## **Appendix:**

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of randomized controlled trials Treatme The intervention group The control group First Disea nt author, Age Usage and duratio Age Usage and se M/F Drug Efficient Adverse reactions M/F Drug Efficient Year Adverse reactions Dosage (year) Dosage (year) n Adverse reactions: Adverse reactions: Cured (n = 11), markedly Cured (n = 9), markedly Mizolastin salivation, dry mouth, salivation, dry mouth, Zhou 10 effective (n = 26), effective effective (n = 20), effective relieved after drug Mizolasti 10 relieved after drug mg/po/qd;2 Xia, N 18-70 (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 18-70 (n = 10) and ineffective (n cyprohept withdrawal, no serious withdrawal, no serious mg/po/qd ne  $2014^{1}$ mg/po/hs 4). Total effective rate: = 5). Total effective rate: adine adverse reactions adverse reactions 91.30%. 88.64%. occurred. occurred. Cured (n = 25), markedly Cured (n = 15), markedly Mizolastin Mild drowsiness, Li 10 effective (n = 11), effective effective (n = 10), effective Dizziness, headache, and dizziness (n=3) and Mizolasti 10 Huifang, Ν 14-57 mg/po/am; 3 (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 28d 14-57 (n = 12) and ineffective (n stomach discomfort (n = cyprohept general malaise (n=1). mg/po/qd ne  $2006^{2}$ mg/po/qn 0). Total effective rate: = 3). Total effective rate: 4). ADR (%) = 10.00% adine ADR (%) = 10.00%90.0%. 62.5%. Cured (n = 48), markedly Dizziness (n = 2), Cured (n = 38), markedly Dizziness (n = 1), Zhang Mizolastine combined with effective (n = 35), improved gastrointestinal effective (n = 33), gastrointestinal discomfort Mizolasti 10 hecheng, 44/46 18-74 cyproheptadine taper (n = 7) and ineffective (n = discomfort (n = 2) and 10w 45/45 18-72 improved (n = 15) and (n = 2) and drowsiness (n mg/po/hs ne  $2017^{3}$ therapy 0). Total effective rate: drowsiness (n = 7). ADR ineffective (n = 4). Total = 6). ADR (%) = 10%92.22%. (%) = 12.22%. effective rate: 78.88%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise			The intervention group			Treatme				The contr	rol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Usage and Dosage Usage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Lin Xiaohong, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	CU	N	18-62	Mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine tapering therapy: ① the first course of treatment: mizolastine 10mg/d (after breakfast), cyproheptadine 2 mg/d (before bedtime), for 1 week; ② the second course of treatment: administration (po./hs.) on the first day, Mizolastine (10 mg), cyproheptadine (2 mg/po/hs) on the second day, alternately for 2 weeks; ③ the third course of treatment: mizolastine (10 mg/po/hs) on the first day, cyproheptadine (2 mg/po/hs) on the second day, 3 days of drug withdrawal, a total of 3 weeks; ④ the fourth course of treatment: mizolastine (10 mg/po/hs) on the first day, drug withdrawal on the second day, cyproheptadine (2 mg/po/hs) on the third day, and a total of 4 weeks of drug withdrawal on the fourth day; ⑤ the fifth courses of treatment: on the first day, mizolastine (10 mg/po/hs) and the drug was discontinued for 3 days, for 4 weeks; ⑥ the sixth course of treatment: mizolastine (10 mg/po/hs) once a week, and the drug was discontinued after 7 weeks.	Cured (n = 67), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 93.26%.	Dizziness (n = 1), drowsiness (n = 6), and gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 2). ADR (%) = 13.43%.	The control group: 6 weeks. The interventi on group: 7 weeks	N	18-62	Mizolas	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 55), markedly effective (n = 19), effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n=19). Total effective rate: 83.15%.	Drowsiness (n = 3), and gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 2). ADR (%) = 9.09%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The intervention group			Treatment				The co	ntrol group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Tan Zhouxia, 2017 <sup>5</sup>	CU	N	19-72	tapering therapy, the mizolastine (10 mg/p (2 mg/po/hs). Mizola to be tapered gradual	d with cyproheptadine first course of treatment: o/ac/hs) and cyproheptadine stine and cyproheptadine need ly and discontinued at the 7th t finishes the course of	Effective (n = 33).  Total effective rate: 82%	ADR (n = 2), ADR (%) = 5%	The Control group: 6 weeks. The Interventi on group: 7 weeks	N	19-72	Mizol astine	10 mg/po/qd	Effective (n = 23).  Total effective rate:  57%	ADR (n = 8), ADR (%) = 20%
Liu Xuemei, 2016 <sup>6</sup>	CU	44/50	39.6 ± 5.4 <sup>a</sup>	① Course 1: mizolar cyproheptadine 2mg. ② Course 2: alternal mizolastine and cyprounchanged) every off for a total of 2 weeks. The types and doses a going to bed are the streaming cycles every 2 days, 3 days.	orally every day for 1 week.	Cured (n = 48), markedly effective (n = 35), improved (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 100.00%.	Dizziness (n = 2), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 3) and drowsiness (n = 7). ADR (%) = 12.77%	10 w	42/52	40.3 ± 5.8ª	Mizol astine	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 38), markedly effective (n = 32), improved (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 95.45%.	Dizziness (n = 1), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 2) and drowsiness (n = 6). ADR (%) = 9.57%

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The inter	rvention group		Treatment				The control grou	ıp	
author , Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Zhang Jingxia n, 2015 <sup>7</sup>	CU	51/52	16-63	Ebastine	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 26), improved (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 87.0%. Relapse (n = 21). Recurrence rate: 22.8%.	ADR (n = 9), ADR (%) = 8.7%	12 w	47/59	17-64	ebastine: 10 mg/po/hs, D weeks; ② treatment: e cyproheptac mg/po/hs, 2 of treatment cyproheptac The fourth o 5 mg/po/qd, 2 weeks; ⑤ treatment: e on the first o cyproheptac the second o ⑥ The sixt ebastine 5 m	ong/po/hs, cyproheptadine: 2 Doxepin: 25 mg/po/hs, 2 the second course of ebastine: 10 mg/po/qd, dine: 2 mg/po/hs, doxepin: 25 weeks; ③ The third course at: ebastine: 10 mg/po/qd, dine: 2 mg/po/hs, 2 weeks; ④ course of treatment: ebastine d, cyproheptadine: 2 mg/po/hs, The fifth course of ebastine: 5 mg before bedtime day, orally once, and dine 2 mg before bedtime on day, cyclically for 2 weeks; th course of treatment: mg, orally once every other bedtime for 2 weeks.	Cured (n = 43), markedly effective (n = 30), effective (n = 20) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 96.9%. Relapse (n = 10), recurrence rate: 10.4%.	ADR (n = 9), ADR (%) = 9.4%

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The intervention gro	pup		Treat - ment				The contro	ol group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durati	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Xie hong, 2017 <sup>8</sup>	CU	22/23	18-50	Course 1: mizolastine 10mg 2mg/po/qd for 1 week. Cou cyproheptadine po/qd, use a dose as course 1, for a total take 2d as a cycle, and use of 2d. Course 4: take 3d as a cy alternately every 3d. Course and use drugs alternately eve 5d as a cycle, and alternatel The taking method is the sa but the alternate cycle of co 4 days, respectively, for 2 w cycle of course 6 is 5 days,	rse 2: mizolastine or alternately, the same of 2 weeks. Course 3: drugs alternately every ycle, and use drugs e.5: take 4d as a cycle, ery 4d. Course 6: take y use drugs every 5d. me as that of course 2, turse 3 to 5 is 2, 3 and yeeks; the alternate	Markedly effective (n = 39), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 93.3%.	Dizziness (n = 1), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 2) and drowsiness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $8.8\%$ .	6 w	22/23	19-51	Mizolasti ne	10 mg/po/hs	Markedly effective (n = 21), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 11). Total effective rate: 75.5%.	Dizziness (n = 6), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 8) and drowsiness (n = 6). ADR (%) = 44.4%.
Shuai Hong, 2019 <sup>9</sup>	CU	15/15	31.42 ± 5.74 <sup>a</sup>	Lcetirizine dihydrochloride; loratadine	10 mg/po/qd;10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 24), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 100%.	Nausea (n = 1), dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 6.7%.	2 w	14/16	31.17 ± 5.04 a	Lcetirizin e dihydroch loride	10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 20), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 90%.	Headache (n = 1), dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $6.7\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The inte	ervention group		Treatm				The co	ntrol group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Jin Xuan, 2018 <sup>10</sup>	CU	N	18-57	Cetirizine; loratadine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 27), markedly effective (n = 9), improved (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 90.00%.	N	4 w	N	18-57	Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 17), markedly effective (n = 12), improved (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 72.50%.	N
Zhou Yong, 2011 <sup>11</sup>	urtica ria	25/15	17-65	Loratadine; cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Effective (n = 28), improved (n = 10) and ineffective (n=2). Total effective rate: 95.0%	Gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 0) ADR (%) = $0.00\%$	2 w	26/14	17-66	Loratadine	10 mg/po/qd	Effective (n = 20), improved (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: $82.5\%$	Gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 4) ADR (%) = $10.00\%$
Fu Changshua i, 2020 <sup>12</sup>	CU	17/24	21-58	Cetirizine; loratadine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n=21), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 90.24%.	Dizziness (n = 2), abnormal liver function (n = 1) headache (n = 1). ADR (%) = $9.76\%$ .	4 w	18/23	22-57	Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 11), markedly effective (n = 18), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 70.73%.	Dizziness $(n = 3)$ , abnormal liver function (n = 1) headache $(n = 1)and dry mouth (n = 1).ADR (\%) = 14.63\%.$
Pan guangsong , 2018 <sup>13</sup>	CU	28/32	18-68	Cetirizine; loratadine	10 mg/po/qd;1 0 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 38), effective (n = 20), improved (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 96.67%.	Headache (n=1), gastrointestinal discomfort (n=1). ADR (%) = $3.33\%$ .	2w	26/34	20-66	Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 14), effective (n = 35), improved (n = 9) and ineffective (n=2). Total effective rate: 81.67%.	Headache (n = 2), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 3). ADR (%) = 8.33%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The intervention	group		Treat - ment				The control gro	ир	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durati	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Hu Wei, 2015 <sup>14</sup>	CU	61/5	$8.15 \pm 0.52^{a}$	Levocetirizin e; desloratadine	2.5 mg (2-6 years old),5mg (7-12 years old) /po/ am/qd; for 3 W, 2.5 mg (2-6 years old), 5 mg (7-12 years old)/po/qn; for 6 W	Total effective rate: 93.22%.	Dizziness (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 3), headache (n = 2) and dizziness + dry mouth (n = 1). ADR (%) = $7.63\%$ .	6 w	Grou p 1: 60/58 ; Grou p 2: 59/59	Group 1: $7.98 \pm 0.53^{a}$ ; Group 2: $8.36 \pm 0.54^{a}$	Group 1: desloratadine; group 2: levocetirizine	Group 1: 2.5 mg (2-6 years old), 5 mg (7-12 years old)/po/qn; group 2: 2.5 mg (2-6 years old), 5 mg (7-12 years old)/po/am/qd;	Group 1: total effective rate: 81.20%. Group 2: total effective rate: 78.95%.	Group 1: dizziness (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 1). ADR (%) = 3.39%. Group 2: dizziness (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 1) and headache (n = 1). ADR (%) = 4.24%.
Liu Haicha ng, 2019 <sup>15</sup>	CU	16/2 5	17-62	Levocetirizin e dihydrochlori de; desloratadine	5 mg/po/qd; 5 mg/po/qd; use alternately	Markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 23) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 95.12%.	Drowsiness (n = 1) and tiredness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 7.32%	N	17/24	18-61	Desloratadine	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 20) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: 80.49%.	Headache (n = 1), drowsiness (n = 4), stomach ache (n = 1), and tiredness (n = 4). ADR (%) = $24.39\%$
Wang Sheng, 2019 <sup>16</sup>	CU	23/2	6-14	Levocetirizin e; desloratadine	3-5 mg/po/qd; 3-5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 19), effective (n = 22) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 95.35%.	Dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 2.33%.	3 w	25/18	7-14	Levocetirizine	3-5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 17), effective (n = 16) and ineffective (n = 10). Total effective rate: 76.74%.	Dizziness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 1). ADR (%) = $4.65\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Diseas				The interv	ention group		Treatm - ent				The cont	rol group	
author, Year	e	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Jiang Pingfeng, 2021 <sup>17</sup>	CU	20/20	2-12	Desloratadine; Levocetirizine dihydrochloride	> 7 years old, 5 mg/po/qn; ≤ 7 years old, 2.5 mg/po/qn	Markedly effective (n = 21), effective (n = 18) and ineffective (n = 1).  Total effective rate: 97.50%.	Drowsiness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 2) and fatigue (n = 1). ADR (%) = 10.0%.	3 w	19/21	3-11	Desloratadine	> 7 years old, 5 mg/po/qn; ≤ 7 years old, 2.5 mg/po/qn	Markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 19) and ineffective (n = 9).  Total effective rate: 77.50%.	Drowsiness $(n = 1)$ , dry mouth $(n = 1)$ and fatigue $(n = 1)$ . ADR (%) = 7.5%.
Rao Xiaofang, 2020 <sup>18</sup>	CU	18/12	16-53	Levocetirizine; desloratadine	5 mg/po/qd; use alternately	Cured (n = 19), effective (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: $100.00\%$ .	Vomiting and nausea occurred (n = 1) ADR (%) = 3.3%.	1 m	18/12	16-53	Desloratadine	5 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 14), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: $90.00\%$ .	Vomiting and nausea (n = 4), headache and dizziness (n = 2), and pain in other parts of the body (n=1). ADR (%) = 16.7%.
Cai Xiangyun , 2020 <sup>19</sup>	CU	28/29	20-63	Levocetirizine; desloratadine	5 mg/po/qd; use alternately	N	Fatigue (n = 1), drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 5.26%.	1 m	30/27	19-62	Levocetirizine	10 mg/po/qd	N	Fatigue (n = 4), drowsiness (n = 6), and dry mouth (n = 3). ADR (%) = $22.81$ %.
Chen Naifen, 2016 <sup>20</sup>	CU	18/12	8-51	Levocetirizine dihydrochloride ; ketotifen	10 mg/po/qd; 1 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 14), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 86.3%.	Dry mouth (n = 1), dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $3.5\%$	4 w	17/13	9-53	Levocetirizine dihydrochlorid e	10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 11), markedly effective (n = 8), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: 78.5%.	Dry mouth (n = 1), dizziness (n = 1), drowsiness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $13.04\%$

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The int	ervention group		Treatme				The contr	ol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Shao Runpen g, 2018 <sup>21</sup>	CU	15/19	22-46	Levocetiri zine; ketotifen	5 mg/po/qd; 1 mg/po/bid	Cured (n = 25), markedly effective (n = 5), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $97.06\%$ .	N	30 d	15/19	22-46	Levocetirizine	5 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 16), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 88.23%.	N
Zhong Zhengm ing, 2009 <sup>22</sup>	CU	72/54	15-71	Levocetiri zine dihydroch loride; ketotifen	5 mg/po/am/qd; 1 mg/po/bid	Cured (n = 64), markedly effective (n = 50), effective (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $90.3\%$ .	N	28 d	36/27	13-69	Levocetirizine dihydrochlori de	5 mg/po/am/qd	Cured (n = 24), markedly effective (n = 21), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 71.4%.	N
Mo Wenjia n, 2008 <sup>23</sup>	CU	20/22	18-53	Levocetiri zine dihydroch loride; ketotifen	5 mg/po/qn; 1 mg/po/bid	Cured (n = 26), markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $92.86\%$ .	Headache + fatigue + dry mouth + gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 6). ADR (%) = 14.29%.	4 w	18/16	18/16	Levocetirizine dihydrochlori de	5 mg/po/qn	Cured (n = 14), markedly effective (n = 11), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 75.53%.	Headache + fatigue + dry mouth + gastro- intestinal discomfort (n = 5). ADR (%) = 14.71%.
Zhang juanhua , 2012	CU	N	32.7 ± 8.9a	Levocetiri zine; ketotifen	5 mg/po/qd; 1 mg/po/bid	Markedly effective (n = 58). Total effective rate: 96.9%.	N	4 w	N	32.7 ± 8.9 <sup>a</sup>	Levocetirizine	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = $48$ ). Total effective rate: $87.5\%$ .	N

Table 1 (continued)

First					The interven	tion group		Treatme - nt				The conf	rol group	
author, Year	Disease	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Shao Xiaohui, 2018 <sup>25</sup>	refracto ry urticaria	23/17	21-76	Fexofenadine hydrochloride; desloratadine citrate	60 mg/po/bid; 8.8 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 15), markedly effective (n = 18), effective (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: $92.5\%$ .	Sleepy (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 1), nausea (n = 1). ADR (%) = 10.0%	N	22/18	20-75	Fexofenadine hydrochloride	60 mg/po/bid	Cured (n = 10), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 11). Total effective rate: 77.5%.	Drowsiness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 1), nausea (n = 1). ADR (%) = 7.5%
Li Fang, 2019 <sup>26</sup>	refracto ry urticaria	19/16	22-66	Desloratadine citrate; fexofenadine	8.8 mg/po/qd; 60 mg/po/bid	Markedly effective (n = 17), improved (n = 15) and ineffective (n = 3).  Total effective rate: 91.43%.	N	3 m	18/17	23-65	Desloratadine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = $11$ ), improved (n = $14$ ) and ineffective (n = $10$ ). Total effective rate: $71.43\%$ .	N
Fu Xuefeng, 2017 <sup>27</sup>	refracto ry urticaria	28/22	23-71	Desloratadine citrate; fexofenadine	8.8 mg/po/qd; 60 mg/po/bid	Markedly effective (n = 20), effective (n = 24) and ineffective (n = 6).  Total effective rate: 88.0%.	ADR (n = 2), ADR (%) = 4.0%	3 m	29/21	23-70	Desloratadine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 15), effective (n = 21) and ineffective (n = 14).  Total effective rate: 72.0%.	ADR(n = 3), ADR (%) = 6.0%
Liu Jianan, 2020 <sup>28</sup>	refracto ry urticaria	19/11	19-70	Desloratadine citrate; fexofenadine	8.8 mg/po/qd; One tablets/po/bid	N	Drowsiness $(n = 0)$ , dry mouth $(n = 0)$ , nausea $(n = 1)$ and sleepy $(n = 1)$ . ADR (%) = 6.67%	N	17/13	20-71	Desloratadine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd;	N	Drowsiness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 1), nausea (n = 1) and sleepy (n = 2). ADR (%) = $26.67\%$

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The interver	ntion group		Treat - ment				The c	control group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durat	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Liang Yongqia ng, 2020 <sup>29</sup>	refrac tory urtica ria	37/13	37/13	Fexofenadine hydrochloride; desloratadine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd; 60 mg/po/bid	Effective (n = 30), general (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 3).  Total effective rate: 94%.	N	N	36/14	22-85	Desloratadi ne citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Effective (n = 23), general (n = 15) and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: 76%.	N
Xu Fengju, 2014 <sup>30</sup>	CU	18/15	38.8 ± 5.3 <sup>a</sup>	Cyproheptadine hydrochloride; loratadine	2 mg/po/tid; 10 mg/po/qd	Total effective rate: 90.9%.	Dizziness (n = 3), nausea (n = 2). ADR (%) = 15.2%	50 d	17/16	$37.6$ $\pm 6.5^{a}$	Cyprohepta dine hydrochlori de	2 mg/po/tid	Total effective rate: 75.8%.	Dry mouth + drowsiness (n = 2), nausea (n = 2) and dizziness + powerless (n = 2). ADR (%) = 18.2%
Liu Jian, 2012 <sup>31</sup>	CU	25/26	21-76	Cyproheptadine; loratadine	2 mg/po/tid; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 35), markedly effective (n = 10), improved (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: $88.24\%$ .	Mild drowsiness (n = 1) and mild dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 3.92%.	6w	26/25	22-73	Cyprohepta dine	2 mg/po/tid	Cured (n = 25), markedly effective (n = 7), improved (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 13). Total effective rate: 62.75%.	Mild drowsiness (n = 5), mild dizziness (n = 3) and dry mouth (n = 2). ADR (%) = 19.61%.
Wu Meijun, 2018 <sup>32</sup>	CU	21/16	23-70	Desloratadine citrate; ketotifen fumarate	One tablets/po/qd; one tablets/po/am, pm/bid	Cured (n = 21), markedly effective (n = 11), effective (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 97.2%.	N	A few mont	20/17	22-71	Desloratadi ne citrate	One tablets/po/ qd	Cured (n = 15), markedly effective (n = 7), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = $8$ ). Total effective rate: $78.3\%$ .	N

Table 1 (continued)

First	Di				The interven	tion group		Treatment				The contro	l group	
author, Year	sea se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Wang Jun, 2013 <sup>33</sup>	CU	13/17	18-64	Cyproheptadine;	2 mg/po/tid;	Cured (n = 21), markedly effective (n = 6), improved (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 90.00%.	Dizziness and drowsiness (n = 1), ADR (%) = 3.33%	The control group: 6 w, the intervention group: 6 m	12/1	17-65	Cyproheptadine	2 mg/po/tid	Cured (n = 15), markedly effective (n = 4), improved (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: 63.33%.	Dizziness and drowsiness (n = 1), ADR (%) = 3.33%
Hu Liyun, 2016 <sup>34</sup>	CU	21/17	20-70	Desloratadine citrate; ketotifen fumarate	8.8 mg/po/qd; 1 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 20), markedly effective (n = 12), improved (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 84.2%. Relapse (n = 3), recurrence rate: 7.89%.	N	1 m	22/1	21-73	Desloratadine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 15), markedly effective (n = 8), improved (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 60.5%. Relapse (n = 9), recurrence rate: 23.68%.	N
Sun Huili, 2021 <sup>35</sup>	CU	N	36.94 ± 6.82 <sup>a</sup>	Desloratadine citrate; ketotifen fumarate	8.9 mg/po/am/q d;1 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 23), markedly effective (n = 14), improved (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 90.24%.	No ADR	30 d	N	36.52 ± 6.17 <sup>a</sup>	Desloratadine citrate	8.9 mg/po/am/q d	Cured (n = 14), markedly effective (n = 16), improved (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 73.17%.	No ADR
Niu Baohua, 2018 <sup>36</sup>	CU	23/22	18-69	Cetirizine dihydrochloride; promethazine hydrochloride	10 mg/po/hs; 12.5 mg/po/qid	Cured (n = 26), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $97.78\%$ .	Drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 4.44%	8 w	21/2	18-68	Cetirizine dihydrochloride	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 21), markedly effective (n = 7), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: $82.22\%$ .	Drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 4.44%

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The interve	ention group		Treatm - ent				Th	e control group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Wang Gang, 2017 <sup>37</sup>	CU	N	35.8 ± 6.1a	Cetirizine dihydrochlorid e; promethazine hydrochloride	10 mg/po/hs; 12.5 mg/po/qid	Cured (n = 107), markedly effective (n = 58), effective (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: 95.6%.	N	8 w	N	35.8 ± 6.1 <sup>a</sup>	Cetirizine dihydroch loride	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 85), markedly effective (n = 39), effective (n = 36) and ineffective (n = 24). Total effective rate: 87.0%.	N
Wu Yanfen, 2017 <sup>38</sup>	CU	29/29	$32.3 \pm 10.6^{a}$	Cetirizine dihydrochlorid e; promethazine hydrochloride	One tablet/po/hs; one tablet/po/qid	Cured (n = 36), markedly effective (n = 15), effective (n = 5). Total effective rate: $96.55\%$ .	ADRs related to the drug (n = 2), drowsiness (n = 1), headache (n = 1).  ADR (%) = 5.2%	8 w	28/30	34.8 ± 9.7 <sup>a</sup>	Cetirizine dihydroch loride	One tablet/po/ hs	Cured (n = 24), markedly effective (n = 18), effective (n = 9). Total effective rate: 87.93%.	ADRs related to the drug (n = 2), drowsiness (n = 1), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 1). ADR (%) = 6.9%
Ren Shu hui, 2010 <sup>39</sup>	urtic aria	26/11	21-60	Desloratadine; ebastine	5 mg/po/qd;10 mg/po/qn	N	Dry mouth $(n = 3)$ , fatigue $(n = 1)$ , headache (n = 2). ADR $(%) =16.22%$ . Relapse $(n = 2)$ , recurrence rate: $5.40\%$ .	28 d	24/13	20-59	Deslorata dine	5 mg/po/qd	N	Dry mouth (n = 3), fatigue (n = 2), headache (n = 1). ADR (%) = 13.51% Relapse (n = 7), recurrence rate: 18.92%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dis				The intervention	on group		Treatm				The contro	ol group	
author, Year	eas e	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Xiao Chang qing, 2015 <sup>40</sup>	CU	8/7	18-82	same time, oral ketot times a day. The dos be reduced to 1 mg e within 7 days of star severe drowsiness ar Depending on the co compatibility should mizolastine, 10 mg e	mg twice a day. At the tifen 1 mg each time, 3 se of ketotifen should each time, twice a day, ting the drug or when and nervousness occur. Indition, the drug be decreased to: oral each time, once a day. al ketotifen 1 mg each	Markedly effective (n = 8), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 93.30%.	The overall adverse reactions in the intervention group were higher than those in the control group.	N	8/7	18-80	Mizolasti ne	10 mg/po/bid, decrease the drug compatibility within 90 days to 120 days depending on the disease condition: 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 7), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 80.00%.	The overall adverse reactions in the intervention group were higher than those in the control group.
Ning Han, 2021 <sup>41</sup> Ni Qianjia n, 2020	CU	8/11 19/1 7	23-56	Levocetirizine; desloratadine citrate  Levocetirizine dihydrochloride; desloratadine citrate	5-10 mg/po/pm; 8.8 mg/po/pm, use alternately 10 mg/po/qd; 8.8 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 10), markedly effective (n = 5), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 94.7%.  Markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 21) and ineffective (n = 2).  Total effective rate: 94.44%.	Drowsiness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 1), fatigue (n = 1) and dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 8.33%	30 d 2 w	8/12 22/14	22-56 24-57	Levocetir izine  Levocetir izine dihydroch loride	5-10 mg/po/prn 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 6), markedly effective (n = 4), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 6). Total effective rate: 68.4%.  Markedly effective (n = 8), effective (n = 15) and ineffective (n = 13).  Total effective rate: 63.89%.	Drowsiness $(n = 1)$ , dry mouth $(n = 1)$ , fatigue $(n = 0)$ and dizziness $(n = 0)$ .  ADR $(\%) = 5.56\%$

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The intervention group			Treat - ment				The cor	ntrol group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durati on	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Qin Yuenin g, 2012 <sup>43</sup>	CU	N	12-65	bed every day, for a total course of treatment: ebas bed on the first day, and going to bed on the secon cycled for 14d. The third of ebastine before going of cyproheptadine before day, drug withdrawal on 14 days. The fourth cour ebastine before going to discontinued on the secon mg before going to bed of discontinued on the four treatment: the medication every week until only on the symptoms recur during the discourt of the symptoms recur during the symptoms recurred the s	adine 2mg before going to a for 7 days; the second stine 10mg before going to cyproheptadine before and day heptidine 2mg, a course of treatment: 10 mg to bed on the first day, 2 mg e going to bed on the second the third day, and a cycle of se of treatment: 10 mg of bed on the first day, and a cycle of se of treatment: 10 mg of bed on the first day, and day, cyproheptadine 10	Cured (n = 91), markedly effective (n = 22), improved (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 91.13%.	Drowsiness (n = 10), dizziness (n = 1) and gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 2). ADR (%) = 5.51%	6 w	N	12-65	Ebastin e	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 79), markedly effective (n = 14), improved (n = 18) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 83.04%.	Drowsiness (n = 2); ADR (%) = 0.85%

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The interv	ention group		Treatm				The con	trol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Liu Guanzh i, 2019	CU	23/1	22-72	Levocetirizine; ebastine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective $(n = 34)$ , effective $(n = 5)$ and ineffective $(n = 1)$ . Total effective rate: 97.5%.	N	4 w	20/20	22-71	Levocetirizi ne	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 11). Total effective rate: 72.5%.	N
Kong Qingsh an, 2014 <sup>45</sup>	CU	N	31-53	Cyproheptadine hydrochloride tablets; desloratadine citrate tablets	2 tablets/bid; 1 tablets/qd.	Markedly effective $(n = 34)$ , effective $(n = 20)$ and ineffective $(n = 4)$ . Total effective rate: $93.10\%$ .	No obvious ADR	2 w	N	32-55	Cyproheptad ine hydrochlorid e tablets	2 tablets/bid	Markedly effective (n = 23), effective (n = 18) and ineffective (n = 17). Total effective rate: 70.68%.	No obvious ADR
Li Yan, 2020 <sup>46</sup>	CU	24/2 9	42.91 ± 12.08 <sup>a</sup>	Avastin capsule; loratadine	8 mg/tid; 10 mg/qd	Cured (n = 23), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 15) and ineffective (n = 6). Total effective rate: $60.38\%$ .	Sleepiness (n = 3), stomach upset (n = 2), headache (n = 1), abnormal liver function (n = 1). ADR (%) = 11.5%.	4 w	24/35	2.53 ± 12.79 <sup>a</sup>	Avastin capsule; loratadine (placebo)	8 mg/tid; 10 mg/qd	Cured (n = 20), markedly effective (n = 2), effective (n = 21) and ineffective (n = 16). Total effective rate: $37.29\%$ .	Sleepiness $(n = 1)$ , stomach upset $(n = 1)$ , abnormal liver function $(n = 1)$ . ADR $(\%) = 4.5\%$ .
Hu Liyun, 2014 <sup>47</sup>	CU	N	16-77	Desloratadine citrate tablets; chlomhenamine maleate tablets.	8 mg/qd; 4 mg/qd, 30 min before hs.	Cured (n = 14), markedly effective (n = 10), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: $90.00\%$ .	Mild fatigue (n = 3). ADR (%) = $10\%$ .	3 m	N	16-77	Desloratadin e citrate tablets	8 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 6), markedly effective (n = 10), effective (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: 72.00%.	Mild fatigue (n = 4). ADR (%) = $16\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The intervention	on group		Treat ment				The con	trol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durati on	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Yang Yufeng , 2016 <sup>48</sup>	CU	33/31	20-75	Desloratadine tablets; ketotifen fumarate tablets	5 mg/qd; 1.38 mg/bid po.	Cured (n = 37), markedly effective (n = 25), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 96.88%.	Dizziness, fatigue, lethargy	1 m	33/30	22-70	Desloratadine tablets	5 mg/qd	Cured (n = 17), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 17). Total effective rate: 73.44%.	Dizziness, fatigue, lethargy
Zhang Hui, 2017 <sup>49</sup>	CU	23/19	17-61	Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablets; fexofenadine hydrochloride tablets	5 mg/qd qn; 60 mg/bid	Cured (n = 21), effective (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 90.48%.	N	4 w	24/18	18-56	Levocetirizine dihydrochlorid e tablets	5 mg/qd qn	Cured (n = 9), effective (n = 15) and ineffective (n = 18).  Total effective rate: 57.14%.	N
Wang Sheng, 2019 <sup>50</sup>	CU	35/32	5-11	Loratadine tablets; clemastine fumarate tablets	10  mg/qd po (weight $> 30$ kg), $5 \text{ mg/qd po}$ (wight $\leq 30$ kg); $2 \text{ mg/qd}$ po $\leq 30 \text{ mL/d}$ .	Cured (n = 32), markedly effective (n = 17), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = $8$ ). Total effective rate: $88.06\%$ .	Dry mouth $(n = 1)$ , lethargy $(n = 3)$ and diarrhea/constipatio $n (n = 1)$ . ADR $(\%)$ = 7.46%.	14 d	39/28	3-12	Loratadine tablets	$2 \text{ mg/qd}$ $po, \leq 30$ $mL/d$	Cured (n = 25), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 8) and ineffective (n = $18$ ). Total effective rate: $73.13\%$ .	Dry mouth $(n = 4)$ , lethargy $(n = 5)$ , diarrhea/constipation (n = 2), liver and kidney dysfunction (n = 2). ADR $(%)$ = 19.40%.

Table 1 (continued)

First author , Year	Dis ease	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	The interv Usage and Dosage	vention group  Efficient	Adverse reactions	Treatm - ent duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	The control group  Efficient	Adverse reactions
Zhou Shunl ong, 2017	CS U	34/2	5.13 ± 0.91 <sup>a</sup>	Desloratadi ne tablets; loratadine tablets	1.25 mg (2-5 year), 2.5 mg (6-12 year), po/qm; 5 mg (weight < 30 kg), 10 mg (wight ≥ 30 kg)	Cured (n = 33), markedly effective (n = 17), effective (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $93.90\%$ .	Sleepiness (n = 3), headache (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 1), abdominal discomfort (n = 1). ADR (%) = 10.9%.	28 d	35/21, 4	Control :: 32/23,	qm/po, and tablets hs/p	alf a tablets, d loratadine po; control lacebo, half a	Control group 1: cured (n = 27), markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 12) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 71.40%. Control group 2: cured (n = 27), markedly effective (n = 14), effective (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 74.50%.	Control group 1: sleepiness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 1), loss of appetite (n = 1), exacerbation of urticaria (n = 1). ADR (%) = 8.9%. Control group 2: fatigue (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 2) and nausea (n = 2). ADR (%) = 9.1%.
Zeng Li, 2008	CU	30/3 0	43.2ª	Fexofenadi ne; loratadine	120 mg/qd; 10 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 40), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $93.30\%$ .	Mild dizziness (n = 2), and mild fatigue and palpitation (n = 1). ADR (%) = 5%.	28 d	Fexofer group: 2 42.6a; ld group: 2 41.9a.	29/31, oratadine	Fexofenadi fexofenadi mg/qd; lor group: carn mg/qd.	ne/120 atadine	Fexofenadine group: cured (n = 35), markedly effective (n = 17), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 86.80%.  Loratadine group: cured (n = 32), markedly effective (n = 18), effective (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 83.30%.	Fexofenadine group: mild sleepiness and palpitation (n = 2), and moderate sleepiness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 5%. Loratadine group: Mild dizziness (n = 2) and mild fatigue (n = 1). ADR (%) = $5\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The interventio	n group		Treatm — ent				The	control group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Jiang Haiyan, 2010 <sup>53</sup>	CU	N	8-16	Mizolastine tablets; chlorphenamine maleate tablets	10 mg/qd po, 30 min before ac; 4 mg/qd po, 30 min before hs.	Cured (n = 20), markedly effective (n = 4), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 82.85%.	Dizziness and fatigue and mild drowsiness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 1.47%.	3 w	N	8-16	Cetirizine hydrochlori de tablets, ranitidine.	10 mg/qd po, 30 min before ac; 150 mg/bid po.	Cured (n = 16), markedly effective (n = 6), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: $75.75\%$ .	Dry mouth (n = 1). ADR (%) = 1.47%.
Zhong Xingang , 2013 <sup>54</sup>	CU	N	17-60	Ketotifen tablets; loratadine granule	1 mg/bid; 10 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 32), markedly effective (n = 56), effective (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 88.00%.	Fatigue and lethargy $(n = 4)$ . ADR $(\%) = 2\%$ .	4 w	N	17- 60	Loratadine granule	10 mg/qd	Cured (n = 29), markedly effective (n = 47), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 11). Total effective rate: $76.00\%$ .	Headache (n = 1). ADR (%) = $0.5$ %.
Lu Huayan, 2013 <sup>55</sup>	CU	17/16	18-65	Fexofenadine hydrochloride tablets; chlorphenamine maleate tablets	30 mg/tid po; 4 mg/qd, qn.	Cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 10), improved (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 93.94%.	Lethargy (n = 2), dizziness (n = 2) and nausea and vomiting (n = 2). ADR (%) = 15.15%.	28 d	15/17	18-65	Chlorphena mine maleate tablets	4 mg/tid	Cured (n = 13), markedly effective (n = 7), improved (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: $78.13\%$ .	Drowsiness, drowsiness and fatigue (n = 20), dizziness, nausea, vomiting (n = 2), thirst and polyuria (n = 2). ADR (%) = $75\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The intervent	ion group		Treatm ent					The control group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Lan Jianping, 2021 <sup>56</sup>	CU	28/1	23-58	Setastine hydrochlori de; loratadine	1 mg/bid, qm, qn; 5 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 19), markedly effective (n = 25), effective (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 93.62%.	N	6 m	26/21	22-56	Mizolasti ne	10 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 12), markedly effective (n = 25), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 78.72%.	N
Li Guodong , 2017 <sup>57</sup>	CU	12/2	18-66	Cetirizine;1 oratadine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 26), markedly effective (n = 9), and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: $94.60\%$	Dizziness (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 1). ADR (%) = 10.8%.	4 w	Grou p 1:12/ 26; Grou p 2:13/ 25	Group 1:19-6 4; Group 2:18-6 5	Group 1: loratadine . Group 2: cetirizine	Group 1: 10 mg/po/qd. Group 2: 10 mg/po/qd	Group 1: cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 8), and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: $68.42\%$ . Group 2: cured (n = 19), markedly effective (n = 10), and ineffective (n = 9). Total effective rate: $76.32\%$	Group 1: dizziness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 2).  ADR (%) = 10.5%.  Group 2: dizziness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 1).  ADR (%) = 7.9%.
Li Fengzhi, 2006 <sup>58</sup>	CU	30/2 7	43.2ª	Loratadine; cyprohepta dine	10 mg/qd; 2 mg/bid, pm, hs.	Cured (n = 28), markedly effective (n = 18), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: $80.70\%$ .	N	2 w		heptadine	29/28, 42.6 group:	Loratadine group: 10 mg/qd; cyproheptadine group: 2 mg/bid.	Loratadine group: cured (n = 20), markedly effective (n = 19), effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 68.40%. Cyproheptadine group: cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: 52.6%.	Loratadine group: no ADR; cyproheptadine group: drowsiness (n = 5) and dry mouth (n= 2). ADR (%) = 12.28%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dis				The intervention group			Treat - ment				The con	trol group	
author , Year	eas e	M/ F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse	durati	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Fang Hui, 2020	CU	82/ 62	21-65	Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablets; desloratadine citrate	10 mg/qd; 8.8 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 71), markedly effective (n = 44), effective (n = 26) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 97.92%.	N	4 w	84/60	20-63	Levoceti rizine dihydroc hloride tablets	10 mg/qd.	Cured (n = 48), markedly effective (n = 47), effective (n = 37) and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: 91.67%.	N
Liang Yanfe n, 2015	CU	N	18-65	in total. Course two: on the first of the second day, ketotifen, hs, for the first day, fexofenadine, 60 mg second day; ketotifen, 1mg on the for 21 days in total. Course four: mg/bid; ketotifen, 1mg on the sec stopping the drug on the third day on the 1st day, fexofenadine, 60m	14 days in total. Course three: on a/bid, stopping the drug on the e three night before going to bed, on the first day, fexofenadine, 60 and night before going to bed, and a/, for 21 days in total. Course five: ng/bid, stop taking it on the 2nd and nefore going to bed on the 4th night st day, fexofenadine, 60 mg/bid, night before going to bed, and	Cured (n = 44), markedly effective (n = 29), effective (n = 21) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 75.40%.	Dry mouth, drowsiness, headache and dizziness (n = 10). ADR (%) = 10.31%.	N	N	18-65	Fexofen adine	60 mg/bid.	Cured (n = 17), markedly effective (n = 23), effective (n = 39) and ineffective (n = 10). Total effective rate: 44.90%.	Dry mouth, drowsiness, headache and dizziness (n = 8). ADR (%) = 8.99%

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The inter	vention group		Treatme				The control §	group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Staevsk a, M, 2014 <sup>61</sup>	CU	16/8	19-68	Levocetiri zine; hydroxyaz ine	15 mg/qd; 50 mg/qn.	Has a high degree of sedation.	N	5 d	NN	N	Mizolastine	10 mg/qd.	Mild sedation	N
Lu Song, 2020 <sup>62</sup>	CU	13/12	24-68	Avastin; loratadine.	Po/tid; 10 mg/po,qd	Markedly effective (n = 19), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 1).  Total effective rate: 96.00%.	Drowsiness (n = 1) and stomach discomfort (n = 2). ADR (%) = 12.00%.	4 w	12/13	23-67	Avastin; Placebo (loratadine tablets in the same dose)	Po/tid; 10 mg/po,qd	Markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 4) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: 68.00%.	Drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 8.00%.
Li Xiaopin g, 2022	CU	24/19	21-65	Avastin; loratadine.	8 mg/po/tid; 10 mg/po,qd	Cured (n = 19), markedly effective (n = 17), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 95.35%.	Headache (n = 1), stomach discomfort (n = 2) and drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 11.63%.	4 w	22/20	21-64	Avastin	8 mg/po/tid	Cured (n = 12), markedly effective (n = 11), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 9). Total effective rate: $78.57\%$ .	Headache (n = 1), stomach discomfort (n = 1) and drowsiness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $7.14\%$ .
Chen jianshe, 2020 <sup>64</sup>	CU	112/8 7	16-65	Olotadine; Cetirizine	5 mg/po/bid; 10 mg/po/qc	Cured (n = 102), markedly effective (n = 72), effective (n = 20) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: 97.49%.	N	1 m	110/89	18-66	Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qc	Cured (n = 70), markedly effective (n = 74), effective (n = 35) and ineffective (n = 20). Total effective rate: $89.95\%$ .	N

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The inte	rvention group		Treatme				The con	trol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Hou Kun, 2019 <sup>65</sup>	CU	24/16	19-66	Loratadine ; Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	The serum IgE level, the score of pruritus degree and the number of wind masses in the intervention group were lower than those in the control group, and the diameter of red halo in the intervention group was shorter than that in the control group $(P < 0.05)$ .	N	1 m	19/21	19-68	Cetirizine	10 mg/po/qd	The serum IgE level, the score of pruritus degree and the number of wind masses in the intervention group were lower than those in the control group, and the diameter of red halo in the intervention group was shorter than that in the control group $(P < 0.05)$ .	N
Wang, S, 2019	CU	59/58	N	Levocetiri zine; Ebastin	One tablet, qd; One tablet, qd	Cured (n = 71), markedly effective (n = 37), effective (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 99.15%.	Lethargy $(n = 3)$ , thirst $(n = 2)$ and dizziness $(n = 2)$ . ADR $(\%) = 5.98\%$ .	4 w	65/52	N	Levocetirizin e	One tablet,	Cured (n = 55), markedly effective (n = 40), effective (n = 17) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: $85.47\%$ .	Lethargy (n = 5), thirst (n = 3) and dizziness (n = 2). ADR (%) = $8.55\%$ .
Wang Jingxia, 2017 <sup>67</sup>	CU	22/21	20-62	Levocetiri zine; ebastin	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 22), effective (n = 18) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: $93.02\%$ .	ADR (%) = 2.33%.	4 w	23/20	19-63	Levocetirizin e	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective $(n = 16)$ , effective $(n = 15)$ and ineffective $(n = 12)$ . Total effective rate: 72.09%.	ADR (%) = 9.30%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The interve	ntion group		Treatme				The cor	ntrol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Meng Qiang, 2021 <sup>68</sup>	CU	17/19	24-68	Levocetirizine; ebastin	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 26), effective (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 97.22%.	Mild diarrhea $(n = 1)$ , headache $(n = 1)$ , lethargy $(n = 1)$ , ADR (%) = 8.33%	1 m	16/17	23-69	Levocetirizi ne	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 6). Total effective rate: 83.33%.	Mild diarrhea (n = 1), headache (n = 2), lethargy (n = 1), ADR (%) = 11.11%
Yang Maoqin, 2022 <sup>69</sup>	CU	30/16	18-53	Levocetirizine; Benzenesulfon betastine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/bid	Cured (n = 24), markedly effective (n = 16), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 97.83%.	Thirsty $(n = 1)$ , gastrointestinal discomfort $(n = 1)$ , and felt sleepy $(n =$ 1). ADR $(\%)$ = 6.52%.	8 w	29/17	18-52	Levocetirizi ne	5 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 14), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: 84.78%.	Thirsty (n = 2), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 1), and headache (n = 1). ADR (%) = 8.70%.
Zhang canbiao, 2021 <sup>70</sup>	CU	33/22	19-67	Desloratadine; Mizolastine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 29), effective (n = 24) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 96.36%.	Dry mouth $(n = 1)$ , fatigue $(n = 0)$ and drowsiness $(n = 1)$ , ADR $(\%) = 3.64\%$ .	14 d	34/21	20-66	Mizolastine	10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 26), effective (n = 20) and ineffective (n = 9). Total effective rate: 83.64%.	Dry mouth $(n = 3)$ , fatigue $(n = 2)$ and drowsiness $(n = 3)$ , ADR $(\%) = 14.55\%$ .
li zenglin, 2020 <sup>71</sup>	Refra ctory urtica ria	14/11	19-67	Fexofenadine; Desloratadin e citrate	60 mg/bid; 8.8 mg/qd	The experimental group is better than the control group.	Drowsy $(n = 1)$ and dry mouth $(n = 1)$ , ADR $(\%) = 8\%$ .	3 m	15/10	21-65	Desloratad ine citrate	8.8 mg/qd	The experimental group is better than the control group.	Drowsy $(n = 3)$ , nausea $(n = 2)$ and dry mouth $(n = 3)$ , ADR $(\%) = 32\%$ .

Table 1 (continued)

First	Dise				The interve	ention group		Treatme				The con	ntrol group	
author, Year	ase	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Zhang Lei, 2020 <sup>72</sup>	CU	20/15	41-75	Ebastine tablets; rupatadine fumarate tablets	One tablet, qd; one tablet, qd;	Cured (n = 21), improved (n = 12) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 94.29%.	Headache (n = 1), stomach discomfort (n = 1) and rash (n = 2). ADR (%) = 11.	4 w	19/16	41-75	Ebastine tablets	One tablet,	Cured (n = 19), improved (n = 9) and ineffective (n = 7). Total effective rate: 80.00%.	Headache (n = 1) and stomach discomfort (n = 2). ADR (%) = 8.57%
Li yun, 2016 <sup>73</sup>	CU	24/19	3-12	Levocetirizine; Desloratadine	5 mg/qd; 5 mg/qd	Cured (n = 24), markedly effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: $88.37\%$ .	Dry mouth $(n = 2)$ , drowsiness $(n = 2)$ and headache $(n = 1)$ , ADR $(\%) = 11.60\%$ .	4 w	22/21	2-13	Desloratadin e	5 mg/qd	Cured (n = 9), markedly effective (n = 21) and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: $69.77\%$ .	Dry mouth (n = 1), drowsiness (n = 1) and headache (n = 2), ADR (%) = 9.30%.
Ten Wei, 2017 <sup>74</sup>	Refra ctory urtica ria	N	N	Desloratadine Cit mg/po/qd	rate; 8.8	After treatment, the indexes of inflammatory factors in the observation group were lower than those in the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). The indexes of immune function in the control group were significantly lower than those in the observation group ( $P < 0.05$ ).	N	3 m	28/12	23-70	Fexofenadine of Desloratadine mg/po/qd		After treatment, the indexes of inflammatory factors in the observation group were lower than those in the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). The indexes of immune function in the control group were significantly lower than those in the observation group ( $P < 0.05$ ).	N

Table 1 (continued)

First	Diseas				The int	tervention group		Treatme				The	control group	
author , Year	е	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Guo Wenli, 2013 <sup>75</sup>	CU	21/17	35.92 ± 9.21 <sup>a</sup>	Observation group 1: ebastine and desloratadine; observation group 2: ebastin and doxepin.	Observation group 1: 10 mg/po/qn; 5 mg/po/qn; observation group 2: 10 mg/po/qn; 25 mg/po/qn;	Observation group 1: cured (n = 25), markedly effective (n = 7), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 1).  Total effective rate:  84.21%. Observation group  2: cured (n = 26), markedly effective (n = 8), effective (n = 4). Total effective rate:  89.47%.	Observation group 1: dizziness (n = 4), drowsiness (n = 2) and dry mouth (n = 2). ADR (%) = 21.05%. Observation group 2: dizziness (n = 4), drowsiness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 2) and Gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 1). ADR (%) = 21.05%.	4 w	21/17	35.92 ± 9.21 <sup>a</sup>	Ebastin e; deslorat adine	10 mg/po/qn; 5 mg/po/qn	Cured (n = 12), markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 63.16%.	Dizziness (n = 4), drowsiness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 1) and headache (n = 1).  ADR (%) = 21.05%.
Heng Kun, 2017 <sup>76</sup>	CU	38/41	18-60	Levocetirizin e, desloratadine	5 mg; 5 mg	Cured (n = 55), markedly effective (n = 15), effective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 97.50%.	ADR (%) = 3.75%.	18 m	Control group 1: 36/43; Control group 2: 40/39	18-58; 19-60		rizine 5 mg, dine 5 mg	Control group 1: Cured (n = 55), markedly effective (n = 15), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 97.50%.; Control group 2: Cured (n = 55), markedly effective (n = 15), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 0). Total effective rate: 97.50%.:	Control group 1: ADR (%) = 5.00%; Control group 2: ADR (%) = 3.75%.

Table 1 (continued)

First	Disea				The intervent	ion group		Treatm – ent				The	control group	
author, Year	se	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Liao Xueli, 2021 <sup>77</sup>	CRU	N	2-12	Levocetir izine oral liquid; loratadin e tablets	Po, 2.5 mg (2-5)/5 mg (6-12), qd; 2.5 mg (body weight < 30kg) or 5 mg (body weight > 30kg), po qd.	Cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 25) and effective (n = 28). Total effective rate: 93.42%.	Lethargy (n = 2), fatigue (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 1) and gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 1). ADR (%) = $9.21$ %.	6 w	N	2-12	Levocetirizi ne oral liquid	Po, 2.5 mg (2-5)/5 mg (6-12), qd.	Cured (n = 11), markedly effective (n = 20) and effective (n = 30). Total effective rate: $81.33\%$ .	Lethargy $(n = 4)$ , fatigue $(n = 5)$ , dry mouth $(n = 2)$ and gastrointestinal discomfort $(n = 0)$ . ADR $(\%) = 14.67\%$ .
Li Hong, 2021 <sup>78</sup>	CU	29/21	19-72	Cetirizine ; deslorata dine	10 mg/qd po; 10 mg/qd	Markedly effective (n = 24), effective (n = 25) and ineffective (n = 1).  Total effective rate: 98.00%.	N	28 d	28/2	20-72	Cetirizine	10 mg/qd po.	Markedly effective $(n = 20)$ , effective $(n = 21)$ and ineffective $(n = 9)$ . Total effective rate: 82.00%.	N

CU: chronic urticaria, CSU: chronic spontaneous urticarial, CRU: chronic refractory urticaria, w: week, m: month, N: not reported, ADR: adverse drug reaction, d: day, M/F: Male/female

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Represents the average age

				Tabl	e 2: Demographic characteris	stics of case/case series r	eports		
						The intervention group			Treatment
First author, Year	Disease	M	F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Results	Adverse reactions	duration
McCracken, J, 2014 <sup>79</sup>	urticaria	1		61	Fexofenadine; cetirizine; Ketotifen	180 mg qd; 10 mg qn; 1 mg bid	Improve	N	N
Zou Ailing, 2022 <sup>80</sup>	urticaria		1	48	Rupatadine fumarate; Desloratadine Citrate	10 mg/d; 8.8mg/d.	Repeated illness, poor effect	N	N
Deng huirong, $2020^{81}$	Acute urticaria	1 31 levocetirizine oral solution 10 ml po qn returned to a Kairuitan; chlorpheniramine;		After half a month, the skin returned to normal	N	N			
zhu yanmei, 2019 <sup>82</sup>	Refractory urticaria	1		8	Kairuitan ; chlorpheniramine; levocetirizine.	10 mg qd; 4 mg qd; 5 mg qd	Invalid	N	More than 2 months
Sabbagh, R, 2009 <sup>83</sup>	Vascular edema		1	46	Hydroxyazine; fexofenadine	25 mg,qd; 180 mg,qd	Improve	N	N
Leblanc, A, 2009 <sup>84</sup>	Mastocytosis	1		44	Levocetirizine; ketotifen	5mg/d; 1mg/d	There is no further manifestation of the disease.	N	N
Aguilar, K. A, 2009 <sup>85</sup>	urticaria		1	1	Loratadine, chlorphenamine	N	Without any pathological changes.	N	5 d
Zhu Qiangping, 2011 <sup>86</sup>	CU	33	19	20-55	Cetirizine tablets; cyproheptadine tablets.	10 mg, po qm; 2 mg, po qn.	Cured (n = 15) and markedly effective (n = 7). Total effective rate: $92.30\%$ .	Mild somnolence (n = 10), ADR (%) = 19.23%.	2 w
Long Xiaoyan, 2008 <sup>87</sup>	Chronic idiopathic urticaria	20	26	$32.13 \pm 3.35^{a}$	Levocetirizine tablets; ketotifen tablets.	5 mg, qd; 1 mg, bid.	Cured (n = 9), markedly effective $(n = 21)$ , improved $(n = 11)$ and ineffective $(n = 6)$ . Total effective rate: 65.2%.	N	28 d

Table 2 (continued)

First author,	<b>D</b> '					The intervention	group		Treatment
Year	Disease	M	F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Results	Adverse reactions	duration
Liang Binhui, 2007 <sup>88</sup>	CU	13	21	18-63	Ketotifen tablets; cetirizine hydrochloride tablets.	1 mg, qn; 10 mg, qm.	Cured (n = 16), markedly effective (n = 14), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $88.24\%$ .	Mild tiredness (n = 9), mild dizziness and fatigue (n = 6), dry mouth (n = 7) and sleepy (n = 4). ADR (%) = $76.47\%$ .	4 w

CU: chronic urticaria, w: week; m: month, N: not reported, ADR: adverse drug reaction, d: day, M: Male, F: Female

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Represents the average age

						Table 3: The demograp	hic character	istics of n	on-rand	lomized t	rials			
First	Diag				The contro	ol group		Treatme				The interven	tion group	
author, Year	nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse
Wang Yanxia, 2020 <sup>89</sup>	CU	92/83	22-67	Levocetirizine dihydrochlori de	10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 77), effective (n = 80) and ineffective (n = 18). Total effective rate: $89.71\%$ .	N	2 w	95/80	21-68	Levocetirizine dihydrochlorid e; desloratadine	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective $(n = 91)$ , effective $(n = 76)$ and ineffective $(n = 8)$ . Total effective rate: 95.43%.	N
Wang Jing, 2020 <sup>90</sup>	Refra ctory CU	N	22-78	Desloratadine citrate	po/qd	Markedly effective $(n = 13)$ , improved $(n = 9)$ and ineffective $(n = 7)$ . Total effective rate: 75.9%.	N	3 m	N	22-78	Desloratadine citrate; fexofenadine	po/qd; 60 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 17), improved (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $96.6\%$ .	N
Lu Junfang, 2020 <sup>91</sup>	CU	28/17	21-45	Desloratadine	10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 16), markedly effective (n = 7), improved (n = 11) and ineffective (n = 11). Total effective rate: 75.56%.	N	N	27/18	22-44	Desloratadine; levocetirizine dihydrochlorid e	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 23), markedly effective (n = 10), improved (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: $91.11\%$ .	N
Ji Zhuyun, 2020 <sup>92</sup>	CSU	23/17	22-69	Loratadine	10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective $(n = 20)$ , effective $(n = 11)$ and ineffective $(n = 9)$ . Total effective rate: 77.50%.	N	2 m	22/18	21-70	Loratadine;	10 mg/po/qd; 8 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 28), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: $95.00\%$ .	N
Cai Yun, 2018 <sup>93</sup>	CU	31/49	28-67	Levocetirizine	5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 34), effective (n = 30) and ineffective (n = 16). Total effective rate: $80.00\%$ .	Dry mouth (n = 2); dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = 3.75%	4 w	30/50	27-68	Levocetirizine; ebastine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 56), effective (n = 22) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: $97.50\%$ .	Dry mouth (n = 2). ADR (%) = 2.50%

Table 3 (continued)

First	Diag					The control group		Treatm ent				The interve	ention group	
author, Year	nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Cai Yonglia n, 2019	CU	17/13	18-65	Desloratad ine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 10), effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 6). Total effective rate: $80.00\%$ .	Tiredness $(n = 1)$ , dry mouth $(n = 0)$ , headache $(n = 1)$ and drowsiness $(n = 0)$ . ADR $(\%) = 6.7\%$	28 d	16/14	18-66	Desloratadine citrate; levocetirizine dihydrochlori de	8.8 mg/po/qd; 5 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = $19$ ), effective (n = $10$ ) and ineffective (n = $1$ ). Total effective rate: $96.67\%$ .	Tiredness (n = 1), dry mouth (n = 1), headache (n = 1) and drowsiness (n = 0). ADR (%) = 10.0%
Liang Xuefen, 2014 <sup>95</sup>	CU	N	23-57	Group 1: desloratad ine; group 2: loratadine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Group 1: markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 81.82%.  Group 2: markedly effective (n = 11), effective (n = 6) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: 77.27%.	N	84 d	N	23-57	Desloratadine ; loratadine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = $15$ ), effective (n = $5$ ) and ineffective (n = $2$ ). Total effective rate: $90.91\%$ .	N
Liu Wei, 2018 <sup>96</sup>	Refra ctory CU	55/50	21-46	Desloratad ine citrate	8.8 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 35), effective (n = 45) and ineffective (n = 25). Total effective rate: $76.19\%$ .	N	3 m	56/49	22-65	Desloratadine citrate; fexofenadine	8.8 mg/po/qd; 60 mg/po/qd	Markedly effective (n = 50), effective (n = 46) and ineffective (n = 9). Total effective rate: 91.42%.	N

Table 3 (continued)

First author,	Diag nosis	M/F	Age	Drug	Usage and	ntrol group Efficient	Adverse reactions	Treat ment durat	M/F	Age	Drug	The intervention  Usage and	group Efficient	Adverse reactions
			(year)		Dosage			ion		(year)		Dosage		
						Cured (n = 8), markedly							Cured $(n = 13)$ ,	
Wang						effective $(n = 12)$ ,	Dry mouth $(n = 6)$ ,				Desloratadine		markedly effective (n =	Dry mouth $(n = 1)$ ,
Chenju	CU	22/21	18-58	Desloratadin	8.8	effective (n = 12) and	tiredness $(n = 5)$ and	N	23/20	17-57	citrate;	8.8 mg/po/qd; 10	17), effective ( $n = 10$ )	tiredness $(n = 2)$ and
n, 2019	CO	22/21	10-30	e citrate	mg/po/qd	ineffective ( $n = 10$ ).	drowsiness $(n = 1)$ .	11	23/20	17-57	levocetirizine	mg/po/qd	and ineffective $(n = 3)$ .	drowsiness $(n = 1)$ .
97						Total effective rate:	ADR (%) = $27.91\%$ .				dihydrochloride		Total effective rate:	ADR (%) = 9.30%
						74.42%.							93.02%.	
Zhang Wei, 2010 <sup>98</sup>	CU	22/17	12-60	Loratadine	10 mg/po/qd	Total effective rate: 76.22%.	N	2 w	23/15	12-60	Loratadine; levocetirizine dihydrochloride	10 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Total effective rate: 78.36%.	Gastrointestinal discomfort + headache (n = 2), ADR (%) = 5.26%. Central nervous
Zhang Yufang, 2022 <sup>99</sup>	CU	25/20	22-65	Loratadine	10 mg/po/qd	Control (n = 14), markedly effective (n = 12), effective (n = 10) and ineffective (n = 9). Total effective rate: 80.00%.	Central nervous system reaction $(n = 1)$ , digestive system reaction $(n = 1)$ , cardiovascular system reaction $(n = 2)$ , and elevated ALT $(n = 0)$ , ADR $(\%) = 8.88\%$ .	2 w	27/18	23-65	Emestine fumarate; Loratadine	2 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Control (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 12) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 95.56%.	system reaction (n = 2), digestive system reaction (n = 2), cardiovascular system reaction (n = 1), and elevated ALT (n = 1), ADR (%) = 13.32%.

Table 3 (continued)

First	Diagno				The c	ontrol group		Treatm				The interv	ention group	
author, Year	sis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Chen Yan, 2022 <sup>100</sup>	CU	24/32	3-11	Loratadine body weigh loratadine body weigh loratadine	omg/po/qd, at>30kg,	Cured (n = 30), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 5) and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: 78.60%.	Lethargy (n = 2), dizziness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 2), loss of appetite (n = 3) and nausea (n = 2). ADR(%) = 19.60%.	4 w	23/20	17-57	1.25 mg/d; mizolastine	ne: age: 2-5: 6-12: 2.5 mg/d; , 10mg/po/qd.	Cured (n = 43), markedly effective (n = 6), effective (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 5). Total effective rate: 91.10%.	Lethargy (n = 1), dizziness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 3), loss of appetite (n = 2) and nausea (n = 1). ADR(%) = 16.10%.
Chu Ruiqi, 2022 <sup>101</sup>	urticari a	39/25	3-14	Levocetiriz 2.5mg/qm/pc 5mg/qm/pc		Markedly effective (n = $35$ ), effective (n = $15$ ) and ineffective (n = $14$ ). Total effective rate: $78.13\%$ .	Lethargy (n = 2), nausea (n = 2) and dry mouth (n = 3). $ADR(\%) = 10.94\%.$	4 w	37/27	3-15	2.5 mg/qm/pc 5 mg/qm/pc 10 mg/po/qu <30 kg, lor	. Loratadine  n. body weight  atadine  body weight>  adine	Markedly effective (n = 48), effective (n = 13) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 95.31%.	Lethargy $(n = 1)$ , nausea $(n = 3)$ and dry mouth $(n = 2)$ . ADR(%) = 9.38%.
Ran Chunta o, 2016	CU	59/41	18-65	Levocetir izine dihydroc hloride; ebastine	5 mg/po/qd; 10 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 51), markedly effective (n = 34), effective (n = 14) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: $99.0\%$ .	Drowsiness (n = 4), dry mouth (n = 3) and dizziness (n = 2). ADR (%) = $9.0\%$	4 w	63/37	18-65	Levocetir izine dihydroc hloride	5 mg/po/qd	Cured (n = 38), markedly effective (n = 31), effective (n = 27) and ineffective (n = 4). Total effective rate: 96.0%.	Drowsiness (n = 2), dry mouth (n = 2) and dizziness (n = 1). ADR (%) = $5.0\%$

Table 3 (continued)

First						The control group		Treatme				The intervention gr	oup	
author, Year	Diag nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Li Zhonzh u, 2010 <sup>103</sup>	CU	N	15-65	Mizo lastin e	10 mg/po/ hs	① The effective rate was 93.6%. ② The effective rate was 98.9%. ③ The effective rate was 99.5%.	The patient developed drowsiness, unresponsiveness, or dizziness during the first course of treatment. ADR% = 5.4%. There were 4 cases of stomach discomfort and 2 cases of delayed menstruation, all of which returned to normal after drug withdrawal in the third course of treatment.	The control group: 6 w. The experim ental group: 7 w	N	15-65	mg/pc and cyprohe, The second course mg/hs/the first day, second day, 14 day treatment: mizolast cyproheptadine 2 m the drug on the thin for 21 days. (4) The on the 1st day, mizo second day, cyproh 3rd day, stop on the cycle for 28 days. (6) treatment: stop cypmg on the first day, days, and take it in sixth course of treatments.	e of treatment: mizolastine 10 ptadine 2 mg/hs, 7 days. ② of treatment: mizolastine 10 , cyproheptadine 2 mg/hs/the is. ③ The third course of time: 10 mg/hs/the first day, mg/hs/ the second day, stop indicated day, and take it in a cycle in fourth course of treatment: colastine 10 mg/hs, stop on the ineptadine 10 mg/hs/on the ineptadine 10 mg/hs/on the ineptadine, mizolastine 10 , then stop the drug for 3 a cycle for 28 days. ⑥ The timent: mizolastine 10 treatment was terminated	① The effective rate was 97.6%. ② The effective rate was 94.8%. ③ The effective rate was 98.0%. ④ The effective rate was 88.6%, and the recurrence rate was 11.4%. ⑤ The effective rate was 66.6%, and the recurrence rate was 33.4%. ⑥ The effective rate was 80.6%, and the recurrence rate was 19.4%.	The patient developed drowsiness, unresponsiveness, or dizziness during the first course of treatment. ADR% = 15%.

Table 3 (continued)

First	Diag				The co	ntrol group		Treat ment				The inte	ervention group	
author, Year	nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	durat ion	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Guo Bo, 2013 <sup>104</sup>	urtica ria	22/19	16-58	Levocetirizi ne; Fexofenadin e	5 mg/qd; 60 mg/bid	Cured (n = 33), markedly effective (n = 13), effective (n = 3) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 87.80%.	Drowsiness and mild drowsiness (n = 4) and dry mouth (n = 2), ADR (%) = $14.63\%$ .	4 w	21/1	17-55	Levocetirizi ne	5 mg/qd	Cured (n = 17), markedly effective (n = 9), effective (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 6). Total effective rate: 52.94%.	Drowsiness and mild drowsiness (n = 3), dry mouth (n = 1) and dizziness (n = 1), ADR (%) = 12.82%.
S. Schulz, 2009 <sup>105</sup>	Itchin g urtica ria	N	N	Levocetirizine fexofenadine : Levocetirizine fexofenadine : azelastine 4 m	360mg; e 10mg, 360mg and	The average remission rate of itching was 57.5% (two antihistamines), and 67.4% (three antihistamines)	ADRs are rarely observed.	N	N	N	Desloratadine	20mg; qd	The average remission rate of itching was 89% (desloratadine)	ADRs are rarely observed.
Han Zaigang , 2013	CU	N	16-65	Epistine, fexofenadin e	10 mg/bid po; 60 mg/bid po.	Cured (n = 43), markedly effective (n = 9), improved (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 94.50%.	N	3 w	N	16-65	Control group 1: epistine; control group 1: fexofenadine	Control group 1: 10 mg/bid po; control group 1: 60 mg/bid po.	Control group 1: cured (n = 34), markedly effective (n = 14), improved (n = 7) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 82.70%. Control group 2: cured (n = 24), markedly effective (n = 10), improved (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 77.20%.	N

Table 3 (continued)

First	Diag				The control group			Treatm				The interventi	on group	
author, Year	nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Guo Xiaolan , 2013	CU	N	16-63	before going to total of 7 days treatment: mize ketotifen 1 mg next day, for a course of treat and ketotifen 1 the next day, ff fourth course of 10 mg and ketoto bed the next days. The fifth mizolastine 10 before going to total of 14 day treatment: mize	se of treatment:  In mg and ketotifen 1 mg be bed every night, for a  The second course of colastine 10 mg and g before going to bed the atotal of 14 days. The third ment: mizolastine 10 mg I mg before going to bed for a total of 14 days. The of treatment: mizolastine of treatment: mizolastine otifen 1 mg before going at day, for a total of 14 a course of treatment:  I mg and ketotifen 1 mg bed the next day, for a atotal to mg and	Cured (n = 43), markedly effective (n = 30), improved (n = 20) and ineffective (n = 3). Total effective rate: 76.1%.	Common ADRs = drowsiness, dizziness, dry mouth, nausea, etc. ADR (n = 12), ADR (%) = 12.5%	10 w	N	16-65	Mizolasti	The usage is the same as the intervention group	Cured (n = 18), markedly effective (n = 22), improved (n = 40) and ineffective (n = 12). Total effective rate: 43.5%.	Common ADRs = drowsiness, dizziness, dry mouth, nausea, etc. ADR (n = 10), ADR (%) =10.9%
				next day, for a	total of 7 days.									

Table 3 (continued)

First	Diag	The control group						Treatme	The intervention group					
author, Year	nosis	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	nt duration	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions
Wang Yuan, 2017 <sup>108</sup>	CU	15/15	$35.26 \pm 5.23^{a}$	orally after breakfast bedtime, 2 mg per control in total): on the first mizolastine 10 mg, bed) 2 mg of cyprol third course of treat (before bedtime) mg (before bedtime) 2 the drug was discontrol The 4th course of trolly (before bedtime) and cyproheptadine, on drug. The 5th course day, mizolastine 10 the drug was discontrol in the drug was di	treatment (1 week in total): mizolastine, st, 10 mg per day; cyproheptadine, orally at day. The second course of treatment (2 weeks t day, orally (before going to bed) on the second day, orally (before going to heptadine, alternately taking the drugs. The trent (3 weeks in total): On the first day, orally izolastine 10 mg, on the second day, orally mg of cyproheptadine, and on the third day, artinued, and the medication was repeated. The treatment (4 weeks in total): On the 1st day, me) mizolastine 10mg, on the 2nd day, stop and day, orally (before going to bed) 2 mg of the 4th day, stop the drug, and cycle the se of treatment (4 weeks in total): on the first to mg was orally (before bedtime), and then artinued for 3 days, and the drug was ered. The 6th course of treatment (7 weeks in day, mizolastine 10 mg was orally (before the drug was discontinued for 6 days, and the administered.	Cured (n = 22), markedly effective (n = 6), improved (n = 1) and ineffective (n = 1). Total effective rate: 96.67%.	Dizziness (n = 1), gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 1) and drowsiness (n = 2. ADR (%) = 13.33%.	21 w	17/13	35.77 ± 5.35 <sup>a</sup>	Mizolasti ne	10 mg/po/hs	Cured (n = 16), markedly effective (n = 4), improved (n = 2) and ineffective (n = 8). Total effective rate: 73.33%.	Gastrointestin al discomfort (n = 1) and drowsiness (n = 2). ADR (%) = 10%.

Table 3 (continued)

First author, Year	Diagn - osis	The control group						Treatm  ent	The intervention group						
		M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	duratio n	M/F	Age (year)	Drug	Usage and Dosage	Efficient	Adverse reactions	
Zhao Chuanj un, 2019	Refra ctory urtica ria	21/19	21-60	Fexofen adine	60 mg/po/bid	Markedly effective $(n = 15)$ , effective $(n = 17)$ and ineffective $(n = 8)$ .  Total effective rate: $80.00\%$ .	Lethargy (n = 2), nausea (n = 3) and xerostomia (n = 2). ADR (%) = $17.5\%$ .	N	20/20	20-60		ndine citrate 8.8 Fexofenadine 60	Markedly effective (n = 30), effective (n = 8) and ineffective (n = 2). Total effective rate: 95.00%.	Lethargy (n = 0), nausea (n = 1) and xerostomia (n = 1). ADR (%) = 5.00%.	

CU: chronic urticaria, w: week, m: month, N: not reported, ADR: adverse drug reaction, d, day, M/F: Male/Female, a Represents the average age

## Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE#		
		TITLE	OIVIIIGE#		
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1		
		ABSTRACT			
		Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable):			
Structured	2	background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence,	1-2		
summary		charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review	1-2		
		questions and objectives.			
		INTRODUCTION			
		Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is	3		
Rationale	3	already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend			
		themselves to a scoping review approach.			
		Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being			
Ohioatiyaa	4	addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or	4		
Objectives	4	participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements	4		
		used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.			
		METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	N		
		Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility			
Eligibility criteria	6	criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and	5		
		provide a rationale.			
		Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases			
Information sources*	7	with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	5		
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Appendix 41-43		
Selection of		State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening			
sources of evidence†	9	and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	Show in figure		
evidence		Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of			
		evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the			
Data charting	10		6		
process‡	10	team before their use, and whether data charting was done	6		
		independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and			
		confirming data from investigators.  List and define all variables for which data were sought and any			
Data items	11	assumptions and simplifications made.	6		
Critical appraisal of		If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of			
individual sources of	12	included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this	N		
evidence§		information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).			
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	6		

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-SeR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #				
	RESULTS						
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	Show in figure				
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	7-10, 22-24				
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	N				
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	Appendix 1-38				
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	22-24 and Appendix 1-38				
DISCUSSION							
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	10-13				
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	14				
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	14				
FUNDING							
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	N				

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

- † A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).
- ‡ The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.
- § The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

*From:* Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMAScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018; 169:467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.

<sup>\*</sup> Where sources of evidence (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and

## **Retrieval strategy:**

## Pubmed:

(Chlorphenamine)) OR (Aller-Chlor)) OR (Antihistaminico Llorens)) OR (Chlor-100)) OR (Chlor-Trimeton)) OR (Cloro-Trimeton)) OR (Chlorpheniramine Maleate)) OR (Maleate, Chlorpheniramine)) OR (Chlorpheniramine Tannate)) OR (Tannate, Chlorpheniramine)) OR (Chlorpro)) OR (Chlorspan 12)) OR (Chlortab-4)) OR (Efidac 24)) OR (Kloromin)) OR (Piriton)) OR (Teldrin)) OR (Chlor-Amine)) OR (Chlor-Tripolon)) OR ("Diphenhydramine")) OR (Benzhydramine)) OR (Diphenylhydramine)) OR (Diphenylhydramin)) OR (2-Diphenylmethoxy-N,N-dimethylethylamine)) OR (Benhydramin)) OR (Benadryl)) OR (Benylin)) OR (Diphenhydramine Citrate)) OR (Citrate, Diphenhydramine)) OR (Diphenhydramine Citrate (1:1))) OR (Diphenhydramine Hydrochloride)) OR (Hydrochloride, Diphenhydramine)) OR (Dormin)) OR (Allerdryl)) OR (Dimedrol)) OR ("Promethazine")) OR (Prometazin)) OR (Proazamine)) OR (Rumergan)) OR (Diprazin)) OR (Isopromethazine)) OR (Phenergan)) OR (Phenargan)) OR (Phensedyl)) OR (Pipolfen)) OR (Pipolphen)) OR (Promet)) OR (Promethazine Hydrochloride)) OR (Hydrochloride, Promethazine)) OR (Prothazin)) OR (Pyrethia)) OR (Remsed)) OR (Atosil)) OR (Diphergan)) OR ("Cyproheptadine")) OR (Dihexazin)) OR (Peritol)) OR (Viternum)) OR (Antergan)) OR (Periactin)) OR ("Brompheniramine")) OR (para-Bromdylamine)) OR (para Bromdylamine)) OR (p-Bromdylamine)) OR (p Bromdylamine)) OR (Dimetane)) OR (Dimetapp Allergy)) OR (Oraminic-2)) OR (Oraminic 2)) OR (Brompheniramine Maleate)) OR (Maleate, Brompheniramine)) OR (Brompheniramine Maleate (1:1))) OR (Chlorphed)) OR (Dimetane-Ten)) OR (Dimetane Ten)) OR ("Ketotifen")) OR (Ketotiphene)) OR (Ketotiphen)) OR (Ketotifene)) OR (Zaditen)) OR (Ketotifen Fumarate)) OR (Fumarate, Ketotifen)) AND (Sch29851)) OR (Alavert)) OR (Clarium)) OR ("Cetirizine")) OR (Cetirizine Dihydrochloride)) OR (Dihydrochloride, Cetirizine)) OR (Aller-Tec)) OR (Virlix)) OR (Zetir)) OR (Zyrtec)) OR (Reactine)) OR (Voltric)) OR (Zirtek)) OR (Cetirizin AL)) OR (Cetirizin AZU)) OR (Cetirizin Basics)) OR (Alerlisin)) OR (Cetalerg)) OR (Ceterifug)) OR (Ceti TAD)) OR (Ceti-Puren)) OR (Cetiderm)) OR (Cetidura)) OR (Cetil von ct)) OR (CetiLich)) OR (Cetirigamma)) OR (Cetirlan)) OR ("ebastine")) OR (Busidril)) OR (Kestine)) OR (LAS W-090)) OR (Evastel)) OR (Kestin)) OR (Bactil)) OR (Ebastel)) OR ("Terfenadine")) OR (Terfenidine)) OR (Ternadin)) OR (Balkis Saft Spezial)) OR (Rapidal)) OR (RMI-9918)) OR (RMI 9918)) OR (RMI9918)) OR (Seldane)) OR (Triludan)) OR (Teldane)) OR (Terfedura)) OR (Terfemundin)) OR (Terfenadin AL)) OR (Terfenadin Heumann)) OR (Terfenadin Stada)) OR (Terfenadin Von Ct)) OR (Terfenadin-Ratiopharm)) OR (Terfenadin Ratiopharm)) OR (Cyater)) OR (Hisfedin)) OR ("mizolastine")) OR (SL 85.0324)) OR (SL-85.0324)) OR (Mizollen)) OR (Zolim)) OR (Mizolen)) OR (Zolistan)) OR (Mistamine)) OR (Mistalin)) OR ("azelastine")) OR (Allergodil)) OR (Astelin)) OR (azelastine hydrochloride)) OR (Corifina)) OR (Loxin)) OR (Vividrin akut Azelastin)) OR (Optivar)) OR (Urticarias)) OR (Hives)) OR ("Chronic Urticaria")) OR (chronic urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticarias)) OR (Spontaneous Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Spontaneous)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Urticaria, Idiopathic)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Idiopathic Chronic)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticarias)) OR (Idiopathic Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Idiopathic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Autoimmune)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Autoimmune)) OR ("Angioedema")) OR (Urticaria, Giant)) OR (Giant Urticaria)) OR (Giant Urticarias)) OR ("Urticaria Pigmentosa")) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytosis)) OR (Cutaneous Mastocytoses, Maculopapular)) OR (Cutaneous Mastocytosis, Maculopapular)) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytoses))

 (2-Diphenylmethoxy-N,N-dimethylethylamine)) OR (Benhydramin)) OR (Benadryl)) OR (Benylin)) OR (Diphenhydramine Citrate)) OR (Citrate, Diphenhydramine)) OR (Diphenhydramine Citrate (1:1))) OR (Diphenhydramine Hydrochloride)) OR (Hydrochloride, Diphenhydramine)) OR (Dormin)) OR (Allerdryl)) OR (Dimedrol)) OR ("Promethazine")) OR (Prometazin)) OR (Proazamine)) OR (Rumergan)) OR (Diprazin)) OR (Isopromethazine)) OR (Phenergan)) OR (Phenargan)) OR (Phensedyl)) OR (Pipolphen)) OR (Promet)) OR (Promethazine Hydrochloride)) OR (Hydrochloride, Promethazine)) OR (Prothazin)) OR (Pyrethia)) OR (Remsed)) OR (Atosil)) OR (Diphergan)) OR ("Cyproheptadine")) OR (Dihexazin)) OR (Peritol)) OR (Viternum)) OR (Antergan)) OR (Periactin)) OR ("Brompheniramine")) OR (para-Bromdylamine)) OR (para Bromdylamine)) OR (p-Bromdylamine)) OR (p Bromdylamine)) OR (Dimetane)) OR (Dimetapp Allergy)) OR (Oraminic-2)) OR (Oraminic 2)) OR (Brompheniramine Maleate)) OR (Maleate, Brompheniramine)) OR (Brompheniramine Maleate (1:1))) OR (Chlorphed)) OR (Dimetane-Ten)) OR (Dimetane Ten)) OR ("Ketotifen")) OR (Ketotiphene)) OR (Ketotiphen)) OR (Ketotifene)) OR (Zaditen)) OR (Ketotifen Fumarate)) OR (Fumarate, Ketotifen)) AND 16,455A)) OR ("desloratadine")) OR (descarboethoxyloratadine)) OR (SCH 34117)) OR (Clarinex)) OR (Neoclarityn)) OR (Aerius)) OR (descarboethoxyloratadine acetate)) OR ("levocetirizine")) OR (levocetrizine) OR (levocetirizine hydrochloride)) OR (Xusal)) OR (levocetirizine dihydrochloride)) OR (cetirizine (R)-form dihydrochloride)) OR (Xyzal)) OR (UCB-28556)) OR (Desloratadine citrate) (Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria)) OR (Spontaneous Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Spontaneous)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Urticaria, Idiopathic)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Idiopathic Chronic)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticarias)) OR (Idiopathic Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Idiopathic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Autoimmune)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Autoimmune)) OR ("Angioedema")) OR (Urticaria, Giant)) OR (Giant Urticaria)) OR (Giant Urticarias)) OR ("Urticaria) Pigmentosa")) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytosis)) OR (Cutaneous Mastocytoses, Maculopapular)) OR (Cutaneous Mastocytosis, Maculopapular)) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytoses))

(Sch29851)) OR (Alavert)) OR (Clarium)) OR ("Cetirizine")) OR (Cetirizine Dihydrochloride)) OR (Dihydrochloride, Cetirizine)) OR (Aller-Tec)) OR (Virlix)) OR (Zetir)) OR (Zyrtec)) OR (Reactine)) OR (Voltric)) OR (Zirtek)) OR (Cetirizin AL)) OR (Cetirizin AZU)) OR (Cetirizin Basics)) OR (Alerlisin)) OR (Cetalerg)) OR (Ceterifug)) OR (Ceti TAD)) OR (Ceti-Puren)) OR (Cetiderm)) OR (Cetidura)) OR (Cetil von ct)) OR (CetiLich)) OR (Cetirigamma)) OR (Cetirlan)) OR ("ebastine")) OR (Busidril)) OR (Kestine)) OR (LAS W-090)) OR (Evastel)) OR (Kestin)) OR (Bactil)) OR (Ebastel)) OR ("Terfenadine")) OR (Terfenidine)) OR (Ternadin)) OR (Balkis Saft Spezial)) OR (Rapidal)) OR (RMI-9918)) OR (RMI 9918)) OR (RMI9918)) OR (Seldane)) OR (Triludan)) OR (Teldane)) OR (Terfedura)) OR (Terfemundin)) OR (Terfenadin AL)) OR (Terfenadin Heumann)) OR (Terfenadin Stada)) OR (Terfenadin Von Ct)) OR (Terfenadin-Ratiopharm)) OR (Terfenadin Ratiopharm)) OR (Cyater)) OR (Hisfedin)) OR ("mizolastine")) OR (SL 85.0324)) OR (SL-85.0324)) OR (Mizollen)) OR (Zolim)) OR (Mizolen)) OR (Zolistan)) OR (Mistaline)) OR (Mistaline)) OR ("azelastine")) OR (Allergodil)) OR (Astelin)) OR (azelastine hydrochloride)) OR (Corifina)) OR (Loxin)) OR (Vividrin akut Azelastin)) OR (Optivar)) OR (fexofenadine hydrochloride)) OR (MDL 16.455)) OR (Allegra)) OR (Telfast)) OR (MDL 16,455A)) OR ("desloratedine")) OR (descarboethoxyloratadine)) OR (SCH 34117)) OR (Clarinex)) OR (Neoclarityn)) OR (Aerius)) OR (descarboethoxyloratadine acetate)) OR ("levocetirizine")) OR (levocetrizine)) OR (levocetirizine hydrochloride)) OR (Xusal)) OR (levocetirizine dihydrochloride)) OR (R)-form dihydrochloride)) OR (Xyzal)) OR (UCB-28556)) OR (Desloratadine citrate))) (cetirizine Chronic)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Spontaneous Urticarias)) OR (Spontaneous Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Spontaneous)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Urticaria, Idiopathic)) OR (Idiopathic Chronic Urticarias)) OR (Urticaria, Idiopathic Chronic)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticaria)) OR (Chronic Idiopathic Urticarias)) OR (Idiopathic Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Urticaria, Chronic Idiopathic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria, Chronic)) OR (Chronic Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria, Chronic Autoimmune)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Autoimmune Urticaria)) OR (Urticaria, Autoimmune)) OR (Urticaria, Autoimmune)) OR ("Angioedema")) OR (Urticaria, Giant)) OR (Giant Urticaria)) OR (Giant Urticaria)) OR ("Urticaria Pigmentosa")) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytosis)) OR (Cutaneous Mastocytosis, Maculopapular)) OR (Maculopapular Cutaneous Mastocytoses))

## References:

- 1. Zhou X. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine in the treatment of 46 cases of chronic urticaria. J Contemp Clin Med 2014;27:946.
- 2. Li HF. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin J Misdiagn 2006;6:4165-6.
- 3. Zhang HC, Chen LF, Luo YP. Clinical efficacy and safety of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine in decreasing treatment of chronic urticaria. Mod Diag & Treat 2017;28:2569-70.
- 4. Lin XH. Clinical study of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing therapy in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Hebei Med 2014;20:754-6.
- 5. Tan ZX. Mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing therapy in the treatment of 80 cases of chronic urticaria. Med Front 2017;7:40-1.
- 6. Liu XM. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing therapy in treating 94 cases of chronic urticaria. Chin J Derm Dermatovenereol 2016;30:1095-7.
- 7. Zhang JX, Liu XC. Clinical observation of ebastine combined with cyproheptadine and doxepin in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin Community Dr 2015;31:69-70,3.
- 8. Xie H, Cai M, Zhou XH. Analysis of efficacy and prognosis of cyproheptadine decreasing therapy combined with mizolastine in the treatment of 45 cases of chronic urticaria. J Clin Med Prac 2017;21:187-8.
- 9. Shuai H. To explore the effect of loratadine combined with cetirizine hydrochloride in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Mod Dig & Interv 2019:2379.
- 10. Jin X. Efficacy of cetirizine combined with loratedine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Med Front 2018;8:65-6.
- 11. Zhou Y. Observation on the therapeutic effect of loratadine combined with cetirizine in urticaria. J Mil Surg South Chin 2011;13:457-9.
- 12. Fu CS. Clinical observation of loratadine combined with cetirizine drops in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Dermatol & Venereol 2020;42:240-1.
- 13. Pan GS, Qu HG, Yang YL, Zhou AH, Li HJ, Zhu ZT. Therapeutic effect of loratadine combined with cetirizine hydrochloride on chronic urticaria. J North Pharm 2018;15:121.
- 14. Hu W, Ma HQ, Yan XN, Mou KH, Cao W, Niu XW. A multicenter clinical observation of levocetirizine combined with desloratedine in the treatment of chronic urticaria in children. Chin J Derm Dermatovenereol 2015;29:481-3.
- 15. Liu HC, Huang HL. Effect of levocetirizine hydrochloride on inflammatory factors in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Med Forum 2019;23:4657-8.
- 16. Wang S, Zhang CE, Wang SC, Guo W. Clinical analysis of levocetirizine combined with deslorated in the treatment of chronic urticaria in children. Mod Diagn & Treat 2019;30:2964-6.
- 17. Jiang PF. Clinical efficacy and safety of levocetirizine combined with desloratedine in the treatment of chronic urticaria in children. Chin J Clin Ration Drug Use 2021;14:154-6.
- 18. Rao XF. Clinical efficacy of levocetirizine combined with desloratedine in the treatment of chronic

- urticaria. Chin J Clin Ration Drug Use 2020;13:82-3.
- 19. Cai XY. Effect of levocetirizine combined with desloratadine on serum IgE level and adverse reactions in patients with chronic urticaria. Dermatol & Venereol 2020;42:531-3.
- 20. Chen NF. Clinical observation of levocetirizine hydrochloride combined with ketotifen in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Diet Health 2016;3:57-8.
- 21. Shao RP, Wang YC, Xu JZ, Zhao RY, Gao DD. To observe the effect of levocetirizine and ketotifen on the quality of life in patients with chronic urticaria (idiopathic). Chin Health Care & Nutr 2018;28:103-4.
- 22. Zhon ZM. Clinical analysis of levocetirizine hydrochloride combined with ketotifen in the treatment of 189 cases of chronic urticaria. Chin J Mod Drug Appl 2009;3:90-1.
- 23. Mon WJ. Clinical observation of levocetirizine hydrochloride combined with ketotifen in the treatment of 42 cases of chronic urticaria. J Youjiang Med Univ Ntns 2008;6:981-2.
- 24. Zhang JH. Treatment of chronic urticaria with cetirizine combined with ketotifen. Chin J Prim Med Pharm 2012;19:2771-2.
- 25. Shao XH. Clinical observation of loratadine citrate disodium combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Med Forum 2018;22:1316-7.
- 26. Li F. Efficacy of loratadine citrate combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Health for Everyone 2019:27.
- 27. Fu XF, Wang MY, Zhang N. Curative effect of deslorated in citrate and fexofenadine in refractory urticaria. Chin J Biochem & Pharm 2017;37:249-51.
- 28. Liu JL. Clinical observation of desloratedine citrate + fexofenadine in the treatment of patients with refractory urticaria. Heilongjiang Med J 2020;33:373-5.
- 29. Liang YQ. Efficacy of loratadine citrate combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Health for Everyone 2020:102.
- 30. Xu FJ. Clinical efficacy of loratadine in patients with chronic urticaria. For All Health 2014:290-.
- 31. Liu J. Clinical observation of loratadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Seek Med Ask Med 2012;10:143.
- 32. Wu MJ. Effect of desloratadine combined with ketotifen fumarate in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Cardiovas Dis Electron J Integr Trad Chin & West Med 2018;6:10,2.
- 33. Wang J. Clinical analysis of loratadine long course decreasing therapy in treating chronic urticaria. Chin J Pharm Econ 2013:106-7.
- 34. Hu LY. Effct observation of desloratedine citrate combined with ketotifen fumarate in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin Mod Med 2016;23:91-3.
- 35. Sun H. Efficacy and safety of loratadine citrate combined with ketotifen fumarate in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Clin J Diabetes World 2021;18:80.
- 36. Niu BH. Clinical analysis of promethazine hydrochloride tablets combined with cetirizine hydrochloride tablets in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Electron J Clin Med Lit 2018;5:86-7.
- 37. Wang G. Study on the efficacy of promethazine hydrochloride tablets combined with cetirizine hydrochloride tablets in patients with chronic urticaria. Chin Health Care & Nutr 2017;27:168.
- 38. Wu YF, Wang YM, Wang YH, Tu SZ. Curative effect observation of promethazine hydrochloride tablets and cetirizine hydrochloride tablets in combined treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin Med & Pharm 2017;7:81-3.
- 39. Ren SH. Observation on therapeutic effect of desloratadine combined with ebastine in urticaria. Guide Chin Med 2010;8:248-9.

- 40. Xiao CQ, Deng JH, Luo YW, Xu LH. Clinical report of mizolastine combined with ketotifen in the treatment of 15 cases of chronic urticaria. Contemp Med 2015;21:141-2.
- 41. Ning H. Effect of cetirizine combined with desloratedine citrate on chronic urticaria. Chin Health Care & Nutr 2021;31:186.
- 42. Ni QJ. Efficacy and safety of deslorated in citrate +levocetirizine hydrochlorid tablets in the treatment of chronic urticalia. World J Complex Med 2020;6:157-9.
- 43. Qin YN, Zhang XZ, Song XD. Clinical observation of ebastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing method in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin J Postgrad Med 2012;35:69-70.
- 44. Liu GZ. Study on the therapeutic effect of levocetirizine combined with ebastine on chronic urticaria. Chin Community Dr 2019;35:51-2.
- 45. Kong QS. Treatment of 58 cases of chronic urticaria with loratedine tablets citrate combined with cyproheptadine hydrochloride tablets. Chin Pharm 2014;23:121-2.
- 46. Li Y, Xu W, Gu H, Ju M, Duan YQ, Zeng XY, *et al.* A multicenter randomized controlled study of atorvastatin combined with loratedine in the treatment of chronic refractory urticaria. Chin J Dermatol 2020;53:319-23.
- 47. Hu LY, Gong GW, Tu SF. Treatment of chronic urticaria with loratedine citrate combined with chlorpheniramine. Med Info 2014;27:448-9.
- 48. Yang YF. Observation on therapeutic effect of loratadine tablets combined with ketotifen fumarate on chronic urticaria. Drug Eval 2016;13:281-2.
- 49. Zhang H, Ma XN. Effect of the combination of the cetirizine and fexofenadine tablets on serum IgE in patients with chronic urticaria. Med Recapitulate 2017;23:588-90.
- Wang S, Zhang CE, Wang SC, Guo W. Clinical observation of clemastine fumarate combined with loratedine in the treatment of chronic urticaria in children. Prac Clin J Integr Tradit Chin & West Med 2019;19:45-7.
- 51. Zhou SL, Xu EC, Deng W, Lu HC, Li RZ, Huang M. Clinical observation of loratadine combined with desloratedine in the treatment of chronic spontaneous urticaria in children. Chin J Dermatol 2017;50:46-8.
- 52. Zeng L, Zhou JW, Sun YW. Clinical observation of fexofenadine hydrochloride combined with lorated in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin J Lepr & Skin Dis 2008;28:83-4.
- 53. Jiang HY, Lu F, Yan W, Qu J. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with chlorpheniramine in the treatment of 35 children with chronic urticaria. J Aerosp Med 2010;21:1865-6.
- 54. Zhong XG. Observation on therapeutic effect of loratadine tablets combined with ketotifen fumarate on chronic urticaria. Med Front 2013:184-5.
- 55. Lu HY. Clinical curative effect of fexofenadine hydrochloride combined with chlorphenamine maleate in treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria. Chin J Sch Dr 2013;27:789-90.
- 56. Lan JP. Clinical observation of setastine hydrochloride combined with loratadine tablets on chronic urticaria and its influence on IgE, WBC and 5-HT levels. J Med Theor & Prac 2021;34:3205-7.
- 57. Li GD, Wu HW, Zhao JL. Curative effect of loratadine and cetirizine for chronic urticaria and the Influence on serum IgE. Med Recapitulate 2017;23:406-9.
- 58. Li FZ. Observation on the efficacy of capritan combined with cyproheptadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin Prim Health Care 2006;20:75-6.
- 59. Fang H, Gao Y. Efficacy of loratadine citrate combined with cetirizine in the treatment of chronic urticaria and its influence on serum immunoglobulin E level. Dermatol & Venereol 2020;42:238-40.

- 60. Liang YF. Clinical observation of fexofenadine combined with ketotifen decreasing therapy in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Chin J Trauma & Dis Med 2015:147-8.
- 61. Staevska M, Gugutkova M, Lazarova C, Kralimarkova T, Dimitrov V, Zuberbier T, *et al.* Night-time sedating H1 -antihistamine increases daytime somnolence but not treatment efficacy in chronic spontaneous urticaria: a randomized controlled trial. British journal of dermatology 2014;171:148-54.
- 62. Lu S. Efficacy of Avastin combined with loratadine in patients with chronic refractory urticaria. overv med 2020:173-4.
- 63. Li XP, Wu YF. Efficacy and safety of loratadine combined with avastin in treatment of chronic refractory urticaria. Chin J Sch Dr 2022;36:289-91.
- 64. Chen JS, Lu HG, Bai ZY, Hong ML, Lin Y, Chen FY. Observation of the effect of olotadine combined with cetirizine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. J Gannan Med Univ 2020;40.
- 65. Hou K. Therapeutic effect of cetirizine combined with loratedine on chronic urticaria. Hn Med Res 2019;28:2384-6.
- 66. Wang S, Liu Y, Wang J, Zhang J. Clinical efficacy of levocetirizine combined with ebastine in the treatment of chronic urticaria and their effect on serum cytokines. Int J Clinical Exp Med 2019;12:11675-83.
- 67. Wang JX. Clinical analysis of levocetirizine hydrochloride combined with ebastin in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Health for everyone 2017:85.
- 68. Meng Q. Study on the efficacy of ebastin combined with levocetirizine in the treatment of chronic urticaria and its effect on serum IgE level. Database of Chinese Sci-tech Journals (citation Edition) Medicine and Health 2021.
- 69. Yang MQ, Cao GX. Efficacy and safety analysis of bensulbetastatin combined with levocetirizine hydrochloride in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Contemp Med Forum 2022;20:129-31.
- 70. Zhang CB. To observe the effect of midazolastine combined with desloratadine on chronic urticaria and its effect on sleep quality. World J Sleep Med 2021;8:2076-8.
- Li ZL. Clinical efficacy and safety of loratedine combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Database of Chinese Sci-tech Journals (citation Edition) Medicine and Health 2020.
- 72. Zhang L, Jia LJ, Zhi J, Hang M, Xiang HF. Clinical study of rupatadine combined with ebastine in treating chronic urticaria. Drugs & Clin 2020;35:1364-7.
- 73. Li Y. A multicenter clinical study of levocetirizine hydrochloride in the treatment of chronic urticaria in children. China Health Care & Nutr 2016;26:31-.
- 74. Teng W. Analysis of the clinical effect of loratadine and fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. J Clin Med 2017;4:10438-9.
- 75. Guo WL, Li KH, Jia XA, Zhao CH. Clinical efficacy of three methods in treatment of chronic urticaria. J Xinxiang Med Univ 2013;30:390-2.
- 76. Heng K. Clinical observation of levocetirizine combined with desloratedine in the treatment of 80 cases of chronic urticaria. Chin J Dermatovenereol 2017;31:588-90.
- 77. Liao XL, Zhu CY, Mai BW, Huang DN. Efficacy of loratadine combined with levocetirizine in the treatment of chronic refractory urticaria in children and its effects on levels of serum IgE and IFN-γ. Hebei Med 2021;27:1383-8.
- 78. Li H. Clinical observation of desloratadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Health Manag 2021:90.

- 79. McCracken J, Schlegel C, Sur S. Prevention of kounis syndrome episodes using a combination of ketotifen and non-sedating antihistamines. Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology 2014;113:A76-A7.
- 80. Zou A, Chen Y, Xie C, Shi N. Kimura disease complicated with chronic urticaria: a case report. Chin J Dermatol 2022;55:812-3.
- 81. Deng H, Chang H. Contact dermatitis and acute urticaria caused by Yunnan Baiyao wound patch. Strait Pharm J 2020;32:219-20.
- 82. Zhu Y, Du H, Li S. Treatment of chronic intractable urticaria in children with classical prescription: a case report. Shanxi tradit Chin med 2019;35.
- 83. Sabbagh R, Sheikh-Taha M. Possible montelukast-induced angioedema. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy 2009;66:1705-6.
- 84. Leblanc A, Castro E, Botelho C, Castro I, Castel-Branco M. Anaphylaxis as presentation of cutaneous mastocytosis: A clinical case. Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2009;64:414.
- 85. Aguilar K, Avila L, Sienra J. The acute annular urticaria is now called urticaria multiforme: a case presentation. Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology 2009;103:A145.
- 86. Zhu QP, Wu WB. Clinical observation of cyproheptadine combined with cetirizine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Med Front 2011;1:58-9.
- 87. Long XY, Liu X. Observation on the efficacy and quality of life of levocetirizine combined with ketotifen in the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria. Shandong Med J 2008;48:97-8.
- 88. Liang BH. Ketotifen combined with cetirizine hydrochloride in the treatment of 34 cases of chronic urticaria. Prac Clin Med 2007;8:38-.
- 89. Wang YX. Effect of desloratadine tablets combined with cetirizine hydrochloride dispersible tablets on chronic urticaria. World Latest Med Inf 2020;20:143-4.
- 90. Wang J. Clinical observation of desloratedine citrate plus fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Chin Health Horiz 2020:25.
- 91. Lu JF, Lu JQ. Effect of desloratadine tablets combined with cetirizine hydrochloride dispersible tablets on chronic urticaria. World Latest Med Inf 2020;20:98-9.
- 92. Ji ZY. Effect of avastin combined with loratedine in the treatment of patients with chronic itIiopathic urticaria. J Clin Med Prac 2020;24:119-21.
- 93. Cai Y. Efficacy of ebastine combined with levocetirizine in the treatment of chronic urticaria and its influence on serum IgE level. Chin J Clin Ration Drug Use 2018;11:100-1.
- 94. Cai YL. Observation on effect of desloratedine citrate tablets combined with levocetirizine hydrochloride tablets in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Dr 2019;4:116-7.
- 95. Liang XF. Analysis of clinical efficacy of different methods in the treatment of chronic urticaria. For All Health 2014;8:107.
- 96. Liu W. Efficacy of loratadine citrate disodium combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria and its influence on immune function. J Shandong First Med Univ & Shandong Acad Med Sci 2018;39:182-4.
- 97. Wang CJ. Clinical analysis of cetirizine hydrochloride combined with desloratadine citrate tablets in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Psychol Mon 2019;14:185.
- 98. Zhang W. Clinical observation of loratadine combined with cetirizine hydrochloride in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Home Med (Med Trib) 2010;2:663-4.
- 99. Zhang YF. Retrospective analysis of Emestine fumarate sustained release capsule combined with

- loratadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Health care Chin 2022;40.
- 100. Chen Y, Deng XJ, Ma L. Clinical efficacy and adverse reactions of desloratedine combined with mizolastine in the treatment of children with chronic spontaneous urticaria. Maternal & Child Health Care of Chin 2022;37:2826-9.
- 101.Chu RQ. Clinical observation of loratadine combined with levocetirizine in the treatment of urticaria. Chin Sci-tech J Database (full-text version) Med and Health 2022.
- 102.Ran CT. Effects of levocetirizine combined with ebastine on laboratory indexes and clinical symptom scores in the treatment of chronic urticaria and clinical curative effect analysis. Hebei Med J 2016;38:1015-7.
- 103.Li ZZ, Wu XL, Zhang ZL, Zhang MN. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing therapy in the treatment of chronic urticaria. J Clin Dermatol 2010;39:193-4.
- 104.Guo B, Ai JJ, Rong GH. Clinical observation of levocetirizine hydrochloride combined with fexofenadine hydrochloride in the treatment of artificial urticaria. Chin J Dermatovenerol Integr Tradit and West Med 2013;12:307-8.
- 105. Schulz S, Metz M, Siepmann D, Luger T, Maurer M, Ständer S. Antipruritic efficacy of a high-dosage antihistamine therapy: results of a retrospectively analysed case series. Hautarzt 2009:60:564-8.
- 106.Han ZG, Luo Q, Jia DM, Zhou HJ. Clinical observation of epistin combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Mod Prev Med 2013;40:1992-3.
- 107.Guo XL, Yang WB, Huang FY, Ouyang ZB. Clinical observation of mizolastine combined with ketotifen decreasing therapy in the treatment of chronic urticaria. J Clin Dermatol 2013;42:697-9.
- 108. Wang Y. Clinical analysis of mizolastine combined with cyproheptadine decreasing therapy in the treatment of chronic urticaria. Guide Chin Med 2017;15:80.
- 109.Zhao CJ, Zhao Z. Efficacy of loratadine combined with fexofenadine in the treatment of refractory urticaria. Health for everyone 2019:245.