



What insights can be gained from optimizing the relevant research on methotrexate monotherapy versus methotrexate and apremilast combination therapy in the treatment of palmoplantar psoriasis?

Dear Editor,

Palmoplantar psoriasis is a type of psoriasis which predominantly involves palms and soles. Current therapeutic effects are limited with potentially significant adverse effects.¹ We read with interest this study, "Methotrexate monotherapy versus methotrexate and apremilast combination therapy in the treatment of palmoplantar psoriasis: A prospective, randomised, assessor-blinded, comparative study" published in your journal.² This was a prospective, randomized, assessor-blinded comparative study where 60 patients with palmoplantar psoriasis were randomly divided into two groups, one receiving methotrexate monotherapy and the other receiving methotrexate and apremilast combination therapy. The treatment lasted for 16 weeks. The primary efficacy endpoint was the change in the severity of skin lesions after treatment. Safety assessments included monitoring and recording adverse events. The results showed that the combination therapy group had a significantly higher reduction in the severity of skin lesions after 16 weeks of treatment. The incidence of adverse events in the two groups was similar. Future research will help further explore the mechanism of combined treatment with methotrexate and apremilast, and optimise dosage and treatment duration. In addition, methotrexate has certain nephrotoxicity, and the use of methotrexate in combination with other compounds to reduce its toxic side effects is also one of the future research directions.³

The study period for this article was relatively short. The study used a 16-week treatment period and only conducted one follow-up assessment; therefore, the study results only represent the efficacy and safety of early treatment. Palmoplantar psoriasis is a chronic disease that requires

long-term maintenance and management, and therefore this short-term, randomized controlled study cannot fully reflect the long-term efficacy and safety of treatment.⁴

Blinding of evaluators is an advantage of this study, but it did not explain how the blinding of evaluators was implemented, such as whether a double-blind design was used, or whether the evaluators were trained.⁴ This information is essential for assessing the reliability and effectiveness of the study. The similar incidence of side effects reported in this study does not necessarily mean that the side effects of the two treatment regimens are completely the same, as the sample size of the study is small and may not fully reflect the side effects of the treatment regimen.⁵ Therefore, when using these two treatment regimens, it is still necessary to closely monitor the possible side effects and adverse reactions in patients. However, overall, this is a high-quality original article that is worth studying.

Declaration of patient consent

Patients' consent not is required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Weichen Si¹, Zihan Wang¹

Health Management Center, Fengtai District Education Committee of Beijing Municipality, Beijing Municipal Education Commission, Beijing, China,
¹Faculty of Chinese Medicine, Macao University of Science and Technology, Macau SAR, China

How to cite this article: Si W, ZH W. What insights can be gained from optimizing the relevant research on methotrexate monotherapy versus methotrexate and apremilast combination therapy in the treatment of palmoplantar psoriasis? Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol, doi: 10.25259/IJDVL_337_2023

Received: March, 2023 **Accepted:** April, 2023 **Epub Ahead of Print:** October, 2023

DOI: 10.25259/IJDVL_337_2023

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Weichen Si,
Health Management Center,
Fengtai District Education Committee of Beijing Municipality, Beijing
Municipal Education Commission, Beijing, China,
weichensi14@outlook.com

References

1. Rao A, Khandpur S, Kalaivani M. A study of the histopathology of palmo-plantar psoriasis and hyperkeratotic palmo-plantar dermatitis. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2018;84:27–33.
2. Hassanandani T, Panda M, Jena AK, Raj C. Methotrexate monotherapy versus methotrexate and apremilast combination therapy in the treatment of palmoplantar psoriasis: A prospective, randomised, assessor-blinded, comparative study. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2023;89:213–20.
3. Wei X, Wu Y, Tang H, Wang B, Wang Y, Sun W, *et al.* CP-25 ameliorates methotrexate induced nephrotoxicity via improving renal apoptosis and methotrexate excretion. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2021;146:21–8.
4. Verma KK, Kumar P, Bhari N, Gupta S, Kalaivani M. Azathioprine weekly pulse versus methotrexate for the treatment of chronic plaque psoriasis: A randomized controlled trial. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2021;87:509–14.
5. Singh SK, Singnarpi SR. Safety and efficacy of methotrexate (0.3 mg/kg/week) versus a combination of methotrexate (0.15 mg/kg/week) with cyclosporine (2.5 mg/kg/day) in chronic plaque psoriasis: A randomised non-blinded controlled trial. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2021;87:214–22.