Supplementary Table 3. GRADE evaluation of meta-analysis

Outcome	N	Effect size with 95% CI	I^2	Risk of bias [a]	Inconsistency [b]	Indirectness [c]	Imprecision [d]	Quality of evidence
Pre-post treatment effect								
Facial erythema -1 month (CEA/mexameter)	2	-3.386 (-7.825, 1.054)	97.34%	0	-1	0	0	Moderate
Facial erythema -2 month (CEA/mexameter)	2	-2.354 (-5.492, 0.784)	96.51%	0	-1	0	0	Moderate
Facial erythema -3 month (CEA/mexameter)	2	-1.676 (-2.278, -1.074)	35.76%	0	0	0	0	High

[a] If more than one-third of the studies were high risk of bias, the risk of bias will be rated down. [b] If the I2 >50%, the inconsistency will be rated down. [c] If the control groups are heterogeneous, indirectness will be rated down. [d] If the confidence interval is wide or the results are from a single study, imprecision is rate low.

Outcome	N	Effect size with 95% CI	I^2	Risk of bias [a]	Inconsistency [b]	Indirectness [c]	Imprecision [d]	Quality of evidence
Pre-post treatment effect								
Facial erythema -1 month (CEA/mexameter)	5	-2.712 (-4.182, -	89.529%	-1	-1	0	0	Very Low

		1.243)						
Facial erythema -2 month (CEA/mexameter)	3	-2.21 (-3.702 , -0.725)	89.529 %	-1	-1	0	0	Very Low
Facial erythema -3 month (CEA/mexameter)	4	-1.912 (-2.882 , -0.941)	78.219 %	-1	-1	0	0	Very Low
[a]If more than one-third of the studies were high risk of bias, the risk of bias will be rated down. [b]If the I2 >50%, the inconsistency will be rated down. [c]If the control groups are heterogeneous; indirectness will be rated down. [d]If the confidence interval is wide or the results are from a single study, imprecision is rate low.								