). Majority (22) of them needed 6-10 pulses to achieve remission.

Fifty eight (89.2%) patients also received daily oral prednisolone in between DCPs in phase I. Seven (10.8%) patients did not receive oral steroids in between DCPs. Ten patients also received additional dexamethasone pulses (ADP) in between DCPs in phase I at two weeks interval. Eight patients received only one ADP and two patients received two ADPs.

Five (7.7%) patients died while on therapy. The causes of death were septicemia in two cases, septicemic shock and adult respiratory distress syndrome (1), pre-renal failure and cardiorespiratory arrest (1) and sudden cardiac arrest (1).



Figure 1: Pemphigus vulgaris – nonhealing erosions over the back (before giving DCP)

Forty (14 males and 26 females) patients were irregular in receiving pulses due to various reasons. Most of the patients had more than one reason for irregular treatment [Tables 1 and 2].

Fourteen patients lost to followup during treatment, eight patients in phase I and 6 patients in phase II [Table 3].

The adverse effects that were observed during infusion, pulse days and within a week of starting each pulse were considered as immediate adverse effects. Those side effects that occurred later than 7 days after each pulse, in between various pulses (during phase I and II) or later on during phase III and IV were taken as delayed

Table 1: Reasons for default in males		
Reasons for default	No. of patients $(n = 14)$	
Work-related reasons	9	
Financial problems	6	
Complications of treatment, family-related reasons, traveling long distance	2 (each)	
Study-related reasons, other illnesses	1	

Table 2: Reasons for default in females		
Reasons for default	No. of patients $(n = 26)$	
Family related reasons	15	
Financial problems	9	
Work-related problems	7	
Traveling long distance	3	
Complications of treatment	2	
Study-related reasons, pregnancy, other illness, thought cured of disease	1 (each)	



Figure 2: Same patient – lesions healed with hyperpigmentation (after 5 DCPs)

Table 3: Reasons for loss of followup		
Reasons for loss of followup	No. of patients	
Thought cured of disease	10	
Frequent hospitalization	5	
Traveling long distance	5	
Financial problems	4	
Complications of treatment	3	
Work-related reasons	2	
Incomplete recovery from disease	1	

adverse effects. The immediate adverse effects that were observed during phase I are tabulated in Table 4. In most of the patients, the effects reduced in severity and frequency during phase II. The delayed adverse effects observed during all four phases are tabulated in Table 5.

Leukocytosis was observed in 15 patients, followed by hyperglycemia (10), hypocalcemia and eosinophilia in 6 patients each, elevated serum alkaline phosphatase (5), anemia (4), leukopenia, elevated aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in two patients each, hypernatremia, hyponatremia and hypokalemia in one patient each. All the changes were transient or correctable and did not pose any contraindication for continuing therapy.

Pus culture reports were available only in 44 patients. In five patients, more than one bacterium were isolated. *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated in

Table 4: Immediate adverse effects during phase I of dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy

No. of patients ($n = 65$)
26
19
16
16
15
12
11 (each)
7 (each)
6 (each)
5 (each)
4 (each)
3 (each)
2 (each)
1 (each)

24 cases, followed by methicillin resistant *S. aureus* in 10 cases. All the 24 *S. aureus* isolates were sensitive to vancomycin and oxacillin, 9 to ciprofloxacin, 8 to tetracycline, 5 to gentamycin, 4 to erythromycin, 4 to penicillin, 3 to ceftriaxone and 2 to ceftazidime. All the MRSA isolates were sensitive to vancomycin, and two to ciprofloxacin, and resistant to all other drugs.

DISCUSSION

Pulse therapy has revolutionized the treatment of pemphigus from mere control of disease to probable cure. ^[2] The results of our study indicate high degree of positive outcome in terms of effectiveness of DCP therapy. We were able to maintain remission in 87.5% of cases who completed phase I. Sacchidanand *et al.* ^[6] reported remission in 41 (82%) patients in their study on 50 patients with autoimmune bullous disease treated with DCP therapy. Pasricha *et al.* ^[3] achieved remission in all the 103 pemphigus patients treated with DCP therapy. We were able to induce remission in almost all patients in whom the disease was not controlled by varying doses of daily oral or parenteral steroids given from other centers.

Majority of the patients (22) needed 6-10 pulses to achieve remission in phase I. However, few patients needed up to 16-20 pulses to achieve clinical remission. Pasricha *et al.*^[8] also made similar observation where

Table 5: Delayed adverse effects of dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy

Adverse effects	No. of patients (<i>n</i> = 65)
Oral candidiasis	25
Weight gain	18
Furuncles	13
Hypertension	12
Diabetes mellitus	11
Amenorrhea	10
Cataract	9
Septicemia	6
Cushingoid habitus, generalized pruritus	5 (each)
Diffuse scalp hair loss, oligomenorrhea, osteopenia, generalized hyperpigmentation	4 (each)
Kaposi's varicelliform eruption	3
Pneumonia, nail pigmentation, azoospermia, leukopenia, acneiform eruptions	2 (each)
Cellulitis, carbuncle, perinephric abscess, psoas abscess, herpetic gingivostomatosis, infective endocarditis, pulmonary tuberculosis, tuberculosis of spine, dermatophytosis, herpes zoster, hemorrhagic cystitis, avascular necrosis of femur, central serous retinopathy and deep vein thrombosis	1 (each)

nearly 50% of patients achieved remission with 6 or less DCPs; however, 11% patients took more than two years to achieve complete clinical remission. However, in a recent study by Pasricha *et al.*,^[3] the addition of betamethasone in between DCPs helped to shorten the duration of phase I to 3-4 months in most of the patients.

Relapse, defined as recurrence of the disease after having completely recovered from the disease, is mostly observed in patients who receive either incomplete or irregular treatment.^[5,8] The treatment administered during phases II and III is necessary for ultimate clinical cure. [5] We observed relapse only in 4 (12.5%) out of the 32 patients who completed phase I. Among these 4 patients, three had discontinued therapy during phase II and one patient relapsed during phase IV. Also, all these four patients were very irregular in receiving DCPs. Recently Pasricha et al.[3] reported a low-relapse rate of 7.7%, where majority of the patients completed the regimen strictly according to the prescribed schedule. Our finding is in accordance with other studies where the relapse rates were higher in patients who received irregular and incomplete treatment.^[5,8]

Most of the relapses in pemphigus patients treated with DCP therapy are known to occur during the first two years of posttreatment followup period only. Therefore, if patients with pemphigus do not develop a relapse for two years after complete withdrawal of all treatments, they can be considered to have been cured. [9] On this basis, six pemphigus patients in our study declared to have been cured of the disease.

Mahajan *et al.*^[10] observed a high rate (58%) of drop out in pemphigus patients treated with DCP therapy. The probable reasons were frequent hospitalization, higher cost of therapy, complacency of cure once lesions healed and traveling longer distance to treatment center. In our study, the most frequent reasons for defaulting and irregularity in case of males were mainly work-related followed by financial problems, whereas in case of females, family reasons and financial problems were mainly responsible for irregular treatment. Most of the patients thought that their disease got cured and further treatment is unnecessary, even though they were repeatedly explained about the nature of disease and treatment.

Pasricha *et al.*^[5] in their study on 500 pemphigus patients reported that 19 patients had died due to various causes, which were either preventable with better patient management or unrelated to disease and

treatment. In a study by Roy *et al.*^[11] on 37 pemphigus patients treated with DCP therapy, 4 (10.8%) had died. Two patients died of septicemia, one each from peripheral circulatory failure and cardiac arrest. In our study, five (7.7%) patients died during treatment with septicemia as a possible cause in three cases. The death rate in pemphigus patients in our study is comparable to that seen in other study.^[11]

Pulse therapy is not absolutely free from side effects. [12] The adverse effects of DCP therapy are those of its constituent drugs, i.e. dexamethasone and cyclophosphamide. [13] The adverse effects that were observed by us during infusion or within a week of each pulse such as generalized weakness/feeling of tiredness, myalgia, arthralgia, dysgeusia, hiccup, flushing, palpitation, insomnia and headache have been already reported and they are considered as peculiar adverse effects of pulse therapy. [12,13] Others such as fever, chills, rigor, sweating, pedal edema and thrombophlebitis can occur with infusion of any drugs. We also observed gastrointestinal adverse effects such as anorexia, nausea, vomiting and dyspepsia. However, almost all patients tolerated these side effects and continued therapy.

Generalized weakness or tiredness which lasted for 2-3 days, in some cases up to one week following infusion, was one of the significant complaints by our patients which affected their day to day activities. However, this effect was observed to decrease with subsequent pulses. This effect is considered to be caused by transient adrenocortical suppression due to withdrawal of high doses of dexamethasone used for pulse. However, this can be treated with daily administration of one tablet of 0.5 mg of betamethasone for 3-4 days after the pulse. [9]

There is almost no risk of developing diabetes, hypertension, weight gain, Cushingoid habitus, peptic ulceration and osteoporosis with pulse therapy unless the patient receives or had received conventional daily dose of steroids. [5] Secondary pyogenic infections of skin lesions and candidiasis of the mouth during active stage of the disease (phase I) are the most important side effects of DCP therapy observed in earlier studies. [5,8,11,14] We also observed a high incidence of secondary pyogenic infections of skin lesions and oral candidiasis during phase I. Gonadal toxicity of cyclophosphamide is a well-known complication and may manifest as amenorrhea, with or without ovarian failure, infertility and azoospermia. [10] We observed a high incidence of amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea,

which is comparable to that observed in other studies. [8,10] Semen analysis was performed in two patients and both of them had azoospermia. Generalized pigmentation of the skin and nail pigmentation are known to occur in patients treated with cyclophosphamide. [15] We observed generalized pigmentation in four patients and nail pigmentation in two patients.

Many earlier studies had indicated that the changes in the laboratory parameters such as leukocyte counts, platelet counts, hemoglobin, blood glucose, electrolyte levels and liver function tests were either insignificant or transient.^[8,11,16,17] The laboratory changes observed in our study were transient or correctable and did not pose any contraindication for continuing therapy.

To conclude, the results of this study indicate a high degree of positive outcome in terms of effectiveness of DCP therapy in pemphigus. With DCP therapy, it is now possible to induce and maintain remission, and achieve cure, even in patients resistant to other forms of treatment, provided the patients strictly adhere to the prescribed schedule.

REFERENCES

- Huilgol SC, Black MM. Management of the immunobullous disorders. II. Pemphigus. Clin Exp Dermatol 1995;20:283-93.
- Rao PN, Lakshmi TSS. Pulse therapy and its modifications in pemphigus: A six year study. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2003;69:329-3.
- Pasricha JS, Poonam. Current regimen of pulse therapy for pemphigus: Minor modifications, improved results. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2008;74:217-21.

- Ramam M, Bhat R. Pulse therapy in other diseases. In: Pasricha JS, editor. Pulse therapy in pemphigus and other diseases. 3rd ed. New Delhi: Mehta publishers; 2006. p. 91-5.
- Pasricha JS. Pulse therapy as a cure for autoimmune diseases. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2003;69:323-8.
- 6. Sacchidanand S, Hiremath NC, Natraj HV, Revathi TN, Rani TS, Pradeep G *et al.* Dexamethasone cyclophosphamide pulse therapy for autoimmune vesiculobullous disorders at Victoria hospital, Bangalore. Dermatol Online J 2003;9:2.
- Huilgol SC, Black MM. Management of the immunobullous disorders. I. Pemphigoid. Clin Exp Dermatol 1995;20:189-201.
- 8. Pasricha JS, Khaitan BK, Raman RS, Chandra M. Dexamethasone—cyclophosphamide pulse for pemphigus. Int J Dermatol 1995;34:875-82.
- Pasricha JS. AIIMS Experience. In: Pasricha JS, editor. Pulse therapy in pemphigus and other diseases. 3rd ed. New Delhi: Mehta publishers; 2006. p. 52-90.
- Mahajan VK, Sharma NL, Sharma RC, Garg G. Twelve-year clinico-therapeutic experience in pemphigus: A retrospective study of 54 cases. Int J Dermatol 2005;44:821-7.
- Roy R, Kalla G. Dexamethasone–Cyclophosphamide pulse (DCP) therapy in pemphigus. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 1997;63:354-6.
- 12. Jain R, Kumar B. Immediate and delayed complications of Dexamethasone Cyclophosphamide Pulse (DCP) therapy. J Dermatol 2003;30:713-8.
- Ramam M. Dexamethasone pulse therapy in dermatology. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2003;69:319-2.
- Thappa DM, Karthikeyan K, Jeevankumar B. Dexamethasone-Cyclophosphamide Pulse therapy in pemphigus: An appraisal of its out come in a tertiary health care center in South India. Indian J Dermatol 2004;49:9-13.
- 15. Perlis C, Pan TD, McDonald CJ. Cytotoxic agents. In: Wolverton SE, editor. Comprehensive dermatologic drug therapy. 2^{nd} ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2007. p. 197-217.
- Pasricha S, Thanzama J, Khan UK. Intermittent high dose dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide therapy for pemphigus. Br J Dermatol 1988;119:73-7.
- Dhabhai R, Kalla G, Singhi MK, Ghiya BC, Kachhawa D. Dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2005;71:9-13.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from http://www.journalonweb.com. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 400 kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than 1024 kb (1 MB) in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1200 pixels) or by reducing the quality of image. JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.